Dark Matter

II. Properties and framework

Fabío Iocco

fabío.íocco.astro .AT. <u>gmaíl.com</u>

ICTP-SAIFR IFT-UNESP São Paulo



International Centre for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research School on DM and neutrinos ICTP-SAIFR, July 24, 2018 The slides of these classes have been put together by looting the excellent ones created by some of the teachers of the "School on Dark Matter", held at ICTP-SAIFR in São Paulo in 2016. (And some additional material.)

Reorganized and trimmed for a more compact purpose, for this class I have used mostly material from classes of P.D. Serpico's, as well as E. Cypriano's classes on lensing.

The complete material can be found at this address http://www.ictp-saifr.org/school-on-dark-matter-2/

I strongly encourage you to download and study them to have a broader view on the subject. Excellent exercises are suggested, and references available.

Of course, do not hesitate to contact me for any question you may have.

CMB, a dark matter probe



CMB, a dark matter probe



[Planck coll.]

CMB, a dark matter probe



Common ground to start with



[e.g. Planck coll.]

Reality check, latest CMB results



[Planck coll., 2018]

A story of LCDM I: structure formation

age of Universe



A story of LCDM II: the single halo

A "universal" DM profile?



NAVARRO-FRENK-WHITE

$$\rho(R) \propto \frac{R_s}{R} \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_s} \right)^{-2}$$

A story of LCDM III: the dark matter distribution



generalized NFW

$$\rho_{DM}(R) \propto \rho_0 \left(\frac{R}{R_s}\right)^{-\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_s}\right)^{-3+\gamma}$$

A story of LCDM IV: the small scale problems

Cusp vs core





Missing satellite



Ask me later, if interested

Spiral galaxies disk dynamical structure

$$F_{grav} = F_{cent}$$

$$G_N \frac{M}{R^2} = \frac{v_c^2}{R}$$

$$v_c \propto \sqrt{\frac{M}{R}}$$



Disk is Rotation supported: observable velocity traces enclosed mass

Spiral galaxies disk dynamical structure

$$M = M(R)$$
$$v_c = v_c(R)$$
$$v_c(R) \propto \Phi(R)$$
$$v_c(R) \propto \sqrt{\frac{M(R)}{R}}$$



Using observed circular velocities to infer the potential (total enclosed mass)

Rotation Curves in local galaxies: an evergreen classic

(with interesting twists)



discrepancy between observed and predicted (from visible matter only)

Rotation Curves in local galaxies: an evergreen classic



discrepancy between observed and predicted (from visible matter only)

Not only the disk: Jeans analysis

Fluid continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\alpha}} (f \dot{w}_{\alpha}) = 0$$

Collisionless Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f - \vec{\nabla} \Phi \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$$





$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} f - \vec{\nabla} \Phi \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{\mathbf{v}}} &= 0\\ \text{Collisionless Boltzmann Equation}\\ \nu(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) &\equiv \int f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}) d^3 \vec{v} \\ \bar{v}_i(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) &\equiv \frac{1}{\nu(\vec{\mathbf{x}})} \int v_i f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}) d^3 \vec{v} \\ \overline{v_i v_j}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) &\equiv \frac{1}{\nu(\vec{\mathbf{x}})} \int v_i v_j f(\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}) d^3 \vec{v} \\ \nu \frac{\partial \bar{v}_j}{\partial t} &+ \bar{v}_i \nu \frac{\partial \bar{v}_j}{\partial x_i} &= -\nu \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nu \sigma_{ij}^2) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3)\\ \text{acceleration} + \frac{\text{kinematic}}{\text{viscosity}} &= \text{gravity} + \text{pressure} \end{aligned}$$

Jeans analysis: a practical example in a specific case cylindrical symmetry



From observations

$$\sigma_{zz}^2 = (20 \text{ km/s})^2 \qquad h = 300 pc$$
$$\Sigma \approx 50 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-2}$$

Some words about Gravitational lensing



Figure from Narayan & Bartelmann (1996; arXiv:astro-ph/9606001)

Parameters:

- Angular diameter distances: D_s, D_d and D_{ds}
- Source position: $\beta = \eta/D_s$ Impact parameter
- Image position: $\theta = \xi/D_d$
- Deflexion angle: $\alpha = \hat{\alpha} D_{ds}/D_s$

Some words about Gravitational lensing



$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = rac{D_s}{D_d} \boldsymbol{\xi} - D_{ds} \hat{\boldsymbol{lpha}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$$

Using the angular quantities instead of the physical ones we get:

$$oldsymbol{eta} = oldsymbol{ heta} - rac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \hat{lpha} (D_d oldsymbol{ heta})$$

Then by using the physical deflexion angle we arrive to the Lens Equation:

$$\boldsymbol{eta} = \boldsymbol{ heta} - \boldsymbol{lpha}(\boldsymbol{ heta})$$

(ロ) (思) (思) (思) き のへの

Some words about Gravitational lensing

The deflection angle

From GR one can estimate the deflection angle due to a mass point:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{4 G M}{c^2 \xi}$$

The projected angle is then:

$$\alpha = |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \frac{4 \ G \ M}{c^2 D_d |\boldsymbol{\theta}|}$$

Considering now a direction, as all the angles lies in the image-lens direction, we have:

$$\alpha = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \frac{4 \ G \ M}{c^2 D_d |\theta|} \frac{\theta}{|\theta|}$$

The Einstein angle

- The lens equation can be easily solved in this case.
- Lets first define a 'natural scale', the Einstein angle for this lens:

$$\theta_E = \sqrt{\frac{4GM}{c^2} \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s D_d}}$$

The lens equation then becomes:

$$\beta = \theta - \frac{\theta_E^2}{\theta}$$

It has two solutions:

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2} \right)$$



What is 'natural' about the Einstein angle or radius ?



• If
$$\beta = \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \theta = \theta_E$$

The distance between two images (solutions) is 2θ_E
The density inside the the Einstein radius is the critical lensing density

$$\Sigma_{cr} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{Ds}{D_d \ D_{ds}}$$

above which multiple imaging occur.

Lensing regimes



The prevalence/interest of one or more of those effects over the others have to do with the *lensing regime*.

Lensing regimes

Lensing regimes

Different lensing regimes differentiate themselves by:

- 1. The lens mass distribution
- 2. The distances involved
- 3. The impact parameter
- The main lensing regimes are:
 - **1.** Micro lensing $\theta \leq \theta_E$ dist. ~ kpc
 - **2.** Strong lensing $\theta \leq \theta_E$ dist. ~ Gpc
 - 3. Weak lensing $\theta \ge \theta_E$ dist. ~ Gpc

Micro Lenses

Micro lenses

Typical Einstein radius

$$\theta_E = 0.902 mas \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D_d}{10 \, kpc}\right)^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{D_d}{D_s}\right)^{-1/2}$$

We cannot observationally resolve the multiple imaging, but we can measure the variation of the flux due to the magnification



Note that microlensing should produce symmetric and achromatic light curves as above.

-10 G

Strong Lenses

