# THE VISIBLE AND THE INVISIBLE WEBS



# THE VISIBLE AND THE INVISIBLE WEBS



Initially, density fluctuations are very small ( $\delta \rho / \rho \sim 10^{-4}$ ), and in this linear regime, structure formation proceeds at a moderate pace

# THE VISIBLE AND THE INVISIBLE WEBS



However, soon the linear regime fails to describe the growing concentration of matter in the initially overdense regions. Gravity is a relentless force *driving inequality* in the Universe.

# THE VISIBLE AND THE INVISIBLE WEBS



Visible v. Invisible structures

Dark matter is 5-6x more abundant than baryonic matter, therefore it often determines the gravitational wells where we also find luminous baryons– galaxies of all kinds, quasars, gas clouds, etc.



# To the blackboard!

# Brief review of

# Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation

and

# Baryon Acoustic Oscillations



## Recombination: the Saha equation and full recombination

Number of free (ionized) electrons and ionized fraction::

$$n_e = (1 - Y)X_e n_b = x_e \times 1.12 \times 10^{-5}\Omega_b h^2 (1 + z)^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$$



This **probability per unit length** that a photon **is scattered** by some time **t**, but not afterwards, is called the **visibility function**:

 $g(\eta) = \mu'(\eta)e^{-\mu(\eta)} = \sigma_T X_e(\eta)n_b(\eta)a(\eta) \times \exp\left[\int_0^{\eta} d\eta' \,\sigma_T X_e(\eta')n_b(\eta')a(\eta')\right]$ 



Hu 2005

Peak probability:

### Width of the Surface of Last Scattering, as observed today:



• The CMB is really a snapshot of an "instant": a picture of a spherical shell of radius  $R_{SLS}$ , when the Universe was 400,000 yrs old











Causal description of the Universe, from our point of view

# Illustris simulation

ILLUSTRIS Time since the Big Bang: 10.8 billion year

# **Cosmic Microwave Background:**

initial conditions to build the structures of the Universe





# WMAP: 2003-2012



# Temperature



# Polarization

## PLANCK



Hu & White



# Cosmic microwave background



## **Spherical Harmonics and Fourier Transform**

Decomposition into Fourier modes (Fourier Transform)



$$f(x) = \sum_{k} \tilde{f}_{k} e^{ikx}$$

## **Spherical Harmonics and Fourier Transform**



 $f(x,y) \longrightarrow f(\theta,\phi)$ 





$$e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \iff Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$



$$f(x,y) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \tilde{f}_{\vec{k}} e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad f(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$





## Angular power spectrum of the CMB



$$f(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

$$C_{\ell} = \langle |a_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle$$

NASA, WMAP



# Teory v. data (temperature)



# CMB: "Precision cosmology"

| Parameter                                 | Planck (CMB+lensing) |                       | Planck+WP+highL+BAO |                       |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|   | Best fit             | 68 % limits           | Best fit            | 68 % limits           |
| $\Omega_{ m b} h^2$                       | 0.022242             | $0.02217 \pm 0.00033$ | 0.022161            | $0.02214 \pm 0.00024$ |
| $\Omega_{ m c} h^2$                       | 0.11805              | $0.1186 \pm 0.0031$   | 0.11889             | $0.1187 \pm 0.0017$   |
| $100\theta_{\rm MC}$                      | 1.04150              | $1.04141 \pm 0.00067$ | 1.04148             | $1.04147 \pm 0.00056$ |
| au  | 0.0949               | $0.089 \pm 0.032$     | 0.0952              | $0.092 \pm 0.013$     |
| $n_{\rm s}$                               | 0.9675               | $0.9635 \pm 0.0094$   | 0.9611              | $0.9608 \pm 0.0054$   |
| $\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})\ldots\ldots\ldots$ | 3.098                | $3.085 \pm 0.057$     | 3.0973              | $3.091 \pm 0.025$     |
| $\overline{\Omega_{\Lambda}$              | 0.6964               | $0.693 \pm 0.019$     | 0.6914              | $0.692 \pm 0.010$     |
| $\sigma_8$                                | 0.8285               | $0.823 \pm 0.018$     | 0.8288              | $0.826 \pm 0.012$     |
| $z_{\rm re}$                              | 11.45                | $10.8^{+3.1}_{-2.5}$  | 11.52               | $11.3 \pm 1.1$        |
| $H_0$                                     | 68.14                | $67.9 \pm 1.5$        | 67.77               | $67.80 \pm 0.77$      |
| Age/Gyr                                   | 13.784               | $13.796 \pm 0.058$    | 13.7965             | $13.798 \pm 0.037$    |
| $100\theta_*$                             | 1.04164              | $1.04156 \pm 0.00066$ | 1.04163             | $1.04162 \pm 0.00056$ |
| <i>r</i> <sub>drag</sub>                  | 147.74               | $147.70 \pm 0.63$     | 147.611             | $147.68 \pm 0.45$     |
| $r_{\rm drag}/D_{\rm V}(0.57)$            | 0.07207              | $0.0719 \pm 0.0011$   |                     |                       |

