# Fit Results

- Need to compare starting point and results
  - Pathologies due to lack of MC stats in some areas, strong correlations, ...
- Crucial to design analysis with good control regions the fit can use to address least understood systematics



## **All Together Now**



# **Choosing a Topic**

- Scalar and fermionic top partner searches have very similar high mass sensitivity
  - Not surprising: cross-section higher for fermions, but mass limit only moderately sensitive to that
    - Low background at high mass
- What about overlaps?
  - Turns out SUSY searches have good sensitivity to vector-like quarks!
    - SUSY large MET requirement maps to e.g.  $Z \rightarrow vv$



### arXiv:1608.01312

### **Complementarity of Resonant Scalar, Vector-Like Quark and Superpartner** Searches in Elucidating New Phenomena

Sc 🛠 ha Ν b

Τι

VE

ξ

Anke Biekötter,<sup>1</sup> JoAnne L. Hewett,<sup>2</sup> Jong Soo Kim,<sup>3</sup> Michael Krämer,<sup>1</sup> Thomas G. Rizzo,<sup>2</sup> Krzysztof Rolbiecki,<sup>4</sup> Jamie Tattersall,<sup>1</sup> and Torsten Weber<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie, RWTH Aachen, Germany <sup>2</sup>SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park 94025, CA, USA <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Fisica Teorica UAM/CSIC, Madrid, Spain <sup>4</sup>Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski, Warsaw, Poland (Dated: August 30, 2016)

The elucidation of the nature of new phenomena requires a multi-pronged approach to understand the essential physics that underlies it. As an example, we study the simplified model containing a new scalar singlet accompanied by vector-like quarks, as motivated by the recent diphoton excess at the LHC. To be specific, we investigate three models with  $SU(2)_L$ -doublet, vector-like quarks with Yukawa couplings to a new scalar singlet and which also couple off-diagonally to corresponding Standard Model fermions of the first or third generation through the usual Higgs boson. We demonstrate that three classes of searches can play important and complementary roles in constraining this model. In particular, we find that missing energy searches designed for superparticle production, supply superior sensitivity for vector-like quarks than the dedicated new quark searches themselves.

### **TTK-16**

leory oserved Limit pected Limit pected  $\pm 1\sigma$ pected  $\pm 2\sigma$ at 95% CL erte er færere 0 1200 1300 1400 m<sub>⊤</sub> [GeV]



## V-A is The Problem!

- Violation of parity in weak interactions is The Problem
  - What, really, *is* (weak iso)spin?
- What if the fermion mass scale ~ parity restoration scale? (and the Higgs) mass flows from that)
  - Can we then, as a next step, hope to understand relative fermion masses?
  - BTW, did you notice that inside a generation, the more a fermion interacts the heavier it is?
    - Eek! (The whole point of the Higgs mechanism is to decouple masses from interactions!)
    - But even the Higgs wants W/Z partners!



# **Parity Restoration: Signals**

- Primary signals are (right-handed) W' (+ Z')
  - Dilepton resonances (Z') offer clean signals, well-understood backgrounds
    - At LHC, some concern about extrapolation of calibration from Z to very high energies -
    - Electron/muon resolution improves/degrades with p<sub>T</sub> -
  - tt decays visible
  - v<sub>R</sub> is presumably heavy, W' may not decay to leptons
    - Only dijet or diboson
    - If v<sub>R</sub> lighter than W'/Z', v<sub>R</sub> decays become important -
- Note: many kinds of Z' review by Langacker
- arXiv:0801.1345

- W'/Z' would also require new fermions...



