Jet fragmentation in heavy ion collisions

Cristiano Brenner Mariotto

cristianomariotto@furg.br

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG)

* Work in progress, in collaboration with Sony Martins

Outline

Motivation

- Baseline: hadron production in pp collisions
- Production of neutral and charged hadrons in heavy ion collisions most central collisions
- Present some approaches for jet energy loss
- Fragmentation functions modified by the medium (quenching weights approach)
- **Solution** Estimates of the nuclear modification factor R_{AA}
 - $\pi^0 @ \mathsf{RHIC}$
 - π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , p, \bar{p} : h^{\pm} @ LHC
- Conclusions

Introduction & **Main goal**

- Suppression of high p_T jets is one of the signals of the formation of the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) in heavy ion collisions
- Medium induced gluon radiation is claimed to be the dominant mechanism underlying the jet quenching phenomenon observed in heavy ion collisions
- In this contribution, we study the production of neutral and charged hadrons in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions - a scenary to test the properties of the hot and dense medium
- Consider some approaches to jet quenching and jet fragmentation
- Present estimates for the nuclear rates R_{AA} at RHIC and LHC.
- Infer some properties of the created quark gluon plasma opacity, transport coefficient \hat{q} , etc
- Comment on how to include the hidrodynamic expansion of the QGP

Hadron production in pp collisions

(LO) contributions: all $2 \rightarrow 2$ QCD processes: $ab \rightarrow cd$, $c \rightarrow h$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pp\to h+X}}{dp_T^2 dy} = J\left(m_T, y\right) \sum_{abcd} \int \frac{dz}{z^2} dy_2 x_a f_{a/p}\left(x_a, Q^2\right) x_b f_{b/p}\left(x_b, Q^2\right) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}}^{ab\to cd} D_{h/c}\left(z, \mu_f^2\right)$$

9 $f_{a,b/p}$: CTEQ6L parton distributions

• $x_{a,b} = \frac{q_T}{\sqrt{s}} \left(e^{\pm y_f} + e^{\pm y_2} \right)$, where y_f and y_2 are the rapidity of the produced partons.

 $\int \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}}$: LO partonic cross sections (all $2 \rightarrow 2$ QCD processes)

 $D_{h/c}(z, \mu_f^2)$: KKP fragmentation functions

z: energy fraction of the parton carried by the hadron $p_T = z q_T$ produced hadron *h* fragmenting parton *c*

$$J(m_T, y) = \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{m_T^2 \cosh^2 y}\right)^{1/2}, y = \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{p_T}{m_T} \sinh y_f\right)$$

Production of charged particles @ LHC

• π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , p and \bar{p} produced in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 TeV$



- Invariant differential yield well described with LO calculation, CTEQ6L parton distributions and KKP fragmentation functions
- pp baseline calculation, to be compared with AA colisions

Hadron production in heavy ion collisions

First estimates: obtain σ_{AA} from σ_{pp} and some minimal modifications:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{AA\to h+X}}{dp_T dy} = J\left(m_T, y\right) \sum_{abcd} \int \frac{dz}{z^2} dy_2 x_a f_{a/A}\left(x_a, Q^2\right) x_b f_{b/A}\left(x_b, Q^2\right) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}}^{ab\to cd} D_{h/c}\left(\boldsymbol{z^*}, \mu_f^2\right)$$

- $f_{a,b/A}$: parton distributions in the nuclei (EPS09, nDS, nCTEQ)
- D_{h/c}(z*, μ_f^2): fragmentation functions jet (leading parton) produced in central region looses energy, ∆E, in the medium → shift in the z variable.

$$q_T \rightarrow (q_T - \Delta E) \rightarrow p_T$$

 $z^* = \frac{z}{1 - \frac{\Delta E}{q_T}}$

- There are several models to consider the non-abelian energy loss of the jet propagating in the medium (quark gluon plasma)
 - \longrightarrow Here we consider a simplified form of some models

Parton energy loss in the medium (QGP)

