

Structure of the nucleon's low-lying excitations

CHEN CHEN

The Institute for Theoretical Physics, Sao Paulo State University (IFT- UNESP)





International Centre for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research

ICTP-SAIFR/FAIR WORKSHOP ON MASS GENERATION IN QCD

February 25 – March 1, 2019. at Instituto de Física Teórica - UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil

Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>

Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>

Confinement: Colored particles have never been seen isolated

- Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>
 - **Confinement**: Colored particles have never been seen isolated
 - ➤ Explain how quarks and gluons bind together

- Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>
 - **Confinement**: Colored particles have never been seen isolated
 - ➤ Explain how quarks and gluons bind together
 - **DCSB**: Hadrons do not follow the chiral symmetry pattern

- Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>
 - **Confinement**: Colored particles have never been seen isolated
 - ➤ Explain how quarks and gluons bind together
 - **DCSB**: Hadrons do not follow the chiral symmetry pattern
 - Explain the most important mass generating mechanism for visible matter in the Universe

- Hadrons, as bound states, are dominated by non-perturbative QCD dynamics – <u>Two emergent phenomena</u>
 - **Confinement**: Colored particles have never been seen isolated
 - ➤ Explain how quarks and gluons bind together
 - **DCSB**: Hadrons do not follow the chiral symmetry pattern
 - Explain the most important mass generating mechanism for visible matter in the Universe
 - Neither of these phenomena is apparent in QCD 's Lagrangian, HOWEVER, They play a dominant role in determining the characteristics of <u>real-world QCD</u>!

From a quantum field theoretical point of view, these emergent phenomena could be associated with dramatic, dynamically driven changes in the analytic structure of QCD 's Schwinger functions (propagators and vertices). The Schwinger functions are solutions of the quantum equations of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations).

- From a quantum field theoretical point of view, these emergent phenomena could be associated with dramatic, dynamically driven changes in the analytic structure of QCD 's Schwinger functions (propagators and vertices). The Schwinger functions are solutions of the quantum equations of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations).
- > Dressed-quark propagator:

- From a quantum field theoretical point of view, these emergent phenomena could be associated with dramatic, dynamically driven changes in the analytic structure of QCD 's Schwinger functions (propagators and vertices). The Schwinger functions are solutions of the quantum equations of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations).
- Dressed-quark propagator:



- From a quantum field theoretical point of view, these emergent phenomena could be associated with dramatic, dynamically driven changes in the analytic structure of QCD 's Schwinger functions (propagators and vertices). The Schwinger functions are solutions of the quantum equations of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations).
- Dressed-quark propagator:



- Mass generated from the interaction of quarks with the gluon.
- Light quarks acquire a HUGE constituent mass.
- Responsible of the 98% of the mass of the proton and the large splitting between parity partners.

- From a quantum field theoretical point of view, these emergent phenomena could be associated with dramatic, dynamically driven changes in the analytic structure of QCD 's Schwinger functions (propagators and vertices). The Schwinger functions are solutions of the quantum equations of motion (Dyson-Schwinger equations).
- Dressed-quark propagator:

 \rightarrow $\stackrel{-1}{\rightarrow}$ = $\stackrel{-1}{\rightarrow}$ + \rightarrow

- Mass generated from the interaction of quarks with the gluon.
- Light quarks acquire a HUGE constituent mass.
- Responsible of the 98% of the mass of the proton and the large splitting between parity partners.



Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs)

Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs)



Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs)

> Dyson-Schwinger equations

- ✓ A Nonperturbative symmetry-preserving tool for the study of Continuum-QCD
- ✓ Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- ✓ A method connects observables with long-range behaviour of the running coupling
- ✓ Experiment ↔ Theory comparison leads to an understanding of long-range behaviour of strong running-coupling

- Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT
 - Bethe-Salpeter Equation
 - **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel

- Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT
 - Bethe-Salpeter Equation
 - **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel

Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT

- Bethe-Salpeter Equation
- **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel



Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT

- Bethe-Salpeter Equation
- **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel



Baryons: a 3-body bound state problem in QFT.

Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT

- Bethe-Salpeter Equation
- **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel



- **Baryons**: a 3-body bound state problem in QFT.
- Faddeev equation: sums all possible quantum field theoretical exchanges and interactions that can take place between the three dressed-quarks that define its valence quark content.

Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT

- Bethe-Salpeter Equation
- **K** fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel



- **Baryons**: a 3-body bound state problem in QFT.
- Faddeev equation: sums all possible quantum field theoretical exchanges and interactions that can take place between the three dressed-quarks that define its valence quark content.



Mesons: a 2-body bound state problem in QFT

Bethe-Salpeter Equation

K - fully amputated, two-particle irreducible, quark-antiquark scattering kernel



Baryons: a 3-body bound state problem in QFT.

Faddeev equation: sums all possible quantum field theoretical exchanges and interactions that can take place between the three dressed-quarks that define its valence quark content.

Faddeev equation in rainbow-ladder truncation



> Mesons: quark-antiquark correlations -- color-singlet

- > Mesons: quark-antiquark correlations -- color-singlet
- > Diquarks: quark-quark correlations within a color-singlet baryon.

- > Mesons: quark-antiquark correlations -- color-singlet
- > Diquarks: quark-quark correlations within a color-singlet baryon.

Diquark correlations:

- > In our approach: non-pointlike color-antitriplet and fully interacting.
- > Diquark correlations are soft, they possess an electromagnetic size.
- > Owing to properties of charge-conjugation, a diquark with spin-parity J^P may be viewed as a partner to the analogous J^{-P} meson.

- > Mesons: quark-antiquark correlations -- color-singlet
- > Diquarks: quark-quark correlations within a color-singlet baryon.