# Acoustic waves of radiation, baryonic matter (and dark matter)



Physics of the CMB: pressure waves ("acoustic waves")

# Physical processes around decoupling: pressure waves ("acoustic waves")



W. Hu – <u>background.uchicago.edu</u>



When the photons decouple from matter, the density fluctuations (of baryons + DM, mostly) lose the pressure support and start evolving only according to gravity — growing with the scale factor:

 $\delta \sim a$ 



When the photons decouple from matter, the density fluctuations (of baryons + DM, mostly) lose the pressure support and start evolving only according to gravity — growing with the scale factor:

 $\delta \sim a$ 



Acoustic waves of radiation, baryons and dark matter



$$R_{s} = \int_{0}^{\iota_{dec}} dt \, \frac{c_{s}}{a(t)} = \int_{0}^{u_{dec}} da \, \frac{c_{s}}{H(a) \, a^{2}} = \int_{z_{dec}}^{\infty} dz \, \frac{c_{s}}{H(z)}$$

## Angular power spectrum of the CMB



$$f(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

$$C_{\ell} = \left\langle \left| a_{\ell m} \right|^2 \right\rangle$$



In matter the effect is subtle, when we observe a more realistic distribution of matter (below, a 2D section of a 3D distribution)





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GPiQVRS8kCg



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L7ws68XmgKo

Let's add some more substance, by taking into account the additional inertia that the baryons impose on photons due to scattering ("baryon drag")



This drag is proportional to the baryon/photon ratio

$$R_b \equiv \frac{(\rho_b + p_b)v_b}{(\rho_r + p_r)v_r} = \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_r + \frac{1}{3}\rho_r} = \frac{\rho_b}{\frac{4}{3}\rho_r} \simeq 31.5 \,\Omega_b \,h^2 \,\frac{10^3}{z}$$

The sound speed of this medium then becomes:

$$c_s^2(z) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1 + R_b(z)}$$

Acoustic horizon at decoupling:

Observations: WMAP, Planck

## $z_{dec} \simeq 1090.5 \pm 1 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad R_s(z_{dec}) = (146.8 \pm 1.8) \text{ Mpc}$

## Acoustic horizon at "baryon drag"

Since we have  $\sim 10^9$  photons for each baryon (!!!), even after decoupling, even if the photons are not so affected by the baryons, the baryons do feel the drag of radiation, as it moves away from over-dense ("hot") regions and into under-dense ("cold") ones.

This drag ends shortly **after** decoupling:

Uncertainty in the **prediction** based on WMAP, Planck

 $z_{drag} \simeq 1020.5 \pm 1.6 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad R_s(z_{drag}) = (153.3 \pm 2) \text{ Mpc}$ 

This acoustic horizon, which can be predicted with **high accuracy** by observations of the CMB, shows up in the present **matter distribution** 

