ICTP-SAIFR – Dec 2014

The CMB and our peculiar velocity

In collaboration with: Luca Amendola, Riccardo Catena, Isabella Masina, Alessio Notari & Claudia Quercellini



Miguel Quartin Instituto de Física Univ. Fed. do Rio de Janeiro



CMB after COBE, WMAP, Planck, ...

- The CMB is seen as one of the best confirmations of the Big-Bang model \rightarrow *Nobel Prize* 2006
- A universe with only standard model particles + dark matter cannot explain its spectrum
- WMAP (and now Planck) established ΛCDM as the *de facto* standard cosmological model
 - ΛCDM + inflation explains almost all CMB observations
 - Some small deviations ("anomalies") were detected by WMAP and confirmed by Planck (currently at ~3σ).
 - Planck detected no new anomalies OR non-Gaussianity

Planck XXIII – 1303.5083

The Undying ΛCDM

WMAP mission:

- Observed the CMB for 9 years;
- Reduction of the volume of the 6-dimensional ΛCDM
 - parameter space ($\Omega_{b0'}$, $\Omega_{m0'}$, h, A, τ , n_s) by ~ 68,000
 - The same model still works!
 - Non-trivial statistical result!
- This is still true, even with Planck, Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT) & South Pole Telescope (SPT)!





Precise parameter estimation in ACDM not enough
 Very important to cross-check observations
 Rule-out systematics
 Very important to cross-check theoretical assumptions
 e.g.: homogeneity, isotropy

The CMB Dipole

• CMB Temperature: $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725 K \left| 1 + \frac{\Delta T(\theta, \phi)}{T} \right|$

Spherical Harmonics decomposition:



- $\ell = 0 \rightarrow \text{monopole}$
- $\ell = 1 \rightarrow \text{dipole:} \sim 10^{-3}$
- $\ell = 2 \rightarrow \text{quadrupole:} \sim 10^{-5}$
- ℓ > 2 → all ~ 10^{-5}

The CMB Dipole (2)

The CMB dipole ~ 100 times larger than other multipoles
Reason: Doppler effect due to our peculiar motion

• CMB dipole \rightarrow measurement of v_{CMB}

• $v_{CMB} \approx 369 \text{ km/s} \rightarrow \beta \equiv v/c = 1.231 \times 10^{-3}$

direction → $l = 263.99^{\circ} \pm 0.14^{\circ}$; $b = 48.26^{\circ} \pm 0.03^{\circ}$

But there might be other contributions to the dipole:
 Isocurvature CMB dipole; dipolar lensing; gradients of super-horizon modes etc.

How to tell these contributions apart?

CMB Dipole (3) $\frac{\Delta T}{T} = 1.23 \times 10^{-3}$

Assuming the whole dipole to be just Doppler, we find that the velocity should be $|\mathbf{v}| = 369$ km/s



The CMB dipole ↔ Doppler effect
 But peculiar motion produces also aberration!



The CMB dipole ↔ Doppler effect
 But peculiar motion produces also aberration!



The CMB dipole ↔ Doppler effect
 But peculiar motion produces also aberration!







We want to measure β ~ 10⁻³

 $\beta \not = \not = 0.5$

a_{lm} Correlations

Aberration $\rightarrow a_{\ell m}$ correlations between different ℓ 's $a_{\ell m}^{X \text{ [Aberrated]}} = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} K_{\ell' \ell m}^{X} a_{\ell' m}^{X \text{ [Primordial]}}$

$$K_{\ell'\ell m}^{T} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\gamma \left(1 - \beta x\right)} \tilde{P}_{\ell'}^{m}(x) \tilde{P}_{\ell}^{m}\left(\frac{x - \beta}{1 - \beta x}\right)$$

Assoc. Legendre Polin.