> Diquark correlations:

- > In our approach: non-pointlike color-antitriplet and fully interacting.
- > Diquark correlations are soft, they possess an electromagnetic size.
- > Owing to properties of charge-conjugation, a diquark with spin-parity J^P may be viewed as a partner to the analogous J^{-P} meson.

$$\Gamma_{q\bar{q}}(p;P) = -\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu S(q+P) \Gamma_{q\bar{q}}(q;P) S(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\nu$$

$$\Gamma_{qq}(p;P) C^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu S(q+P) \Gamma_{qq}(q;P) C^{\dagger} S(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\nu$$

➤ Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks

➤ Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks
- Three-body bound states

➤ Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks

Three-body bound states

➤ Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- > (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks
- Three-body bound states

Quark-Diquark two-body bound states

> Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks
- Three-body bound states

Quark-Diquark two-body bound states

Faddeev equation in rainbow-ladder truncation



➤ Quantum numbers:

- (I=0, J^P=0^+): isoscalar-scalar diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^+): isovector-pseudovector diquark
- > (I=0, J^P=0^-): isoscalar-pesudoscalar diquark
- (I=0, J^P=1^-): isoscalar-vector diquark
- (I=1, J^P=1^-): isovector-vector diquark
- ➤ Tensor diquarks
- Three-body bound states

Quark-Diquark two-body bound states



QCD-kindred model



QCD-kindred model

The dressed-quark propagator


- The dressed-quark propagator
- Diquark amplitudes



- The dressed-quark propagator
- Diquark amplitudes
- Diquark propagators



- The dressed-quark propagator
- Diquark amplitudes
- Diquark propagators
- Faddeev amplitudes



> Diquark masses (in GeV):

> Diquark masses (in GeV):

 $m_{0^+} = 0.8$, $m_{1^+} = 0.9$, $m_{0^-} = 1.2$, $m_{1^-} = 1.3$,

> Diquark masses (in GeV):

 $m_{0^+} = 0.8$, $m_{1^+} = 0.9$, $m_{0^-} = 1.2$, $m_{1^-} = 1.3$,

The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.

> Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.

Diquark masses (in GeV):

 $m_{0^+} = 0.8, \quad m_{1^+} = 0.9, \quad m_{0^-} = 1.2, \quad m_{1^-} = 1.3,$

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 96, 015208 (2017)

Parity partners in the baryon resonance spectrum

 Ya Lu,^{1,*} Chen Chen,^{2,†} Craig D. Roberts,^{3,‡} Jorge Segovia,^{4,§} Shu-Sheng Xu,^{1,||} and Hong-Shi Zong^{1,5,¶}
¹Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China
²Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, 01140-070 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
³Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
⁴Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Strasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany
⁵Joint Center for Particle, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China (Received 10 May 2017; published 28 July 2017)

> Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.

Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.
- Such values are typical; and in truncations of the two-body scattering problem that are most widely used (RL), isoscalar- isovector-vector and isovector-vector correlations are degenerate.

Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.
- Such values are typical; and in truncations of the two-body scattering problem that are most widely used (RL), isoscalar- isovector-vector and isovector-vector correlations are degenerate.
- \succ Normalization condition \rightarrow couplings:

Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.
- Such values are typical; and in truncations of the two-body scattering problem that are most widely used (RL), isoscalar- isovector-vector and isovector-vector correlations are degenerate.
- > Normalization condition \rightarrow couplings:

$$g_{0^+} = 14.8, \qquad g_{1^+} = 12.7,$$

 $g_{0^-} = 12.8, \qquad g_{1^-} = 5.4, \qquad g_{\bar{1}^-} = 2.5.$

Diquark masses (in GeV):

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.
- Such values are typical; and in truncations of the two-body scattering problem that are most widely used (RL), isoscalar- isovector-vector and isovector-vector correlations are degenerate.
- > Normalization condition \rightarrow couplings:

$$g_{0^+} = 14.8, \qquad g_{1^+} = 12.7,$$

 $g_{0^-} = 12.8, \qquad g_{1^-} = 5.4, \qquad g_{\bar{1}} = 2.5.$

Diquark masses (in GeV):

 $m_{0^+} = 0.8, \quad m_{1^+} = 0.9, \quad m_{0^-} = 1.2, \quad m_{1^-} = 1.3,$

- The first two values (positive-parity) provide for a good description of numerous dynamical properties of the nucleon, Δ-baryon and Roper resonance.
- Masses of the odd-parity correlations are based on those computed from a contact interaction.
- Such values are typical; and in truncations of the two-body scattering problem that are most widely used (RL), isoscalar- isovector-vector and isovector-vector correlations are degenerate.
- > Normalization condition \rightarrow couplings:

$$g_{0^+} = 14.8, \qquad g_{1^+} = 12.7,$$

 $g_{0^-} = 12.8, \qquad g_{1^-} = 5.4, \qquad g_{\bar{1}^-} = 2.5.$

Faddeev kernels: 22 × 22 matrices are reduced to 16 × 16 !

A parameter: gDB



There is an absence of <u>spin-orbit repulsion</u> owing to an oversimplification of the gluon-quark vertex when formulating the RL bound-state equations. We therefore employ a simple artifice in order to implement the missing interactions.

A parameter: gDB



- There is an absence of <u>spin-orbit repulsion</u> owing to an oversimplification of the gluon-quark vertex when formulating the RL bound-state equations. We therefore employ a simple artifice in order to implement the missing interactions.
 - ✓ We introduce a single parameter into the Faddeev equation for J^P=1/2^{+-} baryons: gDB, a linear multiplicative factor attached to each opposite-parity (-P) diquark amplitude in the baryon's Faddeev equation kernel.

