

III Southern-Summer School on Mathematical Biology

Roberto André Kraenkel, *IFT*

<http://www.ift.unesp.br/users/kraenkel>

Lecture VI

São Paulo, February 2014



Outline

1 Semi-arid and arid regions



Outline

1 Semi-arid and arid regions

2 Model



Outline

- 1 Semi-arid and arid regions
- 2 Model
- 3 Hysteresis



Outline

- 1 Semi-arid and arid regions
- 2 Model
- 3 Hysteresis
- 4 Glory and Misery of the Model



Vegetation in semi-arid regions

Eremology: science of arid regions.




Figura : Arid and semi-arid regions of the world

Vegetation in semi-arid regions

Eremology: science of arid regions.




Figura : Arid and semi-arid regions of the world

Vegetation in Semi-Arid Regions




Figura : Bahia

- Consider the vegetation cover in water-poor regions.
- In this case, water is a *limiting factor*.
- quite different from tropical regions, where competition for water is irrelevant. One of the main limiting factor is light.
- We want to build a mathematical model — (**simple, please**) — to describe the mutual relation between water in soil and biomass in semi-arid regions.
- Let us do it

Klausmeier Model



Figura : Colorado, USA



Figura : Kalahari, Namibia

- Water and vegetation, in a first approximation, entertain a relation similar to *predator-prey dynamics*.
- The presence of water is incremental for vegetation;
- Vegetation consumes water.
- But note that water does not originate from water.. It is an abiotic variable.
- The usual predator-prey dynamics does not apply.
- Consider two variables:
 - ▶ w , the amount of water in soil.
 - ▶ u , the vegetation biomass (proportional to the area with vegetation cover).

Klausmeier Model

Equation for the amount of water in soil

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \underbrace{a}_{\text{precipitation}} - \underbrace{bw}_{\text{evaporation}} - \underbrace{cu^2 w}_{\text{absorption by vegetation}}$$

Water in soil increases due to precipitation (a), evaporates at a constant *per volume* rate (b), and is absorbed by vegetation in a per volume rate that depends on u^2 (c). This is phenomenological law coming from lab fittings



Klausmeier Model

Equation for the amount of water in soil

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \underbrace{a}_{\text{precipitation}} - \underbrace{bw}_{\text{evaporation}} - \underbrace{cu^2w}_{\text{absorption by vegetation}}$$

Water in soil increases due to precipitation (a), evaporates at a constant *per volume* rate (b), and is absorbed by vegetation in a per volume rate that depends on u^2 (c). This is phenomenological law coming from lab fittings

Equation for biomass

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \underbrace{-du}_{\text{natural death}} + \underbrace{eu^2w}_{\text{absorption of water}}$$

Vegetation has a natural death rate, (d) and absorbs water at a per volume rate (e) proportional to uw .

Analysis

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = a - bw - cu^2w$$

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -du + eu^2w$$

- Let us begin by defining two new variables, rescaled ones:

$$W = w \left[\frac{e}{\sqrt{b^3c}} \right]$$

$$U = u\sqrt{bc}$$

$$T = tb$$

- They are dimensionless.
- Plug them into the equations and you will get....



Analysis of the model

$$\frac{dW}{dT} = A - W - WU^2$$

$$\frac{dU}{dT} = WU^2 - BU$$

where

$$A = \frac{ae}{\sqrt{b^3c}}$$

and

$$B = d/b$$

⇒ the equations depend only on **two** parameters, instead of five.

What do these equations tell us?

Analysis of the model

$$\frac{dW}{dT} = A - W - WU^2$$

$$\frac{dU}{dT} = WU^2 - BU$$

- Let us look for fixed points:
- The points U^* e W^* such that



$$\frac{dW^*}{dT} = 0$$



$$\frac{dU^*}{dT} = 0$$

- or



$$A - W^* - W^*(U^*)^2 = 0$$



$$W^*(U^*)^2 - BU^* = 0$$

Analysis of the model

$$\frac{dW}{dT} = A - W - WU^2$$

$$\frac{dU}{dT} = WU^2 - BU$$

- The algebraic equations has three roots:

$$U^* = 0$$

$$W^* = A$$

If $A > 2B$

$$U^* = \frac{2B}{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}$$

$$W^* = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}{2}$$

If $A > 2B$

$$U^* = \frac{2B}{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}$$

$$W^* = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}{2}$$

Analysis of the Model

Interpretation

Our first conclusion:

- If $A < 2B$ the only solution is $U^* = 0$ e $W^* = A$.
- This represents a bare state. **A desert.**
- The condition $A > 2B \Rightarrow a > \frac{2d\sqrt{bc}}{e}$ shows that there must be a minimum amount of precipitation to sustain vegetation.
- Moreover, the higher e the easier to have a state with vegetation. Recall that e represents the absorption rate. The higher, the better.
- On the other, the higher (b) and the death rate of the population, (d) easier it is to have a vegetationless solution.
- **Seems reasonable!**

Analysis of the model

So, let $A > 2B$

- If $A > 2B$, we can have two fixed points.
- What about their stability?.
- The linear stability analysis results in:
 - ▶ The fixed point $U^* = 0$ and $W^* = A$ is always **stable**, even if $A \leq 2B$.
 - ▶ The fixed point

$$U^* = \frac{2B}{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}, \quad W^* = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}{2}$$

is always **unstable**.

- ▶ The fixed point

$$U^* = \frac{2B}{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}, \quad W^* = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4B^2}}{2}$$

is **stable** provided $B < 2$.

Analysis of the Model

- So, if $A > 2B$, and $B < 2$, we have **two** stable fixed points, each of them with its basin of attraction.
- A pictorial view is as follows:




Figura : B is fixed, and we plot U^* (the biomass) in terms of A . The solution representing a desert ($U^* = 0$) and the solution corresponding to vegetation cover are both stable

Hysteresis

- The existence of a region of bi-stability ($A > 2B$, $B < 2$), can take us to the following situation.
- Take a fixed B . Consider that A can change slowly.
- Let us begin in the bi-stability. And let A decrease. At a certain moment, A will cross the critical value $A = 2B$.
- At this time a **sudden transition** occurs, a jump, in which $U^* \rightarrow 0$.
Desertification!!!
- Suppose now that A begins again to increase - slowly. As $U^* = 0$ is stable, even with $A > 2B$ we will continue in the "desertic" region, as at the moment of crossing back the critical point we were in its the basin of attraction..
- **In summary:** if we begin with a certain A , decrease it $A < 2B$ and then come back to our initial value of A , the state of the system can transit from $U^* \neq 0$ to $U^* = 0$.
- This is called **Hysteresis**.

Hysteresis




Figura : B is fixed. A begins at a value A' with $U^* = U'$, decreases, crosses a critical point at $A = 2B$. It goes to , $U^* \rightarrow 0$. When we increase again A , even with $A > 2B$, we have $U^* = 0$.

Once the "desertic" state is attained it is not sufficient to change the external conditions back (in our model, this is the rainfall) in order to get back a vegetation-cover state .

Terrible!

Glory and Misery of the Model

Glory




Figura : Estimated vegetation cover in the region of Sahara, over a long time span. We see a sudden change around 5500 BP.

- Existence of sudden transitions can be understood rather simply. The same kind of phenomenon appears in other systems as well.
- The model is **Simple**.

Glory and Misery of the Model

Misery



Figura : Desertification Region in China




Figura : Senegal, at the Sahel region, south to Sahara.

- The model is **very simple**
- The transition is towards a completely vegetationless state. Actual desertification processes allow for remnants of vegetation.
- The model predicts an infinite bi-stability region... We could think that enough rain could reverse desertification.
- There are indeed better models.

More realistic models


- More realistic models give bifurcation diagrams like the one below.



Biomass in terms of the rainfall, in a static case.. The blue curve represents **two transition regions**. The one to the left implies a **vegetation** → **desert** transition. To the right, a reversed transition.

More realist models

- Still another curve.



This diagram is similar to the preceding one, but U^* does not tend to zero.

Bibliography

- M. Scheffer e S.R. Carpenter: Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking theory to observation, *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **18**, 648 (2003).
- M. Rietkerk et alli.: Self-Organized Patchiness and Catastrophic Shifts in Ecosystems, *Science* **305**, 1926 (2004)
- C.A. Klausmaier: Regular and irregular patterns in semiarid vegetation, *Science* **284**, 1826 (1999).
- J.A. Sherrat: An Analysis of Vegetation Stripe Formation in Semi-Arid Landscapes, *J. Math. Biology* **51**, 183 (2005).
- M. Scheffer, *Critical Transitions in Nature and Society* (Princeton U. P. 2009).



Online Resources

- <http://www.ictp-saifr.org/mathbio3>
- <http://ecologia.ib.usp.br/ssmb/>

Thank you for your attention