 For E and B polarization the integrals are similar
 These integrals present a numerical challenge! *Chluba* 1102.3415 (MNRAS)

$a_{\ell m}$ Correlations (2)

These predicted correlations

- Do not affect the angular power spectrum (the C_{ℓ} 's)
- Break statistical isotropy of the CMB

 $\langle a_{\ell m} \, a_{\ell' m'} \rangle \neq C_{\ell} \, \delta_{\ell \ell'} \, \delta_{m m'}$

We can build an estimator for β
Since all ℓ's are affected: more ℓ measured → better S/N
Measuring EE, ET, TE and BB power spectra → better S/N
Better S/N ↔ more accurate measurement of β
Planck (30 months): ℓ^T_{max} ~ 2000 ; ℓ^{E,B}_{max} ~ 1600

CMB Missions

Planck



EBEX *





SPT / SPTPol

Notari & Quartin 1112.1400Results: S/N(JCAP)

Experiment	$f_{ m sky}$	S/N
WMAP (9 years)	78%	0.7
EBEX	1%	0.9
Planck (2.5 years)	80%	5.9
SPT SZ	6%	2.0
SPTPol (3 years)	1.6%	2.5
ACTPol (1 year)	10%	4.4
ACTPol + (4 years)	40%	8.8
COrE (4 years)	80%	14
EPIC 4K	80%	16
EPIC 30K	80%	13
Ideal $(\ell \le 6000)$	$\overline{100\%}$	44

Results: Measuring β



Planck Measured Aberration!

Planck 2013 results. XXVII. Doppler boosting of the CMB: Eppur si muove*

ABSTRACT

Our velocity relative to the rest frame of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) generates a dipole temperature anisotropy on the sky which has been well measured for more than 30 years, and has an accepted amplitude of $v/c = 1.23 \times 10^{-3}$, or v = 369 km s⁻¹. In addition to this signal generated by Doppler boosting of the CMB monopole, our motion also modulates and aberrates the CMB temperature fluctuations (as well as every other source of radiation at cosmological distances). This is an order 10^{-3} effect applied to fluctuations which are already one part in roughly 10^{-5} , so it is quite small. Nevertheless, it becomes detectable with the all-sky coverage, high angular resolution, and low noise levels of the *Planck* satellite. Here we report a first measurement of this velocity signature using the aberration and modulation effects on the CMB temperature anisotropies, finding a component in the known dipole direction, $(l, b) = (264^{\circ}, 48^{\circ})$, of $384 \text{ km s}^{-1} \pm 78 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (stat.) $\pm 115 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (syst.). This is a significant confirmation of the expected velocity.

Key words. Cosmology: observations - cosmic background radiation - Reference systems - Relativistic processes

$384 \text{ km s}^{-1} \pm 78 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ (stat.)} \pm 115 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ (syst.)}$

What are we measuring?

- Doppler & aberration → in principle independent effects;
- What β are we measuring?
- Standard picture: adiabatic perturbation
 - Doppler & aberration with same magnitude
- What about an isocurvature dipole?
 - Superhorizon isocurvature perturb. → large bulk flows
 - Are large bulk flows real?
 - Other sources of dipole:
 - off-center spherical void models ("LTB")?
 - Metrics with vorticity?

- ... ?

Aberration as a Nuisance

Aberration is not currently corrected for in the data \rightarrow this can lead to biases and/or anomalies

Cosmological parameter bias was shown to be negligible

See Catena & Notari 1210.2731 (JCAP)

Except in partial-sky surveys. E.g.: sound-horizon size

See Jeong et al. 1309.2285

It also adds no significant "KSW non-Gaussianity"
 See *Catena, Liguori, Notari & Renzi 1301.3777 (JCAP)* But Aberration induces a Hemispherical Asymmetry
 It cannot explain all Hemisf. Asymmetry, but neither can be neglected <u>Notari, Quartin & Catena 1304.3506</u>

CMB Anomalies

Planck 2013 results. XXIII. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB

Planck has confirmed the anomalies observed in WMAP Some of them were *initially* claimed to extend to higher *l*'s ■ No change for the low- ℓ anomalies \rightarrow WMAP was already limited by cosmic variance for $\ell < 600$. The statistical significance of these is around 3σ. These anomalies are so-called because, if real, they violate very one of 2 basic hypothesis: initial fluctuations are statistically isotropic and Gaussian In a nutshell Planck sees no significant non-Gaussianity Apart from well-understood ISW-lensing effect 21