A parameter: gDB



- There is an absence of <u>spin-orbit repulsion</u> owing to an oversimplification of the gluon-quark vertex when formulating the RL bound-state equations. We therefore employ a simple artifice in order to implement the missing interactions.
 - ✓ We introduce a single parameter into the Faddeev equation for J^P=1/2^{+-} baryons: gDB, a linear multiplicative factor attached to each opposite-parity (-P) diquark amplitude in the baryon's Faddeev equation kernel.
 - ✓ gDB is the single free parameter in our study.

> Solution to the **50** year puzzle -- Roper resonance

Solution to the 50 year puzzle -- Roper resonance

PRL 115, 171801 (2015)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 23 OCTOBER 2015

Completing the Picture of the Roper Resonance

Jorge Segovia,¹ Bruno El-Bennich,^{2,3} Eduardo Rojas,^{2,4} Ian C. Cloët,⁵ Craig D. Roberts,⁵ Shu-Sheng Xu,⁶ and Hong-Shi Zong⁶ ¹Grupo de Física Nuclear and Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas (IUFFyM), Universidad de Salamanca, E-37008 Salamanca, Spain ²Laboratório de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, 01506-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil ³Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 01140-070 São Paulo, SP, Brazil ⁴Instituto de Física, Universidad de Antioquia, Calle 70 No. 52-21, Medellín, Colombia ⁵Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ⁶Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China (Received 16 April 2015; revised manuscript received 29 July 2015; published 21 October 2015)

We employ a continuum approach to the three valence-quark bound-state problem in relativistic quantum field theory to predict a range of properties of the proton's radial excitation and thereby unify them with those of numerous other hadrons. Our analysis indicates that the nucleon's first radial excitation is the Roper resonance. It consists of a core of three dressed quarks, which expresses its valence-quark content and whose charge radius is 80% larger than the proton analogue. That core is complemented by a meson cloud, which reduces the observed Roper mass by roughly 20%. The meson cloud materially affects long-wavelength characteristics of the Roper electroproduction amplitudes but the quark core is revealed to probes with $Q^2 \gtrsim 3m_N^2$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.171801

PACS numbers: 13.40.Gp, 14.20.Dh, 14.20.Gk, 11.15.Tk

Introduction.—The strong-interaction sector of the standard model is thought to be described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), a relativistic quantum field broken, express the intrinsic mass scale(s) and features associated with confinement and DCSB, and employ realistic kernels in baryon bound-state equations, which

Solution to the 50 year puzzle -- Roper resonance

PRL 115, 171801 (2015)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 23 OCTOBER 2015

Completing the Picture of the Roper Resonance



standard model is thought to be described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), a relativistic quantum field

associated with confinement and DCSB, and employ realistic kernels in baryon bound-state equations, which

SOLUTIONS & THEIR PROPERTIES

SOLUTIONS & THEIR PROPERTIES

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 97, 034016 (2018)

Structure of the nucleon's low-lying excitations

Chen Chen,1,* Bruno El-Bennich,2,† Craig D. Roberts,3,‡ Sebastian M. Schmidt,4,§ Jorge Segovia,^{5,||} and Shaolong Wan^{6,¶} ¹Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, 01140-070 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil ²Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, Rua Galvão Bueno, 868, 01506-000 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil ³Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ⁴Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA, D-52425 Jülich, Germany ⁵Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE) and Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain ⁶Institute for Theoretical Physics and Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China

(Received 9 November 2017; published 15 February 2018)

SOLUTIONS & THEIR PROPERTIES

- The four lightest baryon (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^{+-}) isospin doublets: nucleon, roper, N(1535), N(1650)
- Masses
- Rest-frame orbital angular momentum
- Diquark content
- Pointwise structure

We choose gDB=0.43 so as to produce a mass splitting of 0.1 GeV (the empirical value) between the lowest-mass P=- state (N(1535)) and the first excited P=+ state (Roper).

- We choose gDB=0.43 so as to produce a mass splitting of 0.1 GeV (the empirical value) between the lowest-mass P=- state (N(1535)) and the first excited P=+ state (Roper).
- Our computed values for the masses of the four lightest 1/2^{+-} baryon doublets are listed here, in GeV:

- We choose gDB=0.43 so as to produce a mass splitting of 0.1 GeV (the empirical value) between the lowest-mass P=- state (N(1535)) and the first excited P=+ state (Roper).
- Our computed values for the masses of the four lightest 1/2^{+-} baryon doublets are listed here, in GeV:

$g_{\rm DB}$	m_N	$m_{N(1440)}^{1/2^+}$	$m_{N(1535)}^{1/2^-}$	$m_{N(1650)}^{1/2^-}$
0.43	1.19	1.73	1.83	1.91
1.0	1.19	1.73	1.43	1.61

- We choose gDB=0.43 so as to produce a mass splitting of 0.1 GeV (the empirical value) between the lowest-mass P=- state (N(1535)) and the first excited P=+ state (Roper).
- Our computed values for the masses of the four lightest 1/2^{+-} baryon doublets are listed here, in GeV:

$g_{\rm DB}$	m_N	$m_{N(1440)}^{1/2^+}$	$m_{N(1535)}^{1/2^-}$	$m_{N(1650)}^{1/2^-}$
0.43	1.19	1.73	1.83	1.91
1.0	1.19	1.73	1.43	1.61

Pseudoscalar and vector diquarks have no impact on the mass of the two positiveparity baryons, whereas scalar and pseudovector diquarks are important to the negative parity systems.

The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.

- The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.
- The Faddeev equations analyzed to produce the results should therefore be understood as producing the dressed-quark core of the bound state, not the completely dressed and hence observable object.