# Z' Production and Decay



- Couplings vary by model
- E.g. for LR symmetric models,  $\kappa = g_R/g_L$ drives production cross-section (convolute with PDFs) and branching ratios
- Decays somewhat similar to Z (but almost) no BR to light neutrinos, decays to top open up), plot assumes v<sub>R</sub> heavier





### T. Rizzo, hep-ph/0610104

138



- Most promising channels:
  - Backgrounds very low!
  - "Self-calibrating"
  - In ee, at high masses, energy resolution dominated by constant term
    - 10 GeV for 1.5 TeV electron
    - Could measure width!
- LHC extended Tevatron reach immediately!
  - Limits now hitting 4+ TeV \_

ATLAS, Phys. Rev. D. 90, 052005 (2014)









### "Look Elsewhere" Effect CDF Run II Preliminary

- If search is done by counting experiment in a shifting mass window, need to factor in "look elsewhere" effect (~ # of windows)
  - Always an excess if look at enough distributions...
- Global fit to the (DY) spectrum is another approach
  - Let fit find the mass
  - Shape analysis more sensitive
- Run pseudo-experiments!





### **Model Determination**

- Angular distribution gives excellent handle on g<sub>V</sub>, g<sub>A</sub> for various fermions
  - Charm may be possible
- This will come after an initial determination of branching ratios (obviously)
  - Complementary information in determining nature of resonance

On-peak  $A_{FB}^{count}$  and  $\sigma^{rec}$ , 1 TeV





- SM Background obviously much larger
  - But single source \_
  - And opens the door to strongly interacting objects \_

CMS

Phys. Lett. B 769 (2017) 520







- Dijet resonances at moderate masses are tough
  - Unprescaled single jet trigger thresholds now > 400 GeV  $\rightarrow$  below m = 1 TeV no sensitivity! -
- Both experiments now implement "data scouting" \*
  - Only keep jet information in high level trigger to make events small



![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

875 GeV < m<sub>ii</sub> < 1020 GeV

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- But background dominated by QCD "elastic" scatters and larger angle = higher mass
- " $\Delta\eta$ " cuts used in many analyses

## **Gravity and Hierarchy**

# (or: Out of This World?)

Gustaaf Brooijmans

ICTP-SAIFR School 2018

## **Extra Dimensions**

- A promising approach to quantum gravity consists in adding extra space dimensions: string theory
  - Additional space dimensions are hidden, presumably because they are compactified

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Source: PhysicsWorld

Radius of compactification usually assumed to be at the scale of gravity, i.e. 1018 GeV

In '90 Antoniadis realized they may be much larger... \_

Phys.Lett.B246:377-384,1990

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

- "Large extra dimension" scenario (developed by Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos and Dvali): Phys.Lett. B429 (1998) 263-272
  - Standard model fields are confined to a 3+1 dimensional subspace ("brane")
  - Gravity propagates in all dimensions
  - Gravity appears weak on the brane because only felt when graviton "goes through"

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Drawing by K. Loureiro

## **ADD Signatures**

- Edges of extra dimensions identified
  - Boundary conditions
  - Momentum along extra dimension is quantized
  - Looks like mass to us
  - Very small separations  $\rightarrow$  looks like continuum
  - Called Kaluza-Klein tower
- Coupling to single graviton very weak, but there are *lots* of them!
  - Large phase space  $\rightarrow$  observable cross-section
    - Impacts all processes (graviton couples to energy-momentum) -

### Consider processes that involve the bulk (i.e. gravitons)

- Translational invariance is broken
  - Momentum is not conserved ...
  - ... because graviton disappears in bulk right away -
- ♦ Look for p p → jet/photon + nothing (i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}_{T}$ ), or deviations in high mass/angular behavior in standard model processes
  - Graviton has spin 2, couples to energy-momentum!

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Warped Extra Dimensions**

- Simple "Randall-Sundrum model:
  - SM confined to a brane, and gravity propagating in an extra dimension
  - As opposed to the original ADD scenario, the metric in the extra dimension is -"warped" by a factor  $exp(-2kr_c\Phi)$
  - (Requires 2 branes)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

Drawing by G Landsberg

### **Graviton Excitations**

In RS, get a few massive graviton excitations

- Widths depend on warp factor k
- Mass separation = zeros of Bessel function
- Smoking gun!