Non-Abelian energy loss in hot matter: Induced gluon radiation in the QGP

BDMPS (Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Peigné, Schiff) In thick plasmas, for a great number of jet scatterings, $L/\lambda \gg 1$

$$\Delta E_{BDMPS} = \frac{C_R \alpha_s}{4} \frac{L^2 \mu^2}{\lambda_g} \tilde{\nu}$$

 $\lambda_g = (C_A/C_R)\lambda$: radiated gluon mean free path

Non-Abelian Energy Loss at Finite Opacity (Gyulassy, Levai, Vitev): reaction operator approach to opacity expansion

In thin plasmas, the mean number of jet scatterings, $\bar{n} = L/\lambda$, is small \rightarrow opacity expansion \bar{n} : measure of the opacity or geometrical thickness of the medium Energy loss in first order in opacity:

$$\Delta E_{GLV}^{(1)} = \frac{2C_R \alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{L}{\lambda_g} E \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{k_{max}^2} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} \int_0^{q_{max}^2} d^2 q_\perp \frac{\mu_{eff}^2}{\pi (q_\perp^2 + \mu^2)^2} \frac{2k_\perp \cdot q_\perp (k - q)_\perp^2 L^2}{16x^2 E^2 + (k - q)_\perp^4 L^2}$$
$$\Delta E_{GLV}^{(1)} \approx \frac{C_R \alpha_s}{4} \frac{L^2 \mu^2}{\lambda_g} \log \frac{E}{\mu}$$

 μ : screening scale from color Yukawa potential QGP: $\lambda_g = 1 fm$, $\mu = 0.5 GeV$, $\alpha_s = 0.3$

Neutral π production @ RHIC



Charged hadron production @ LHC



 π^\pm , K^\pm , p, ar p

- Energy loss models: fair description of higher- p_T LHC data
- Not expected to describe low p_T data
- Estimates of the QGP oppacity at LHC:
 - **BDMPS:** $L/\lambda = 3.8$

 - \Rightarrow larger than in RHIC

Somewhat larger oppacities have been previously reported, in different implementation of the same effects (Levai, NPA 862 (2011) 146)

Jet Energy Loss - Quenching Weights formalism

(Salgado, Wiedemann)

- Medium induced gluon radiation
- Produced parton looses with probability $P(\epsilon)$ an additional fraction of its energy, $\epsilon = \frac{\Delta E}{E_q}$
 - $\blacksquare \Rightarrow Medium modified fragmentation function$

$$D_{h/q}^{(med)}(z,Q^2) = \int_0^1 d\epsilon \frac{P(\epsilon)}{1-\epsilon} D_{h/q}\left(\frac{z}{1-\epsilon},Q^2\right)$$

Quenching weights (energy loss probabilities):

$$P(\Delta E) = p_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} d\omega_i \int_0^{\omega_i} dk_\perp \frac{dI^{med}(\omega_i)}{d\omega dk_\perp} \right] \delta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_j - \Delta E \right) = p_0 \delta(\Delta E) + p(\Delta E)$$

 p_0 : probability of no energy loss ($\neq 0$ for finite medium)

 $\frac{dI^{med}}{d\omega dk_{\perp}}$: spectrum of medium-induced gluons (path integral approach to opacity expansion)

- $P(\Delta E)$ available in two approximations:
 - Multi soft scattering approximation
 - Single hard scattering approximation (\approx GLV)

Quenching Weights - limiting cases

- Multi soft scattering approximation:
 - partonic projetile performs a Brownian motion in transverse momentum (due to multi soft scatterings)
 - \hat{q} : transport coefficient, measures the scattering power of the medium (momentum broadening per unit length)
 - dimensioless parameter $R = \omega_c L \leftarrow$ kinematic constraint restricting p_T of radiated gluons (BDMPS: $R \rightarrow \infty$)
 - $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{c}$: characteristic gluon frequency: set scale of energy-loss probability distribution
- Single hard scattering approximation:
 - Incoherent superposition of very few n_0L single hard scattering processes in path length L
 - Single scatterer: Yukawa potential with Debye screening mass μ
 - $I \quad \text{kinematic constraint } \bar{R} = \bar{\omega}_c L$