CMB large-angle Anomalies

The anomalies present in large angular scales are (according to Planck)

- Quadrupole-octopole alignment
- The low variance
- Phase corrections
- Hemispherical asymmetry
- Dipolar power modulation
- Generalized power modulation
- Parity asymmetry
- The Cold Spot

Planck XXIII 1303.5083

Hemispherical asymmetries

There are 2 anomalies which can be called hemispherical

- For low-l (2 < l < 40), the power spectrum in antipodal hemispheres differ considerably
 - Effect is maximized when 1 hemisphere is centered around $b = -20^{\circ}$, $1 = 237^{\circ}$
 - Eriksen et al., astro-ph/0307507 (ApJ)
 - Planck name: Hemispherical Asymmetry
- At all l's, there appears to be more power in the north sky than in the south sky
 - Sometimes it is assumed that the power may be modulated along the sky by a dipole term
 - Planck name: Dipolar Asymmetry

Hemispherical asymmetries (2)

An obvious candidate for a dipolar modulation is a boost!

 Problem: the dipolar modulation appears to be maximal not along the peculiar velocity direction but instead along the galactic plane

• WMAP measured the power asymmetry up to $\ell = 600$

Planck extended this to l = 1500
They could go even higher, to l = ~1900

Hemispherical Asymmetry

Hemispherical Asymmetry (or "North-South" asymmetry) is an overall difference in power between 2 hemispheres of the sky



Direction of maximal power asymmetry



Power asymmetry along the dipole direction



Aberration Power Asymmetry

Aberration induces a power asymmetry!

Even if the asymmetry is not maximal along the dipole we must understand and subtract the aberration contribution

We can compute the aberration asymmetry this way:

The overall power is a multiplicative parameter A

• We construct a simple χ^2 to find the best fit A on simulated data using HEALPix (modified to allow Doppler + aberration)

It turns out aberration adds an important contribution to the power asymmetries

Notari, Quartin & Catena 1304.3506 (JCAP)

Aberration Power Asymmetry (2)

There seems to be nevertheless some other source of anomalies at low ℓ

• Steps to properly assess CMB power anomalies:

Smooth (apodize) mask to reduce edge effects Flender & Hotchkiss 1307.6069

Deboost the CMB (or boost simulations)

Note that Planck noise is also asymmetric

This is due to the non-isotropic sky-scan

Use an antipodally symmetric mask

If a pixel is masked, mask also the antipodal pixel

Quartin & Notari 1308.5792

Aberration Power Asymmetry (8)

A symmetrized U73



Step-by-step



31



Dipolar Modulation of Power?

Some authors have suggested the CMB power spectrum amplitude is modulated by a cosine

 $T = T_{\text{isotropic}} (1 + A_{\text{mod}} \cos \alpha)$

$$\chi^2(A_{\text{mod}}) = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{I}^{ij}_{\ell} \left(D^i_{\ell} - \overline{D}^i_{\ell} \right) \left(D^j_{\ell} - \overline{D}^j_{\ell} \right)$$

 $\overline{D}_{\ell}^{N,S} = \left(\overline{D}_{\ell}^{\text{gauss}} \pm \delta D_{\ell}^{\beta}\right) (1 \pm 2\langle \cos \alpha \rangle A_{\text{mod}}) + N_{\ell}^{N,S}$

We can now properly measure this parameter

Dipolar Modulation of Power?



Dipolar Modulation of Power? (2)



Conclusions

Aberration has been CONFIRMED by Planck Statistical isotropy broken BUT no non-Gaussianity OR grav. lensing Our predictions were also quantitatively confirmed Future: ACTPol with fsky = 0.4 (4 years) **S/N ~ 9;** δ (direction) < 7°; δ v / v_{CMB} < 13% Measurement of aberration breaks the degeneracy between intrinsic dipole and peculiar velocity Window to measure primordial dipole?

Conclusions (2)

- Cross-check against more exotic models;
- Introduces systematics if not corrected for
- Hemispherical asymmetry reduced from 2.8 to 1.6σ
- No evidence for dipolar modulation: < 1σ (instead of 3 to 5σ if we ignore the boost)

We should always work on the CMB rest frame!