(BRs also different than Z': e.g. yy allowed)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Dielectrons/Diphotons**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Separate dielectrons from diphotons:

- Targeted background rejection yields better limits \_
- Diphotons more sensitive -

# **Spin Determination**

- Look at angle between lepton and beam direction
  - Spin 1 particles tend to emit leptons closer to beam
- Plot is potentially optimistic: sensitivity is in the forward region where lepton identification not nearly as efficient or pure
  - And for heavy resonances decay products are central...

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Hierarchies**

Physics on a curved gravitational background:

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Scales depend on position along extra dimensions

- UV brane scale is  $M_{Pl} = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$
- IR brane scale is  $M \overset{\mathbb{M}_{e}}{\to} \overset{\mathbb{R}_{e}}{\to} \overset{\mathbb{R}_{e}}{\to}$ \_

 $\bullet$  If were to localize Higgs or R brane, naturally get EW scale ~ 1TeV (from geometry!)

### Flavor

### Interesting variation has fermions located along the extra dimension

- Fermion masses generated by geometry -
- Heavier fermions are closer to IR brane, and gauge boson excitations as well
  - Gauge boson excitations expected to have masses in the 3-4 TeV range (bounds from precision measurements)
  - Couple mainly to top/W/Z(!)-
- Flavor changing determined by overlap of fermion "wave function" in the -ED
  - Nice suppression of FCNC etc. -

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

(From K Agashe et al, <u>arXiv:1608.00526</u>)

## **Gauge Boson Excitations**

- Excitations of the gauge bosons are very promising channels for discovery
  - Couplings to light fermions are small
  - Small production cross-sections -
  - Large coupling to top,  $W_L$ ,  $Z_L$
  - Look for tt, WW, ZZ resonances (that can be wide)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **New Experimental Phenomenology**

- Possibility to produce heavy resonances decaying to top quarks, W and Z bosons
  - Heavy objects with momentum >> mass
    - Decay products collimated -
  - For leptonic W/Z decays, not a big issue since we measure isolated tracks very well
  - But hadronic decays lead to jets, which \_ are intrinsically wide

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Dibosons**

- Signature of Randall-Sundrum excitations as well as W', Z'
  - Can look for e.g. W'  $\rightarrow$  WZ, WH
    - Many final state options: IvII, Ivqq, qqII, qqqq, ...
    - Three leptons → low background but low branching ratio: good at low mass where backgrounds are large
    - Fully hadronic  $\rightarrow$  high branching ratio but substantial multi-jet background: good at high mass where cross-section is lower
- For high mass W', Z' decay products are boosted... ok for leptonic decays,
  - ... but hadronic decay products merge:
    - $\Delta R \sim 2m/p_T \Rightarrow$  for  $p_T \sim 500$  GeV,  $\Delta R \sim 0.4$ , typical jet size

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

R(q,q)

2.5

1.5

0.5

# **Fully Hadronic Decays**

- Decay hadrons reconstructed as a single jet
  - But even if it looks like a single jet, it originates from a massive particle decaying to two (W, Z, H) or three (top) hard partons, not one
- If I measured each of the partons in the jet perfectly, I would be able to:
  - Reconstruct the "originator's" invariant mass
  - Reconstruct the direct daughter partons

### But

- Quarks hadronize → cross-talk
- My detector can't resolve all individual hadrons

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Jet Mass

### Jet mass: invariant mass of all jet constituents

- In principle, close to object mass -
- and invariant!