- The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.
- The Faddeev equations analyzed to produce the results should therefore be understood as producing the dressed-quark core of the bound state, not the completely dressed and hence observable object.
- In consequence, a comparison between the empirical values of the resonance pole positions and the computed masses is not pertinent. Instead, one should compare the masses of the quark core with values determined for the meson-undressed bare excitations, e.g.,

- The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.
- The Faddeev equations analyzed to produce the results should therefore be understood as producing the dressed-quark core of the bound state, not the completely dressed and hence observable object.
- In consequence, a comparison between the empirical values of the resonance pole positions and the computed masses is not pertinent. Instead, one should compare the masses of the quark core with values determined for the meson-undressed bare excitations, e.g.,

	m_N	$m_{N(1440)}^{1/2^+}$	$m_{N(1535)}^{1/2^-}$	$m_{N(1650)}^{1/2^-}$
herein	1.19	1.73	1.83	1.91
$M_B^0[53]$		1.76	1.80	1.88

- The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.
- The Faddeev equations analyzed to produce the results should therefore be understood as producing the dressed-quark core of the bound state, not the completely dressed and hence observable object.
- In consequence, a comparison between the empirical values of the resonance pole positions and the computed masses is not pertinent. Instead, one should compare the masses of the quark core with values determined for the meson-undressed bare excitations, e.g.,

	m_N	$m_{N(1440)}^{1/2^+}$	$m_{N(1535)}^{1/2^-}$	$m_{N(1650)}^{1/2^-}$
herein	1.19	1.73	1.83	1.91
$M_B^0[53]$		1.76	1.80	1.88

where M^0_B is the relevant bare mass inferred in the associated dynamical coupled-channels analysis.
SOLUTIONS & THEIR PROPERTIES: Masses

- The quark-diquark kernel omits all those resonant contributions which may be associated with meson-baryon final-state interactions that are resummed in dynamical coupled channels models in order to transform a bare baryon into the observed state.
- The Faddeev equations analyzed to produce the results should therefore be understood as producing the dressed-quark core of the bound state, not the completely dressed and hence observable object.
- In consequence, a comparison between the empirical values of the resonance pole positions and the computed masses is not pertinent. Instead, one should compare the masses of the quark core with values determined for the meson-undressed bare excitations, e.g.,

	m_N	$m_{N(1440)}^{1/2^+}$	$m_{N(1535)}^{1/2^-}$	$m_{N(1650)}^{1/2^-}$
herein	1.19	1.73	1.83	1.91
$M_B^0[53]$		1.76	1.80	1.88

where M^0_B is the relevant bare mass inferred in the associated dynamical coupled-channels analysis.

The relative difference is just 1.7%. We consider this to be a success of our calculation.





 (a) Computed from the wave functions directly.



 (a) Computed from the wave functions directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.



 (a) Computed from the wave functions directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.

(b) delivers the same qualitative picture as that presented in (a). Therefore, there is little mixing between partial waves in the computation of a baryon's mass.



 (a) Computed from the wave functions directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.

- (b) delivers the same qualitative picture as that presented in (a). Therefore, there is little mixing between partial waves in the computation of a baryon's mass.
- The nucleon and Roper are primarily Swave in nature. On the other hand, the N(1535)1/2^-,N(1650)1/2^- are essentially P-wave in character.



 (a) Computed from the wave functions directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.

- (b) delivers the same qualitative picture as that presented in (a). Therefore, there is little mixing between partial waves in the computation of a baryon's mass.
- The nucleon and Roper are primarily Swave in nature. On the other hand, the N(1535)1/2^-,N(1650)1/2^- are essentially P-wave in character.
- These observations provide support in quantum field theory for the constituentquark model classifications of these systems.





 (a) Computed from the amplitudes directly.



 (a) Computed from the amplitudes directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.



 (a) Computed from the amplitudes directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.

From (a): The amplitudes associated with these negative-parity states contain roughly *equal fractions* of even and odd parity diquarks. Positive-parity states: negative-parity diquarks are almost ZERO.



 (a) Computed from the amplitudes directly.

(b) Computed from the relative contributions to the masses.

- From (a): The amplitudes associated with these negative-parity states contain roughly *equal fractions* of even and odd parity diquarks. Positive-parity states: negative-parity diquarks are almost ZERO.
- From (b): In each, there is a single dominant diquark component. There are significant interferences between different diquarks.



We consider the zeroth Chebyshev moment of all S- and P-wave components in a given baryon's Faddeev wave function.



- We consider the zeroth Chebyshev moment of all S- and P-wave components in a given baryon's Faddeev wave function.
- Nucleon's first positive-parity excitation: all S-wave components exhibit a single zero; and four of the P-wave projections also possess a zero. This pattern of behavior for the first excited state indicates that it may be interpreted as a radial



- We consider the zeroth Chebyshev moment of all S- and P-wave components in a given baryon's Faddeev wave function.
- Nucleon's first positive-parity excitation: all S-wave components exhibit a single zero; and four of the P-wave projections also possess a zero. This pattern of behavior for the first excited state indicates that it may be interpreted as a radial





> For $N(1535)1/2^{-}, N(1650)1/2^{-}$: the contrast with the positive-parity states is STARK.



For N(1535)1/2^-,N(1650)1/2^-: the contrast with the positive-parity states is STARK. In particular, there is no simple pattern of zeros, with all panels containing at least one function that possesses a zero.



- For N(1535)1/2^-,N(1650)1/2^-: the contrast with the positive-parity states is STARK. In particular, there is no simple pattern of zeros, with all panels containing at least one function that possesses a zero.
- In their rest frames, these systems are predominantly P-wave in nature, but possess material S-wave components; and the first excited state in this negative parity channel—N(1650)1/2^-- has little of the appearance of a radial excitation, since most of the functions depicted in the right panels of the figure do not possess a zero.



