Nonperturbative QCD in pp scattering at the LHC

IX Simpósio Latino Americano de Física de Altas Energias SILAFAE

Jochen Bartels,

Hamburg University and Universidad Técnica Federico Santa Maria

- Introduction: the transverse distance scale
- Total cross section, elastic scattering
- BFKL and recent developments
- multiple interactions
- saturation
- diffraction

Not covered: heavy ion collisions (AAS or pA)

Introduction

At the LHC we are looking for new physics (mostly)

at large momentum scales which allows to use 'hard QCD':

- parton densities,
- partonic subprocesses,
- jets
- ...

But: there is another class of final states which

I) has large cross section:

total cross section is about 100 mb

For comparison:

cross sections of hard processes are smaller:

Typical jet cross section at the LHC: 10 - 100 $\,\mu b$

Higgs cross section: $\mathcal{O}(pb)$

2) are fully or partly nonperturbative





⇒ this talk

Perturbative vs. nonperturbative QCD: relevant scale is the transverse distance



transverse plane



 $R^{2}(s) = R^{2}_{A} + R^{2}_{B} + \alpha' \ln s$

short distance - long distance:



- hard processes confined to small regions
- total cross section, elastic scattering probes all distances
- most processes lie in between; in particular:

BFKL searches start in small regions, but are sensitive to large distances multiple interactions and saturation explore the interface hard diffraction is sensitive to small and large distances

• each final state has its own way to exhibit large distance effects

- Total cross section, elastic scattering
- BFKL and recent developments
- multiple interactions
- saturation
- diffraction

Total cross section, elastic scattering



Forward direction has large cross sections: theory wanted!

Totem results:



Fig. 2: The new TOTEM data demonstrate the continuation of the trends from earlier measurements, and indicate the high precision of the TOTEM experiment.⁴

Theory/models for total cross section:

 $Exp: \sigma_{tot} = 98.3mb$

Block, Halzen: Fit of formula (95.4)

$$\sigma_{tot} = 90.281 \left(\ln\frac{\nu}{m}\right)^2 - 1.44 \ln\frac{\nu}{m} + 37.2 + 37.1 \left(\frac{\nu}{m}\right)^{-0.5}$$

Donnachie, Landshoff: Regge pole, soft plus hard (soft alone: $\sigma_{tot} \approx 91mb$)

$$\sigma_{tot} = c_1 s^{\alpha_{P,soft}(0)-1} + c_2 s^{\alpha_{P,hard}(0)-1}$$
(98)
soft : $\alpha_{P,soft}(t) = 1.093 + \alpha' + 0.25t$ hard : $\alpha_{P,hard}(t) = 1.362 + \alpha' + 0.1t$

Eikonal ansatz for elastic cross section

Tel Aviv (Gotsman, Levin, Maor)(98.6)Durham (Martin, Khoze, Ryskin)(96.4)





Elastic cross section: dip structure





(a) The first TOTEM data on differential cross-section of elastic p+p scattering at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, measured in the momentum transfer range of $0.36 \le |t| \le 2.5$ GeV².

(b) When compared to predictions of different models, the TOTEM elastic scattering $d\sigma/dt$ data show a strong discriminative power.³⁾

Fig. 1: TOTEM elastic scattering $d\sigma/dt$ data measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV.

Comparison with $p\bar{p}$ (although at different energies): signal for the Odderon?



model-dependent analysis , before Totem Jenkovsky et al

Figure 3: (a) $\bar{p}p$ differential cross sections calculated in model, Eqs. (2-8, TR.1), and fitted to the data, and fitted to the data in the range $-t = 0.1 - 8 \text{ GeV}^2$. (b) pp differential cross sections calculated in the model and fitted to the data. The curves present calculations with the parameters shown in Table (S).

Simplest explanation: three gluon exchange (Donnachie, Landshoff: ISR data) = beginning of Odderon (analogue of BFKL)

Small-size dipole scattering: BFKL



More remarkable properties of the BFKL Pomeron:

I) unitarity:nonlinear equationsbootstrap equation





2) Beginning of a 2+1 dim field theory, with reggeized gluons as d.o.f.