Quenching Weights & model parameters

- *L*: medium length
- $P(\Delta E)$ in two limiting cases:
 - Multi soft scattering approximation: $R = \hat{q}L^3/2$, $\omega_c = \hat{q}L^2/2$, $xx = \omega/\omega_c = \epsilon E_q L/R$
 - Single hard scattering approximation: $\bar{R} = \mu^2 L^2/2$, $\bar{\omega}_c = \mu^2 L/2$, $xx = \omega/\bar{\omega}_c = \epsilon E_q L/\bar{R}$
- μ^2 : Debye screening mass
- Itransport coefficient \hat{q} for "static" medium: $\hat{q} = \frac{\langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{med}}{\lambda}$

Quenching weigths for an expanding medium

- Expansion in the multi soft scattering approximation: $R = \hat{q}L^3/2$, $\omega_c = \hat{q}L^2/2$
- **P** Transport coefficient \hat{q}
 - for "static" medium: $\hat{q} = \frac{\langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{med}}{\lambda}$

for an expanding medium: assuming a scaling with the local energy density ε $\hat{q} = 2K\varepsilon^{3/4}(\tau) \implies \hat{q} = \hat{q}_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha}, \alpha \leq 3,$

- ($\alpha = 1$: longitudinal expansion, $1 < \alpha \leq 3$: addit. transverse expansion)
- Using a dynamical scaling law, $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ and $\bar{\omega}_c$ can be mapped onto an equivalent static scenario: $2 - c^{L+\tau_0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{$

$$\langle \hat{q} \rangle = \frac{2}{L^2} \int_{\tau_0}^{L+\tau_0} d\tau (\tau - \tau_0) \hat{q} \qquad \bar{\omega}_c = \frac{\langle \hat{q} \rangle L^2}{2}$$

 \Rightarrow Using the rescaled kinematic constraint $\langle R \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{q} \rangle L^3$, the dynamical QW agree with the static medium case

	RHIC	LHC
L	6 fm	7 fm
$ au_0$	0.6 fm	$0.5\mathrm{fm}$
\hat{q}_0	$18.5 GeV^2/fm$?

There is an analogous dynamic scaling in the single hard scattering approximation

Neutral π production @ RHIC

Preliminary results



Quenching weigths: reasonable description of RHIC π^0 data for \neq values of kinematic constraint R

- multi soft scattering approx: R = 30000
- single hard scattering approx: R = 7000

different trend compared with previous energy loss approaches

estimation of QGP opacity via ratio $L/\lambda \approx R/\bar{R} = 4.3$

Charged hadron production @ LHC

Preliminary results



Quenching weigths: reasonable description of higher p_T LHC data for \neq values of kinematic constraint R

- multi soft scattering approx: R = 23000
- single hard scattering approx: R = 4500

Not expected to describe low p_T data. Hydro, Cronin effect, non-perturbative effects...?

estimation of QGP opacity at LHC $L/\lambda \approx R/\bar{R} = 5.1 \leftarrow$ larger than in RHIC

somewhat odd values for "best" *R*: smaller than in RHIC !!?

 \rightarrow still not reasonable to obtain the correct transport coefficient \hat{q} (\hat{q}_0)

Conclusions and discussion

- Assuming a certain model for energy loss, one may estimate some properties of the QGP, which reasonably describe RHIC and LHC heavy ion data on neutral and charged hadron production.
- Model dependence of QGP properties not desirable...
- Quenching weights; unified and easy-to-implement modification in the fragmentation functions...
- Consider other nPDF's (DS, HKN, nCTEQ) and FF's
- Generalize this study to several centrality classes, from central to more peripheral collisions (use the correct geometry)
- Other approaches: medium modified splitting functions alter the evolution of fragmentation functions...
- Considere more realistic hydrodynamical quantities: transversal expansion, T and ε dependency of transport coefficients, etc
- Pin down the cold matter effects in pA collisions, where the QGP is not criated
- Complement these studies with Monte Carlo implementations like QPythia and others...
- Necessary to study other observables: dihadron correlations, two jet asymmetries, etc.