Octet & Decuplet Baryons

Spectrum and structure of octet and decuplet baryons and their positive-parity excitations

Chen Chen,^{1,*} Gastão Krein,¹ Craig D. Roberts,^{2,†} Sebastian M. Schmidt,³ and Jorge Segovia⁴

¹Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista,

Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, 01140-070 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

² Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA

³Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

⁴Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales,

Universidad Pablo de Olavide, E-41013 Sevilla, Spain

(Dated: 10 January 2019)

A continuum approach to the three valence-quark bound-state problem in quantum field theory is used to compute the spectrum and Poincaré-covariant wave functions for all flavour-SU(3) octet and decuplet baryons and their first positive-parity excitations. Such analyses predict the existence of nonpointlike, dynamical quark-quark (diquark) correlations within all baryons; and a uniformly sound description of the systems studied is obtained by retaining flavour-antitriplet-scalar and flavour-sextet-pseudovector diquarks. Thus constituted, the rest-frame wave function of every system studied is primarily S-wave in character; and the first positive-parity excitation of each octet or decuplet baryon exhibits the characteristics of a radial excitation. Importantly, every ground-state octet and decuplet baryon possesses a radial excitation. Hence, the analysis predicts the existence of positive-parity excitations of the Ξ , Ξ^* , Ω baryons, with masses, respectively (in GeV): 1.75(12), 1.89(03), 2.05(02). These states have not yet been empirically identified. This body of analysis suggests that the expression of emergent mass generation is the same in all u, d, s baryons and, notably, that dynamical quark-quark correlations play an essential role in the structure of each one. It also provides the basis for developing an array of predictions that can be tested in new generation experiments.

Octet & Decuplet Baryons





By including all kinds of diquarks, we performed a comparative study of the four lightest baryon (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^{+-}) isospin doublets in order to both elucidate their structural similarities and differences.

un

- By including all kinds of diquarks, we performed a comparative study of the four lightest baryon (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^{+-}) isospin doublets in order to both elucidate their structural similarities and differences.
- The two lightest (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^+) doublets are dominated by scalar and pseudovector diquarks; the associated rest-frame Faddeev wave functions are primarily S-wave in nature; and the first excited state in this 1/2^+ channel has very much the appearance of a radial excitation of the ground state.



- By including all kinds of diquarks, we performed a comparative study of the four lightest baryon (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^{+-}) isospin doublets in order to both elucidate their structural similarities and differences.
- The two lightest (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^+) doublets are dominated by scalar and pseudovector diquarks; the associated rest-frame Faddeev wave functions are primarily S-wave in nature; and the first excited state in this 1/2^+ channel has very much the appearance of a radial excitation of the ground state.
- In the two lightest (I=1/2, J^P=1/2^-) systems, TOO, scalar and pseudovector diquarks play a material role. In their rest frames, the Faddeev amplitudes describing the dressed-quark cores of these negative-parity states contain roughly equal fractions of even and odd parity diquarks; the associated wave functions of these negative-parity systems are predominantly P-wave in nature, but possess measurable S-wave components; and, the first excited state in this negative parity channel has little of the appearance of a radial excitation.



Thank you!

- > The dressed-quark propagator $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$
- > algebraic form:

> The dressed-quark propagator $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

> algebraic form:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{S}(x) = 2\bar{m}\mathcal{F}(2(x+\bar{m}^{2})) + \mathcal{F}(b_{1}x)\mathcal{F}(b_{3}x)[b_{0}+b_{2}\mathcal{F}(\epsilon x)], \quad (A3a)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \frac{1}{x + \bar{m}^2} [1 - \mathcal{F}(2(x + \bar{m}^2))], \qquad (A3b)$$

with
$$x = p^2/\lambda^2$$
, $\bar{m} = m/\lambda$,

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x},\tag{A4}$$

 $\bar{\sigma}_S(x) = \lambda \sigma_S(p^2)$ and $\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \lambda^2 \sigma_V(p^2)$. The mass scale, $\lambda = 0.566$ GeV, and parameter values,

$$\frac{\bar{m}}{0.00897} \quad \frac{b_0}{0.131} \quad \frac{b_1}{2.90} \quad \frac{b_2}{0.603} \quad \frac{b_3}{0.185}, \quad (A5)$$

associated with Eq. (A3) were fixed in a least-squares fit to light-meson observables [79,80]. [$\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ in Eq. (A3a) acts only to decouple the large- and intermediate- p^2 domains.]

> The dressed-quark propagator

 $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

> algebraic form:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{S}(x) = 2\bar{m}\mathcal{F}(2(x+\bar{m}^{2})) + \mathcal{F}(b_{1}x)\mathcal{F}(b_{3}x)[b_{0}+b_{2}\mathcal{F}(\epsilon x)], \quad (A3a)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \frac{1}{x + \bar{m}^2} [1 - \mathcal{F}(2(x + \bar{m}^2))], \qquad (A3b)$$

with
$$x = p^2/\lambda^2$$
, $\bar{m} = m/\lambda$,

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x},\tag{A4}$$

 $\bar{\sigma}_S(x) = \lambda \sigma_S(p^2)$ and $\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \lambda^2 \sigma_V(p^2)$. The mass scale, $\lambda = 0.566$ GeV, and parameter values,

$$\frac{\bar{m}}{0.00897} \quad \frac{b_0}{0.131} \quad \frac{b_1}{2.90} \quad \frac{b_2}{0.603} \quad \frac{b_3}{0.185}, \quad (A5)$$

associated with Eq. (A3) were fixed in a least-squares fit to light-meson observables [79,80]. [$\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ in Eq. (A3a) acts only to decouple the large- and intermediate- p^2 domains.]

