Turbulence & computer simulations

Axel Brandenburg (Nordita, Stockholm) Wolfgang Dobler (Univ. Calgary) and now many more....

(...just google for Pencil Code)

Kolmogorov spectrum

nonlinearity

$$(\cos kx)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\cos 2kx + \frac{1}{2}$$

 $k \rightarrow 2k$

constant flux ɛ [cm²/s³]





$$\int E(k)dk = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}^2 \rangle \quad [\mathbf{C}\mathbf{m}^3/\mathbf{s}^2]$$
$$E(k) = C_K \varepsilon^a k^b$$
$$Cm: 3=2a-1 \qquad a=2/3, b=-5/3$$
$$S: 2=3a$$

Scintillations

- Armstrong, Cordes, Rickett 1981, Nature
- Armstrong, Rickett,
 Spangler 1995,
 ApJ



Simulation of turbulence at 1024³

(Porter, Pouquet, & Woodward 1998)



4

Direct vs hyper at 512³

Biskamp & Müller (2000, Phys Fluids 7, 4889)

D. Biskamp and W.-C. Müller



FIG. 11. Scatter plot of the normalized energy spectrum compensated by $k^{5/3}$ from the hyperdiffusive run 10. The dotted line is identical to the one in Fig. 8 for normal diffusion, indicating the same inertial range spectrum outside the bottleneck hump. The dashed line gives the one-dimensional spectrum $E(|k_z|) = E(k_z) + E(-k_z)$.

FIG. 8. Scatter plot of the normalized angle-integrated energy spectrum compensated by $k^{5/3}$ from run 6 taken during the period t=4.5-10. The dashed line indicates the IK-spectrum $k^{3/2}$, the dotted line the Kolmogorov spectrum with C' = 1.7.

Ideal hydro: should we be worried?

- Why this k⁻¹ tail in the power spectrum?
 - Compressibility?
 - PPM method
 - Or is real??
- Hyperviscosity destroys entire inertial range?
 Can we trust any ideal method?
- Needed to wait for 4096³ *direct* simulations

Non-ideal equations

$$\rho \frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\nabla p + f + \nu \left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + 2S\nabla\ln\rho\right)$$
$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = -\rho\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$$
$$T \frac{Ds}{Dt} = 2\nu S^2 \qquad S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}u_{k,k}$$

Hyperviscous, Smagorinsky, normal



Inertial range unaffected by artificial diffusion

Bottleneck effect: 1D vs 3D spectra

Why did wind tunnels not show this?



Relation to 'laboratory' 1D spectra

$$E_{3D} = \int |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})|^{2} k^{2} d\Omega_{k} = 4\pi k^{2} \langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})|^{2} \rangle$$

$$E_{1D}(k_{z}) = 2 \int \langle |\mathbf{u}(x, y, k_{z})|^{2} \rangle dx dy$$

$$= 4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle |\mathbf{u}(k_{\sigma}, k_{z})|^{2} \rangle k_{\sigma} dk_{\sigma} = 4\pi \int_{k_{z}}^{\infty} \langle |\mathbf{u}(k)|^{2} \rangle k dk$$

$$= \int_{k_{z}}^{\infty} \frac{E_{3D}}{k} dk$$

$$k^{2} = k_{\sigma}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}$$
Dobler, et al
(2003, PRE 68, 026304)

Nonhelical MHD turbulent spectrum







- Started in Sept. 2001 with Wolfgang Dobler
- High order (6th order in space, 3rd order in time)
- Cache & memory efficient
- MPI, can run PacxMPI (across countries!)
- Maintained/developed by ~80 people (SVN)
- Automatic validation (over night or any time)
- 0.0013 µs/pt/step at 1024³, 2048 procs
- http://pencil-code.googlecode.com







– MHD, passive scl, CR

Stratified layers

- Convection, radiation
- Shearing box
 - MRI, dust, interstellar
 - Self-gravity
- Sphere embedded in box
 - Fully convective stars
 - geodynamo
- Other applications
 - Chemistry, combustion
 - Spherical coordinates



