The Top Quark Mass at Lepton Colliders

and the Inclusive Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section from Threshold to Continuum

Angelika Widl (University of Vienna),

Bahman Dehnadi, André Hoang, Vicent Mateu, Maximilian Stahlhofen, Marça Boronat, Esteban Fullana, Juan Fuster, Pablo Gomis, Marcel Vos

Workshop on Determination of Fundamental QCD Parameters 02/10/2019

Outline

• Top Quark Mass Determination at Lepton Colliders

- Overview
- Threshold Scan
- Radiative Events [Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, AW, Marcel Vos]
- Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section from Threshold to Continuum [Dehnadi, Hoang, Mateu, Stahlhofen, AW]
 - Threshold Region
 - Continuum Region
 - Mass Schemes at and above Threshold
 - Matching at NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum}
- Conclusions

Top Quark Mass Determination at Lepton Colliders

Overview

lepton colliders

| LEP (CERN) | 1989 – 2000 | 209 GeV |
|---------------|-------------|--|
| ILC (Japan) | proposed | 250 GeV - 1000 GeV [Baer et al. 2013] |
| CLIC (CERN) | proposed | 350 GeV - 3000 GeV [CLIC collaboration 2016 |
| CEPC (China) | proposed | 91 GeV - 240 GeV [CEPC study group 201 |
| FCC-ee (CERN) | proposed | $90 GeV-365 GeV \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ |







Overview

top quark mass measurements (main methods)

- threshold scan 350 GeV
- radiative events
- direct reconstruction 380 GeV, 500 GeV invariant mass
- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t})$ < 75 MeV precision [Simon 2019]
- 380 GeV, 500 GeV $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma)$ ~ 110 150 MeV precision [Boronat et al. 2019 in preparation]
 - ~ 50 100 MeV precision

[Abramowicz et al. 2019], [Seidel et al. 2013]





Overview

top quark mass measurements (main methods)

| • | threshold scan | 350 GeV | $\sigma(e^+e^- \to t\bar{t})$ | < 75 MeV precision | well-define (low-scale | d mass scheme short-distance mass schemes) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|
| • | radiative events | 380 GeV, 500 GeV | $\sigma(e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}\gamma)$ | ~ 110 - 150 MeV pre | CISION [Bor | onat et al. 2019 - in preparation] |
| • | direct reconstruction | 380 GeV, 500 GeV | invariant mass | ~ 50 - 100 MeV pred | cision | Monte Carlo top guark mass |
| | | | | | | → talk from Daniel Samitz |





Threshold Scan (340 GeV - 350 GeV)

- top mass: precision < 75 MeV [Simon 2019] (now: $m_t^{
 m MC} = 172.9 \pm 0.4 \ {
 m GeV}$ [PDG])
- top width: precision < 100 MeV [Simon 2019]

(now: $\Gamma_t = 1.42^{+0.19}_{-0.15}~{
m GeV}$ [PDG])

• threshold also sensitive to top Yukawa coupling, strong coupling constant







Threshold Scan (340 GeV - 350 GeV)

- top mass: precision < 75 MeV [Simon 2019] $({\sf now:} \ m_t^{\rm MC} = 172.9 \pm 0.4 \ {\rm GeV} \ \ {\tt [PDG]} \ {\tt)}$
- top width: precision < 100 MeV [Simon 2019]

(now: $\Gamma_t = 1.42^{+0.19}_{-0.15}~{
m GeV}$ [PDG])

• threshold also sensitive to top Yukawa coupling, strong coupling constant



| uncertainties for top quark mass determination | | |
|--|--|--|
| QCD scale variation | ~ 40 MeV | |
| parametric $lpha_s$ | ~ 30 MeV (for $\Delta lpha_s = 0.001$) | |
| statistical | ~ 20 MeV | |
| systematic (experimental) | ~ 25 - 50 MeV | |

[[]Abramowicz et al. 2019]



[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_\gamma}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



cross section factorizes (in ISR approximation):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\,\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\,\mathrm{sin}^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$