> The dressed-quark propagator

 $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

> algebraic form:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{S}(x) = 2\bar{m}\mathcal{F}(2(x+\bar{m}^{2})) + \mathcal{F}(b_{1}x)\mathcal{F}(b_{3}x)[b_{0}+b_{2}\mathcal{F}(\epsilon x)], \quad (A3a)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \frac{1}{x + \bar{m}^2} [1 - \mathcal{F}(2(x + \bar{m}^2))],$$
 (A3b)

with $x = p^2/\lambda^2$, $\bar{m} = m/\lambda$,

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x}}{x},\tag{A4}$$

 $\bar{\sigma}_S(x) = \lambda \sigma_S(p^2)$ and $\bar{\sigma}_V(x) = \lambda^2 \sigma_V(p^2)$. The mass scale, $\lambda = 0.566$ GeV, and parameter values,

$$\frac{\bar{m}}{0.00897} \frac{b_0}{0.131} \frac{b_1}{2.90} \frac{b_2}{0.603} \frac{b_3}{0.185}, \quad (A5)$$

associated with Eq. (A3) were fixed in a least-squares fit to light-meson observables [79,80]. [$\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ in Eq. (A3a) acts only to decouple the large- and intermediate- p^2 domains.]

The dressed-quark propagator

> The dressed-quark propagator

 $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.

The dressed-quark propagator

- Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.
- Mass function has a real-world value at p² = 0, NOT the highly inflated value typical of RL truncation.

The dressed-quark propagator

- Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.
- Mass function has a real-world value at p² = 0, NOT the highly inflated value typical of RL truncation.
- Propagators are entire functions, consistent with sufficient condition for confinement and completely unlike known results from RL truncation.

The dressed-quark propagator

- Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.
- Mass function has a real-world value at p² = 0, NOT the highly inflated value typical of RL truncation.
- Propagators are entire functions, consistent with sufficient condition for confinement and completely unlike known results from RL truncation.
- Parameters in quark propagators were fitted to a diverse array of meson observables.
 ZERO parameters changed in study of baryons.
The dressed-quark propagator

 $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

- Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.
- Mass function has a real-world value at p² = 0, NOT the highly inflated value typical of RL truncation.
- Propagators are entire functions, consistent with sufficient condition for confinement and completely unlike known results from RL truncation.
- Parameters in quark propagators were fitted to a diverse array of meson observables.
 ZERO parameters changed in study of baryons.



FIG. 6. Solid curve (blue)—quark mass function generated by the parametrization of the dressed-quark propagator specified by Eqs. (A3) and (A4) (A5); and band (green)—exemplary range of numerical results obtained by solving the gap equation with the modern DCSB-improved kernels described and used in Refs. [16,81–83].

The dressed-quark propagator

 $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2)$

- Based on solutions to the gap equation that were obtained with a dressed gluon-quark vertex.
- Mass function has a real-world value at p² = 0, NOT the highly inflated value typical of RL truncation.
- Propagators are entire functions, consistent with sufficient condition for confinement and completely unlike known results from RL truncation.
- Parameters in quark propagators were fitted to a diverse array of meson observables.
 ZERO parameters changed in study of baryons.
- Compare with that computed using the DCSB-improved gap equation kernel (DB). The parametrization is a sound representation numerical results, although simple and introdu long beforehand.



FIG. 6. Solid curve (blue)—quark mass function generated by the parametrization of the dressed-quark propagator specified by Eqs. (A3) and (A4) (A5); and band (green)—exemplary range of numerical results obtained by solving the gap equation with the modern DCSB-improved kernels described and used in Refs. [16,81–83].

Diquark amplitudes: five types of correlation are possible in a J=1/2 bound state: isoscalar scalar(I=0,J^P=0^+), isovector pseudovector, isoscalar pseudoscalar, isoscalar vector, and isovector vector.

- Diquark amplitudes: five types of correlation are possible in a J=1/2 bound state: isoscalar scalar(I=0,J^P=0^+), isovector pseudovector, isoscalar pseudoscalar, isoscalar vector, and isovector vector.
- The LEADING structures in the correlation amplitudes for each case are, respectively (Dirac-flavor-color),

- Diquark amplitudes: five types of correlation are possible in a J=1/2 bound state: isoscalar scalar(I=0,J^P=0^+), isovector pseudovector, isoscalar pseudoscalar, isoscalar vector, and isovector vector.
- The LEADING structures in the correlation amplitudes for each case are, respectively (Dirac-flavor-color),

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K) = g_{0^{+}}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{1^{+}}(k;K) = ig_{1^{+}}\gamma_{\mu}C\vec{t}\,\vec{H}\,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma^{0^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{0^{-}}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma_{\mu}^{1^{-}}(k;K) = g_{1^{-}}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{\bar{1}^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{\bar{1}^{-}}[\gamma_{\mu},\gamma\cdot K]\gamma_{5}C\vec{t}\,\vec{H}\,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{\bar{1}^{-}}^{2}), \end{split}$$

- Diquark amplitudes: five types of correlation are possible in a J=1/2 bound state: isoscalar scalar(I=0,J^P=0^+), isovector pseudovector, isoscalar pseudoscalar, isoscalar vector, and isovector vector.
- The LEADING structures in the correlation amplitudes for each case are, respectively (Dirac-flavor-color),

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K) = g_{0^{+}}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{1^{+}}(k;K) = ig_{1^{+}}\gamma_{\mu}C\vec{t}\vec{H} \,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma^{0^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{0^{-}}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}(k;K) = g_{1^{-}}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{\bar{1}^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{\bar{1}^{-}}[\gamma_{\mu},\gamma\cdot K]\gamma_{5}C\vec{t}\vec{H}\,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{\bar{1}^{-}}^{2}), \end{split}$$

> Simple form. Just one parameter: diquark masses.