Pencil formulation

- In CRAY days: worked with full chunks *f(nx,ny,nz,nvar)* Now, on SGI, nearly 100% cache misses
- Instead work with *f(nx,nvar)*, i.e. one *nx*-pencil
- No cache misses, negligible work space, just 2N
 Can keep all components of derivative tensors
- Communication before sub-timestep
- Then evaluate all derivatives, e.g. *call curl(f,iA,B)*

- Vector potential A = f(:,:,:,iAx:iAz), B = B(nx,3)

Switch modules

- magnetic or nomagnetic (e.g. just hydro)
- hydro or nohydro (e.g. kinematic dynamo)
- density or nodensity (burgulence)
- entropy or noentropy (e.g. isothermal)
- radiation or noradiation (solar convection, discs)
- dustvelocity or nodustvelocity (planetesimals)
- Coagulation, reaction equations
- Chemistry (reaction-diffusion-advection equations)

Other physics modules: MHD, radiation, partial ionization, chemical reactions, selfgravity

High-order schemes

- Alternative to spectral or compact schemes
 - Efficiently parallelized, no transpose necessary
 - No restriction on boundary conditions
 - Curvilinear coordinates possible (except for singularities)
- 6th (or other) order central differences in space
- Non-conservative scheme
 - Allows use of logarithmic density and entropy
 - Copes well with strong stratification and temperature contrasts

(i) High-order spatial schemes

Main advantage: low phase errors

$$f'_{i} = \frac{-f_{i-3} + 9f_{i-2} - 45f_{i-1} + 45f_{i+1} - 9f_{i+2} + f_{i+3}}{60\delta x}$$

$$f_{i}^{"} = \frac{2f_{i-3} - 27f_{i-2} + 270f_{i-1} - 490f_{i} + 270f_{i+1} - 27f_{i+2} + 2f_{i+3}}{180\delta x^{2}}$$

Near boundaries:



Wavenumber characteristics



Higher order – less viscosity



Less viscosity – also in shocks



(ii) High-order temporal schemes

Main advantage: low amplitude errors

2N-RK3 scheme (Williamson 1980)

$$w_{i} = \alpha_{i}w_{i-1} + \delta t F(t_{i-1}, u_{i-1})$$

$$u_{i} = u_{i-1} + \beta_{i}w_{i}$$

$$u_{0} = u^{(n)}, u^{(n+1)} = u_{3}$$

$$2^{nd} \text{ order}$$

$$\alpha_{1} = 0, \alpha_{2} = -1/2$$

$$\beta_{1} = 1/2, \beta_{2} = 1$$

3rd order

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \ \alpha_2 = -2/3, \ \alpha_3 = -1$$

 $\beta_1 = 1/3, \ \beta_2 = 1, \ \beta_3 = 1/2$

 $1^{\text{st}} \text{ order} \\ \alpha_1 = 0 \\ \beta_1 = 1$

Shock tube test



21

Vector potential

- **B**=curl**A**, advantage: div**B**=0
- **J**=curl**B**=curl(curl**A**) =curl2**A**
- Not a disadvantage: consider Alfven waves

B-formulation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = B_0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}$$
, and $\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} = B_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$

A-formulation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = B_0 \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial z^2}$$
, and $\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = B_0 u$

2nd der once is better than 1st der twice!

Comparison of A and B methods





Faster and bigger machines



Online data reduction and visualization



non-helically forced turbulence



Scalars on periphery of the box





Vorticity and Density



See poster by Tobi Heinemann on density wave excitation!

Transfer equation & parallelization $\frac{dI}{d\tau} = I - S$

Analytic Solution:

$$I(au) = I_0 e^{ au_0 - au} + \left| \int_{ au_0}^{ au} e^{ au' - au} S(au') d au'
ight|$$







The Transfer Equation & Parallelization



Ray direction 30

The Transfer Equation & Parallelization





Conclusions

- Advantage of high order
- Bottleneck real
- Boundary conditions easy to implement
- Online data analysis