- large photon energy $E_{\gamma} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
- θ integrated from 8° to 172°
- highest mass sensitivity for collinear top quarks $\circ \qquad s' \sim 4\,m_t^2$
 - radiative return to threshold

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



cross section factorizes (in ISR approximation):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\sin^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$





[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



cross section factorizes (in ISR approximation):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\sin^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$



| cms energy | CLIC, \sqrt{s} | $\overline{6} = 380 \text{GeV}$ | ILC, \sqrt{s} : | = 500 GeV |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| luminosity $[fb^{-1}]$ | 500 | 1000 | 500 | 4000 |
| statistical | $140\mathrm{MeV}$ | $90{ m MeV}$ | $350\mathrm{MeV}$ | $110\mathrm{MeV}$ |
| theory | $46\mathrm{MeV}$ | | $55\mathrm{MeV}$ | |
| lum. spectrum | $20{ m MeV}$ | | $20{ m MeV}$ | |
| photon response | $16{ m MeV}$ | | $85\mathrm{MeV}$ | |
| total | $150\mathrm{MeV}$ | $110\mathrm{MeV}$ | $360\mathrm{MeV}$ | $150\mathrm{MeV}$ |
| | | | | |
| uncertainties for top quark mass | | | | |

Inclusive Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section from Threshold to Continuum



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section -Threshold



At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, \, lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1$

```
At threshold: v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1
```

 \rightarrow ladder diagrams are enhanced



- → resummation of ladder diagrams with Schrödinger equation
- → numerical solution with Toppik [Hoang, Teubner 1999]

```
At threshold: v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1
```

 \rightarrow ladder diagrams are enhanced



- → resummation of ladder diagrams with Schrödinger equation
- → numerical solution with Toppik [Hoang, Teubner 1999]
- → upgraded version of Toppik
 - precision now 10⁻⁴
 - 10 50 times faster than original version



At threshold: $v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1$

- resummation of ladder diagrams gives toponium resonances
- large top quark width smears out the top quark resonances



→ inclusion of width by the replacement $\sqrt{s} + i\epsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t$ (gives LO electroweak contributions at threshold) [Fadin, Khoze 1987]

Threshold - Large Logarithms

At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, \overline{lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1}$

→ resummation with vNRQCD (velocity non-relativistic QCD) [Hoang, Stahlhofen 2013]

Contributions to the cross section at threshold :

$$\sigma_{\text{NRQCD}}^{\text{NNLL}} = v \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{LL}$$
$$+ v^2 \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{NLL}$$
$$+ v^3 \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{NNLL}$$

Threshold - Large Logarithms

At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1$

→ resummation with vNRQCD (velocity non-relativistic QCD) [Hoang, Stahlhofen 2013]

Contributions to the cross section at threshold :



(error bands from variation of renormalization scales)

Inclusive Cross Section -Continuum



Continuum Cross Section

The inclusive cross section is related to the vacuum polarization by the optical theorem:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\Pi(\sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t) \right]$$

In the continuum:

$$\sigma_{\rm QCD}^{\rm N^3LO} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left[\Pi^{(0)} + \alpha_s \Pi^{(1)} + \alpha_s^2 \Pi^{(2)} + \alpha_s^3 \Pi^{(3)}\right]$$

- $\Pi^{(0)}$, $\Pi^{(1)}$... known analytically
- $\Pi^{(2)}$, $\Pi^{(3)}$... reconstructed with Padé approximations [Hoang, Mateu, Zebarjad 2009] [Kiyo, Maier, Maierhofer, Marquard 2009] (validity of Padé approximations for $\Pi^{(2)}$ shown by comparison to exact numerical

result in [Maier, Marquard 2017])

Continuum Cross Section

The inclusive cross section is related to the vacuum polarization by the optical theorem:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\Pi(\sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t) \right]$$

In the continuum:



Inclusive Cross Section -Mass Schemes

- pole mass scheme renormalon
- 1S mass scheme
- MS mass scheme
- for the threshold
- for the continuum
- MSR mass scheme for all regions