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Jet mass is not sensitive to structure
  - Can't tell whether a jet is isotropic or not
- Expect "blobs" with higher concentration of energy for jets from top/ W/Z decays

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Multiple ways of exploiting this....
  - k<sub>T</sub> splitting scales, "mass drop"

J. M. Butterworth, B. E. Cox, and J. R. Forshaw, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 096014

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Nearest Neighbor vs Cone**

- $\clubsuit$  Nearest neighbor (eg. k<sub>T</sub>) algorithms much better suited to understand jet substructure than cone:
  - Cone maximizes energy in an  $\eta \times \phi$  cone
  - k<sub>T</sub> is a "nearest neighbor" clusterer

$$y_{2} = \min\left(E_{a}^{2}, E_{b}^{2}\right) \cdot \theta_{ab}^{2} / p_{T(jet)}^{2}$$
$$Y \text{ scale } = \sqrt{p_{T(jet)}^{2} \cdot y_{2}}$$

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $\diamond$  Can e.g. use the k<sub>T</sub> algorithm on jet constituents and get the (y-)scale at which one switches from  $1 \rightarrow 2 (\rightarrow 3 \text{ etc.})$ jets

Scale is related to mass of the decaying particle

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Boosted Taggers**

- Many substructure variables "on the market"
  - Optimal choice partially depends on detector
- Data-based validation exploits large (and rather pure) tt "lepton+jets" sample for signal, dijets for background
  - ◆ ATLAS: Lepton, MET, R=0.4 b-tagged jet, high-p⊤ trimmed R=1.0 jet
- \* ATLAS jet mass now "track-assisted":  $m_J \equiv w_{calo} \times m_J^{calo} + w_{track} \times \left( m_J^{track} \frac{p_T^{calo}}{p_T^{track}} \right)$

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

**ICTP-SAIFR School 2018** 

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Decluster (or recluster with small R), and remove soft stuff

Clean up soft QCD radiation/connection to underlying event -

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

**ICTP-SAIFR** School 2018

### Gustaaf Brooijmans

### **Added Benefits**

Pile-up is a big deal at hadron colliders

Low-p<sub>T</sub>, "uninteresting" QCD will always have a much larger cross-section than rare processes we're hunting

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

### !Optimal parameter set/strategy is detector-dependent!

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Many More Techniques**

- Whole "jet structure" community exists
  - Reports of BOOST workshops a very useful resource:
    - Boosted objects: A Probe of beyond the Standard Model physics, A. Abdesselam et al, Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1661; Jet Substructure at the Tevatron and LHC: New results, new tools, new benchmarks, A. Abdesselam et al, J.Phys. G39 (2012) 063001
  - Direct comparison of multiple taggers, and "groomers"
  - More tools have been developed, and also more extensive non-perturbative calculations of the jet structure
  - Many of the tools available in the fastjet library (Cacciari, Salam, Soyez)
    - http://www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~salam/fastjet/

### **High Mass tt Event**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

ICTP-SAIFR School 2018

Gustaaf Brooijmans

### 171

### **Looking Forward**

### 172

# **Projects Tend To Be Long**

- LHC (the longest yet)
  - Inception in 1980s -
  - Experiments confirmed mid-1990s
  - Operation start 2007
  - Major upgrade 2025
  - End of operations planned ~2037 -----
- ✤ A full career!
- Worldwide planning is a must

![](_page_42_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Future Projects**

### Energy Frontier

- HE-LHC, FCC
- ILC, CEPC
- Baryon number violation
  - DUNE, Hyper-K
  - NNbar

## **Hadron Colliders**

- 2025: High Luminosity LHC upgrade
  - Go to 5-7.5 x 10<sup>34</sup>, pile-up of 200
  - New interaction region focusing magnets and crab cavities
  - Significant detector "upgrades" -
    - Replace inner trackers, detector readout and trigger and data acquisition systems
      - Basically, only keep magnets, calorimeters and muon chambers
  - Overall, 1 GCHF construction project
  - Then run until ~2037 to collect 3000-4000 fb<sup>-1</sup>
    - Still not enough to measure di-Higgs production (if it's SM strength!) -
      - Who knows, we may get smarter