3) In LO: two-dimensional conformal invariance (Moebius invariance): connection with N=4 SYM (=most symmetric gauge theory), integrability, theory might be solvable

4) Electroweak theory, gravity: high energy behavior vs. renormalizibility

How to test this calculation:

I) $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ collisions in electron-positron scattering (LEP)

2) Mueller-Navelet jets in pp-scattering (Tevatron, LHC)



Comments on BFKL-related activities for the LHC:

I) NLO available: BFKL, jet vertex, numerical analysis

2) Angular decorrelation as BFKL signal





Colferai et al.

Papa et al.



3) BFKL energy dependence: use different machine energies

Jet-gap-jet (hard color singlet exchange)



Cox,Forshaw,Lonnblad; Enberg,Ingelman, Motyka; Royon

BFKL needs all conformal spins

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pp}}{dx_1 dx_2 dE_T^2} = Sf(x_1, E_T)f(x_2, e_T)\frac{d\sigma^{q \to JJ}(\eta, E_T)}{dE_T^2}$$

Survival factor S: (other chains, radiation?) Modelled by Monte Carlo

New formulation of BFKL (HERA): discrete Regge poles

BFKL equation is often written as evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\psi(y,k) = \int d^2k' K_{BFKL}(k,k')\psi(y,k')$$

where kernel has continuous eigenvalue spectrum.

Instead: boundary conditions at infrared plus asymptotic freedom Lipatov 1986 lead to discrete spectrum. Quasiclassical picture:

Eigenvalues and wave functions are sensitive to changes at turning points in UV region



Fit to HERA data. Signal of new physics?

Multi-Parton Interactions (MPI)

Inclusive cross section vs.underlying event:



Mostly based uopn eikonal formula

Pictures have slightly different meaning:

event \longrightarrow cross section involves summation Cancellations (collinear factorization, AGK)

Important consisteny check!

Important question: where is diffraction (rapidity gaps)? Soft diffraction:



BUT: second, third... chain may fill the gap, less diffraction

Sum over chains and all rescattering effects: lowers the probability of rapidity gaps

(semi)hard diffraction: add new contribution



As in soft diffraction: additional chains fill the gap.

Leaves the eikonal approximation!

Double parton cross sections:



Cross sections have been calculated (e.g. double J/Psi)

Theoretical questions being addressed:

Evolution of double parton densities:

- double DGLAP misses transverse dependence
- corrections: correlations, splitting







Saturation

Saturation was first discussed in the context of small-x gluon densities at HERA, later on it started to play a major role in ion collisions (color glass condensate)



Evidence in ep scattering :

- geometric scaling
- successful models for F_2
- ratio of diffractive to total cross section

Saturation at the LHC (in pp): larger kinematic region

Potential signals:

- <pt>, <n>?
- Ridge effect?
- Drell Yan in forward region

much more in AA and pA: larger saturation scale



Ridge effect in pp and pA collisions: two particle correlation, azimuthal correlations



Saturation is a strong candidate:

- •strong field: high density
- •low p_T : saturation momentum

$$x = 10^{-5} \rightarrow Q_s \approx 1 GeV$$

•angular correlation: need extra ingredient





Difference between pp and pA is in the details of saturation

Diffractive/rapidity gap processes

Central exclusive production (CEP): forward spectrometer



Theoretical ingredients: parton densities, Sudakov factor, suppression rules survival probability



Recent developments:

- Diphoton seen at Tevatron
- double meson states, resonances
- χ_c production

Learn about CEP dynamics

• Two photon physics:

 $W_{\gamma\gamma} \le 1.8 \, TeV$



anomalous quartic coupling

Figure 1: Feynman diagrams for the signal (triple gauge couplings on the left, quartic on the right)



VV-scattering : unitarity problem

Conclusions

Fundamental problem in 'nonperturbative high energy QCD': understand the transition and the large distance region small is large transverse distance region

Small: BFKL, DGLAP

Large: total, elastic cross section (Pomeron)

most final states sensitive to both, e.g.

- multiple interactions
- saturation
- diffraction

their study provides the necessary theoretical help