- Diquark amplitudes: five types of correlation are possible in a J=1/2 bound state: isoscalar scalar(I=0,J^P=0^+), isovector pseudovector, isoscalar pseudoscalar, isoscalar vector, and isovector vector.
- The LEADING structures in the correlation amplitudes for each case are, respectively (Dirac-flavor-color),

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K) = g_{0^{+}}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{1^{+}}(k;K) = ig_{1^{+}}\gamma_{\mu}C\vec{t}\vec{H} \,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{+}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma^{0^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{0^{-}}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{0^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}(k;K) = g_{1^{-}}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}C\tau^{2}\vec{H}\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{-}}^{2}), \\ &\vec{\Gamma}_{\mu}^{\bar{1}^{-}}(k;K) = ig_{\bar{1}^{-}}[\gamma_{\mu},\gamma\cdot K]\gamma_{5}C\vec{t}\vec{H}\,\mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{\bar{1}^{-}}^{2}), \end{split}$$

- Simple form. Just one parameter: diquark masses.
- Match expectations based on solutions of meson and diquark Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes.

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

The *F-functions*: Simplest possible form that is consistent with infrared and ultraviolet constraints of confinement (IR) and 1/q^2 evolution (UV) of meson propagators.

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

- The *F-functions*: Simplest possible form that is consistent with infrared and ultraviolet constraints of confinement (IR) and 1/q^2 evolution (UV) of meson propagators.
- Diquarks are confined.

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

- The *F-functions*: Simplest possible form that is consistent with infrared and ultraviolet constraints of confinement (IR) and 1/q^2 evolution (UV) of meson propagators.
- ➤ Diquarks are confined.
 - > free-particle-like at spacelike momenta

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

The *F-functions*: Simplest possible form that is consistent with infrared and ultraviolet constraints of confinement (IR) and 1/q^2 evolution (UV) of meson propagators.

➤ Diquarks are confined.

- free-particle-like at spacelike momenta
- > pole-free on the timelike axis

> The diquark propagators

$$\Delta^{0^{\pm}}(K) = \frac{1}{m_{0^{\pm}}^2} \mathcal{F}(k^2/\omega_{0^{\pm}}^2),$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{\pm}}(K) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_{\mu}K_{\nu}}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{m_{1^{\pm}}^{2}} \mathcal{F}(k^{2}/\omega_{1^{\pm}}^{2}).$$

The *F-functions*: Simplest possible form that is consistent with infrared and ultraviolet constraints of confinement (IR) and 1/q^2 evolution (UV) of meson propagators.

Diquarks are confined.

- free-particle-like at spacelike momenta
- > pole-free on the timelike axis
- This is NOT true of RL studies. It enables us to reach arbitrarily high values of momentum transfer.

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\pm}(p_{i},\alpha_{i},\sigma_{i}) &= [\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K)]_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\Delta^{0^{+}}(K)[\varphi_{0^{+}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)]_{\sigma_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \\ &+ [\Gamma_{\mu}^{1^{+}j}]\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{+}}[\varphi_{1^{+}\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{0^{-}}]\Delta^{0^{-}}[\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma_{\mu}^{1^{-}}]\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{1^{-}}[\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)], \end{split}$$
(9)

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\pm}(p_{i},\alpha_{i},\sigma_{i}) &= [\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K)]_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\Delta^{0^{+}}(K) [\varphi_{0^{+}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)]_{\sigma_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{+}j}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{+}}_{\mu\nu} [\varphi_{1^{+}\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{0^{-}}]\Delta^{0^{-}} [\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{-}}_{\mu\nu} [\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)], \end{split}$$
(9)

> The Faddeev ampitudes:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\pm}(p_{i},\alpha_{i},\sigma_{i}) &= [\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K)]_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\Delta^{0^{+}}(K)[\varphi_{0^{+}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)]_{\sigma_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{+}j}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{+}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{+}\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{0^{-}}]\Delta^{0^{-}}[\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{-}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)], \end{split}$$
(9)

> Quark-diquark vertices:

> The Faddeev ampitudes:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\pm}(p_{i},\alpha_{i},\sigma_{i}) &= [\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K)]_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\Delta^{0^{+}}(K)[\varphi_{0^{+}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)]_{\sigma_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{+}j}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{+}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{+}\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{0^{-}}]\Delta^{0^{-}}[\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{-}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)], \end{split}$$
(9)

> Ouark-diquark vertices:

$$\varphi_{0^+}^{\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^{\pm}(\ell^2,\ell\cdot P)\mathcal{S}^i(\ell;P)\mathcal{G}^{\pm},$$

$$\varphi_{1^+\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i^{j\pm}(\ell^2,\ell\cdot P)\gamma_5\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^i(\ell;P)\mathcal{G}^{\pm},$$

$$\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell; P) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{P}_{i}^{\pm}(\ell^{2}, \ell \cdot P) \mathcal{S}^{i}(\ell; P) \mathcal{G}^{\mp},$$

$$\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} v_i^{\pm}(\ell^2, \ell \cdot P) \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^i(\ell;P) \mathcal{G}^{\mp},$$

> The Faddeev ampitudes:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\pm}(p_{i},\alpha_{i},\sigma_{i}) &= [\Gamma^{0^{+}}(k;K)]_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\Delta^{0^{+}}(K)[\varphi_{0^{+}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)]_{\sigma_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{+}j}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{+}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{+}\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{0^{-}}]\Delta^{0^{-}}[\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)] \\ &+ [\Gamma^{1^{-}}_{\mu}]\Delta^{1^{-}}_{\mu\nu}[\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P)u(P)], \end{split}$$
(9)