Mass Schemes - Pole Mass

Full propagator:

$$S_F^0 = rac{i}{{
ot\!\!/} p - m_0 + \Sigma({
ot\!\!/} p,\,m_0)}$$
 ,

Pole mass:

$$p - m_0 + \Sigma(p, m_0) |_{p^2 = m_{\text{pole}}^2} = 0$$



→ pole mass renormalon leads to bad convergence of the cross section already at lower orders

Renormalon at threshold:





Mass Schemes - MS Mass

Full propagator:
$$S_F = \frac{i}{\not p - \overline{m}(\mu) + \Sigma_{\text{finite}}(\not p, \overline{m}(\mu))}$$
, $\Sigma(\not p, m_0) =$

Conversion:

$$m_{pole} = \overline{m} + \overline{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(n_l, n_h) \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n$$

= $\overline{m} + \overline{m} \alpha_s a_1 + \dots$ ($\overline{m} = \overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}(\overline{m}^{(n_l+1)})$)
 $\sim mv$
 \rightarrow works in the continuum, but not at threshold

Breaking of non-relativistic power counting at threshold in the MS scheme:

$$\begin{split} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s/4\pi)}{\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s/4\pi}} \\ &= v_{\overline{\text{MS}}} - a_1\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)\left(\frac{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{2} + \frac{1}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}\right) + a_1^2\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2\left(\frac{3\,v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{8} + \frac{1}{2\,v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}} - \frac{1}{2\,v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \\ &\sim \alpha_s \qquad \sim \alpha_s^0 \qquad \sim \alpha_s^{-1} \end{split}$$

Mass Schemes - MS Mass

Full propagator: $S_F = \frac{i}{\not p - \overline{m}(\mu) + \Sigma_{\text{finite}}(\not p, \overline{m}(\mu))}$, $\Sigma(\not p, m_0) =$

Conversion:

$$\begin{split} m_{pole} &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(n_l, n_h) \, \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n \\ &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \, \alpha_s \, a_1 + \dots \qquad (\ \overline{m} = \overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}(\overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}) \) \\ &\sim mv \\ &\rightarrow \text{ works in the continuum, but not at threshold} \end{split}$$

Breaking of non-relativistic power counting at threshold in the MS scheme:



Mass Schemes - 1S Mass

[Hoang, Ligeti, Manohar 1998]

Mass of 1S resonance: $M_{t\bar{t}}^{3S1} = E_{\text{bin}} + 2 m_{\text{pole}}$

1S mass:

$$m_{1S} = \frac{1}{2}M_{tt}^{3S1} = m_{\text{pole}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{bin}}$$



other low-scale short-distance mass schemes:

PS mass [Beneke 1998], RS mass [Pineda 2001], kinetic mass [Czarnecki, Melnikov, Uraltsev 1998]

Mass Schemes - 1S Mass

[Hoang, Ligeti, Manohar 1998]

Mass of 1S resonance: $M_{t\bar{t}}^{3S1} = E_{bin} + 2 m_{pole}$

1S mass:

$$m_{1S} = \frac{1}{2}M_{tt}^{3S1} = m_{\text{pole}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{bin}}$$

Conversion:
$$m_{1S} = m_{pole} + (C_F \alpha_s(\mu) m_{pole}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n,k} \alpha_s(\mu)^n \log\left(\frac{\mu}{C_F \alpha_s(\mu) m_{pole}}\right)$$

= $m_{pole} - \frac{2}{9} \alpha_s^2 m_{pole} + \dots$
 $\sim mv^2$

no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(m_{1\text{S}} + m_{1\text{S}}\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}/4\pi)}{m_{1\text{S}} + m_{1\text{S}}\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}/4\pi}} \\ &= v_{1\text{S}} - a_{1}\,\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{v_{1\text{S}}}{2} + \frac{1}{v_{1\text{S}}}\right) + a_{1}^{2}\,\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}\right)^{4}\left(\frac{3\,v_{1\text{S}}}{8} + \frac{1}{2\,v_{1\text{S}}} - \frac{1}{2\,v_{1\text{S}}^{3}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{6}) \\ &\sim \alpha_{s} \qquad \sim \alpha_{s} \qquad \sim \alpha_{s} \end{aligned}$$