> Ouark-diquark vertices:

$$\varphi_{0^+}^{\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^{\pm}(\ell^2,\ell\cdot P)\mathcal{S}^i(\ell;P)\mathcal{G}^{\pm},$$

$$\varphi_{1^+\nu}^{j\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i^{j\pm}(\ell^2,\ell\cdot P)\gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^i(\ell;P)\mathcal{G}^{\pm},$$

$$\varphi_{0^{-}}^{\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{P}_{i}^{\pm}(\ell^{2},\ell\cdot P)\mathcal{S}^{i}(\ell;P)\mathcal{G}^{\mp},$$

$$\varphi_{1^{-}\nu}^{\pm}(\ell;P) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} v_i^{\pm}(\ell^2, \ell \cdot P) \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^i(\ell;P) \mathcal{G}^{\mp},$$

where $\mathcal{G}^{+(-)}=\mathbf{I}_{D}(\gamma_{5})$ and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{1} &= \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad \mathcal{S}^{2} = i\gamma \cdot \hat{\ell} - \hat{\ell} \cdot \hat{P}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{1} &= \gamma \cdot \ell^{\perp} \hat{P}_{\nu}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{2} = -i\hat{P}_{\nu}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{3} = \gamma \cdot \hat{\ell}^{\perp} \hat{\ell}_{\nu}^{\perp} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{4} &= i\hat{\ell}_{\nu}^{\perp}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{5} = \gamma_{\nu}^{\perp} - \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{3}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{6} = i\gamma_{\nu}^{\perp}\gamma \cdot \hat{\ell}^{\perp} - \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{4}, \end{split}$$

Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.

- Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.
- Each of the scalar functions that appears is frame independent, but the frame chosen determines just how the elements should be combined.

- Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.
- Each of the scalar functions that appears is frame independent, but the frame chosen determines just how the elements should be combined.
- In consequence, the manner by which the dressed quarks' spin, S, and orbital angular momentum, L, add to form the total momentum J, is frame dependent: L, S are not independently Poincare invariant.

- Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.
- Each of the scalar functions that appears is frame independent, but the frame chosen determines just how the elements should be combined.
- In consequence, the manner by which the dressed quarks' spin, S, and orbital angular momentum, L, add to form the total momentum J, is frame dependent: L, S are not independently Poincare invariant.
- The set of baryon rest-frame quark-diquark angular momentum identifications:

- Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.
- Each of the scalar functions that appears is frame independent, but the frame chosen determines just how the elements should be combined.
- In consequence, the manner by which the dressed quarks' spin, S, and orbital angular momentum, L, add to form the total momentum J, is frame dependent: L, S are not independently Poincare invariant.
- > The set of baryon rest-frame quark-diquark angular momentum identifications:

²S:
$$S^{1}$$
, \mathcal{A}_{ν}^{2} , $(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{3} + \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{5})$,
²P: S^{2} , \mathcal{A}_{ν}^{1} , $(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{4} + \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{6})$,
⁴P: $(2\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{4} - \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{6})/3$,
⁴D: $(2\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{3} - \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{5})/3$,

- Both the Faddeev amplitude and wave function are Poincare covariant, i.e. they are qualitatively identical in all reference frames.
- Each of the scalar functions that appears is frame independent, but the frame chosen determines just how the elements should be combined.
- In consequence, the manner by which the dressed quarks' spin, S, and orbital angular momentum, L, add to form the total momentum J, is frame dependent: L, S are not independently Poincare invariant.
- > The set of baryon rest-frame quark-diquark angular momentum identifications:

²S:
$$S^1$$
, \mathcal{A}^2_{ν} , $(\mathcal{A}^3_{\nu} + \mathcal{A}^5_{\nu})$,
²P: S^2 , \mathcal{A}^1_{ν} , $(\mathcal{A}^4_{\nu} + \mathcal{A}^6_{\nu})$,
⁴P: $(2\mathcal{A}^4_{\nu} - \mathcal{A}^6_{\nu})/3$,
⁴D: $(2\mathcal{A}^3_{\nu} - \mathcal{A}^5_{\nu})/3$,

The scalar functions associated with these combinations of Dirac matrices in a Faddeev wave function possess the identified angular momentum correlation between the quark and diquark.



> A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean



A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which



- A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which has two contributions:
 - ✓ Formation of tight diquark correlations.
 - ✓ Quark exchange depicted in the shaded area.



- A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which has two contributions:
 - ✓ Formation of tight diquark correlations.
 - ✓ Quark exchange depicted in the shaded area.



The exchange ensures that diquark correlations within the baryon are fully dynamical: no quark holds a special place.

- A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which has two contributions:
 - ✓ Formation of tight diquark correlations.
 - ✓ Quark exchange depicted in the shaded area.



- The exchange ensures that diquark correlations within the baryon are fully dynamical: no quark holds a special place.
- The rearrangement of the quarks guarantees that the baryon's wave function complies with Pauli statistics.

- A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which has two contributions:
 - ✓ Formation of tight diquark correlations.
 - ✓ Quark exchange depicted in the shaded area.



- The exchange ensures that diquark correlations within the baryon are fully dynamical: no quark holds a special place.
- The rearrangement of the quarks guarantees that the baryon's wave function complies with Pauli statistics.
- Modern diquarks are different from the old static, point-like diquarks which featured in early attempts to explain the so-called missing resonance problem.

- A baryon can be viewed as a Borromean bound-state, the binding within which has two contributions:
 - ✓ Formation of tight diquark correlations.
 - ✓ Quark exchange depicted in the shaded area.



- The exchange ensures that diquark correlations within the baryon are fully dynamical: no quark holds a special place.
- The rearrangement of the quarks guarantees that the baryon's wave function complies with Pauli statistics.
- Modern diquarks are different from the old static, point-like diquarks which featured in early attempts to explain the so-called missing resonance problem.
- The number of states in the spectrum of baryons obtained is similar to that found in the three-constituent quark model, just as it is in today's LQCD calculations.