Mass Schemes - MSR Mass

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$

→ no breakdown of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold

 \rightarrow improves convergence of the continuum cross section in the intermediate region:



Mass Schemes - MSR Mass

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$

- → no breakdown of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold
- \rightarrow improves convergence of the continuum cross section in the intermediate region:



Inclusive Cross Section -Matching



 $\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\rm vNRQCD}^{\rm NNLO} &= v + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^4/v^3 + \dots \\ &+ v^2 + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^4/v^2 + \dots \\ &+ v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^4/v + \dots \\ &+ v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + v^3 + v^4 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s v^3 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^2 v^2 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^3 + \infty \end{split}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

$$\sigma_{\rm vNRQCD}^{\rm NNLO} = \begin{bmatrix} v + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^4/v^3 + \dots \\ + v^2 + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^4/v^2 + \dots \\ + v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^4/v + \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm QCD}^{\rm N^3LO} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} v & + v^2 & + v^3 \\ + \alpha_s & + \alpha_s v & + \alpha_s v^2 \\ + \alpha_s v^3 + \dots \\ + \alpha_s^2/v & + \alpha_s^2 & + \alpha_s^2 v \\ + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^3 \\ + \alpha_s^3 & + \dots \end{array} \right)$$

 $\sigma_{
m double-counted}$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

switch-off function:

- variation gives an error estimate of the matching
- introduces scheme dependence
- do we get convergence when going to higher orders?



| mass schemes: | $\sigma_{ m vNRQCD}$ | 1S mass scheme |
|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | $\sigma_{ m QCD}$ | MSR mass scheme |
| | $\sigma_{ m double-counted}$ | MSR mass scheme |

matched cross section from lowest to highest order:



- error from variation of renormalization scales and the switch off function
- matching smoothly connects threshold with continuum
- overall error reduces from order to order



- good convergence from order to order
- matching error smaller than variation of renormalization scales
- matching error reduces from order to order





threshold cross section vs. matched cross section

- → matched cross section starts to differ from the threshold cross section immediately above the peak region
- → higher order corrections from continuum cross section give small shift at threshold



continuum cross section vs. matched cross section

- → matched cross section and continuum MSR cross section overlap above 365 GeV
- → MSR mass scheme valid down to smaller center-of-mass energies than pole mass scheme and MS mass scheme

Theory Error for NNNLO_{continuum}

the cross section at NNNLO_{continuum} shows a difference between the pole scheme and the MSR scheme:



- cross section in the pole scheme and the MSR scheme are incompatible (error bands do not overlap)
- scale variation seems to underestimate the error
- difference corresponds to 1 GeV difference in the top quark mass

• higher order mass corrections seem to favor MSR mass scheme

Conclusions

- The top quark pair production cross section at lepton colliders will provide high precision measurements for the top mass and width from a threshold scan and radiative events.
- We constructed a consistent matched cross section at QCD NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} with LO electroweak corrections at threshold.
- The MSR mass provides a consistent mass scheme in all regions from threshold to the continuum.
- The cross section at NNNLO_{continuum} in the pole and MSR scheme show a large difference
- <u>Outlook</u>:
 - study on the difference of the continuum cross section in different mass schemes
 - differential matched cross section at NLL_{threshold} + NLO_{continuum}

Conclusions

- The top quark pair production cross section at lepton colliders will provide high precision measurements for the top mass and width from a threshold scan and radiative events.
- We constructed a consistent matched cross section at QCD NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} with LO electroweak corrections at threshold.
- The MSR mass provides a consistent mass scheme in all regions from threshold to the continuum.
- The cross section at NNNLO_{continuum} in the pole and MSR scheme show a large difference
- <u>Outlook</u>:
 - study on the difference of the continuum cross section in different mass schemes
 - differential matched cross section at NLL_{threshold} + NLO_{continuum}

Thank you for your attention!