Feebly Interacting Dark matter

Geneviève Bélanger

LAPTH Annecy-le-Vieux

See :

GB, Boudjema, Goudelis, Pukhov, Zaldivar, 1801.03509

GB, Desai, Goudelis, Harz, Lessa, No, Pukhov, Sekmen, Sengupta, Zaldivar, Zurita, 1811.05478

GB, Delaunay, Pukhov, Zaldivar, in preparation

ICTP, Sao Paulo, 23/10/2019

Outline

- Motivation
- Relic density of FIMPs
 - Generic case (Simplified model 1)
 - Production from out-of-equilibrium particles (Simplified model 2)
- Signatures
 - direct detection (simplified model 2)
 - LHC (simplified model 3)
- Summary

Introduction

What do we know about dark matter?

It has gravitational interactions (galaxies – rotation curvesgalaxy clusters, - Xray, gravitational lensing) - no electromagnetic interactions - It is cold (or maybe warm)



Within Λ CDM model – precisely know its relic density $\Omega_{cdm} h^2 = 0.1193 + - 0.0014$ (PLANCK – 1502.01589)

Leaves lots of possibilities for dark matter

In particular from the particle physics point of view - Cannot be baryons, neutrinos (too hot)

- A new particle? Two DM? Mass scale? Interaction strength? large self-interactions? linked to baryon-antibaryon asymmetry?

- WIMPs long time favourite : good theoretical motivation, typical annihilation cross-section leads to correct relic density
- WIMPs : elaborate search strategies from astroparticle/cosmo/colliders - but no signatures so far
- Important to consider alternative DM production and to explore new signatures

Beyond WIMPs

• Consider much weaker interaction strength and maybe mass scale



L. Roszkowski

FIMPS (Feebly interacting MP)

- Freeze-in (McDonald, PRL88, 091304 (2002); Hall et al, 0911.1120): in early Universe, DM so feebly interacting that never reach thermal equilibrium
- Assume that after inflation abundance DM very small, interactions are very weak but lead to production of DM



FIMPS (Feebly interacting MP)

- Freeze-in (McDonald, PRL88, 091304 (2002); Hall et al, 0911.1120): in early Universe, DM so feebly interacting that never reach thermal equilibrium
- Assume that after inflation abundance DM very small, interactions are very weak but lead to production of DM
- T~M, DM 'freezes-in' yield increase with interaction strength



DM produced from decays/annihilation

Freeze-in

- DM particles are NOT in thermal equilibrium with SM
- Recall

$$\frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\chi} = -\langle \sigma v \rangle \left((n_{\chi})^2 - (n_{\chi}^{eq})^2 \right)$$

Depletion of χ due to
annihilation Creation of χ from
inverse process

• Initial number of DM particles is very small

$$\dot{n}_{\chi} + 3Hn_{\chi} = \langle \sigma v \rangle_{X\bar{X} \to \chi\bar{\chi}}(T) n_{eq}^2(T) + n_{eq}(T) \Gamma_{Y \to \chi\chi}(T)$$

annihilation

Decay (X,Y in Thermal equilibrium with SM)

$$n = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f(p)$$

Solving for relic density (annihilation)

- Boltzmann eq, 2->2: $\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn = \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{d^3p_a}{(2\pi)^3 2E_a} \frac{d^3p_b}{(2\pi)^3 2E_b} \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_a - p_b) |\mathcal{M}|^2 f_1 f_2 (1 \mp f_a) (1 \mp f_b)$
- For particles in kinetic equilibrium with SM

$$f_{i} = \frac{1}{\left(e^{\frac{(E_{i}-\mu_{i})}{T}} \pm 1\right)} = \frac{\eta_{i}}{e^{\frac{E_{i}}{T}} + \eta_{i}} \qquad \qquad \eta_{i} = \pm e^{\mu_{i}/T}$$

• T larger than for freeze-out ($m_{DM}/3$ or $m_{Med}/3$), cannot always make approximation Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$egin{aligned} rac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn &= rac{g_1g_2}{8\pi^4}T|\eta_1\eta_2|C_{12}\int ds \; p_{ ext{CM}}^2\sqrt{s}\sigma(s) ilde{K}_1(\sqrt{s}/T,x_1,x_2,0,\eta_1,\eta_2) \ & ilde{K}_1(x_1,x_a,x_b,\eta_1,\eta_a,\eta_b) = rac{1}{4p_{ ext{CM}}T|\eta_1|}\int dE_+dE_-\;f_1(1\mp f_a)(1\mp f_b) \end{aligned}$$

- Effect of statistical treatment : up to a factor 2 (for bosons) ~25% for fermions
- Solve for Y=n/s \rightarrow $\Omega h^2 = \frac{m_{\chi} Y_{\chi}^0 s_0 h^2}{\rho_c}$

Simplest example : singlet

- SM with real singlet (odd under and Z2 symmetry) (see also C. Yaguna 1105.1654) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu}S) (\partial^{\mu}S) + \frac{\mu_s^2}{2}S^2 - \frac{\lambda_s}{4}S^4 - \lambda_{hs} (H^{\dagger}H) S^2$
- Free parameters λ_{hs} and m_S .



Higgs mediator

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{On-shell regime } (m_S{<\!\!\!<}\!\!m_{Med}) \\ \Omega h^2 \sim \lambda_{hs}\,^2 \,m_S \\ DM \, can \, be \, light \end{array}$

Off-shell regime $2m_S > m_H$ Bose-Einstein/MB ~2 (DM production dominate by VV)

Out-of-equilibrium FIMP production

- Other possible contributions to FIMPs production beyond the simplest freeze-in mechanism (decay of a particle in thermal equilibrium or 2-2 scattering)
- Illustrate with a simple model, Dirac fermion (DM) with scalar mediator

 $-\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = y_\chi \phi \bar{\chi} \chi + y_q \phi \bar{q} q$

- Consider case where φ is light (say 1 MeV) 1) possibility to solve cluster anomalies 2) enhance direct detection (see later) 3) illustrate new DM production mechanism
- Two DM production processes

 $q\bar{q} \to \chi\bar{\chi} \text{ and } \phi\phi \to \chi\bar{\chi}$ $(y_q y_\chi)^2$. y_χ^4 .

Relic density through freeze-in



• For small values of y_q the mediator is out-of-equilibrium during DM production – still contribute to DM production $\phi\phi \rightarrow \chi\chi$ suppressed by $(f_{\phi}/f_{eq})^2$ – can even be dominant

FIMP production from $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$

• Processes that bring ϕ in equilibrium with SM



- To compute contribution to DM production from $\phi \phi \rightarrow \chi \chi$ need $f_{\phi}(p,T)_{,}$ for this solve Boltzmann equation for ϕ
- Thermal corrections are important new channels (g decay) thermal mass in the propagator, $(m_g > m_q)$

$$\frac{m_g^2(T)}{T^2} = \frac{g_s^2}{6} \left(N_c + \frac{n_f(T)}{2} \right) \qquad \qquad \frac{m_q^2(T)}{T^2} = \frac{g_s^2}{6} + \frac{e^2 Q_q^2}{8}$$

• Thermal masses Dvorkin, Lin, Schutz 1902.08623 (photon), Hambye et al 1908.09864 (gluons)

FIMP production from ϕ

- Density of φ with large momentum (needed for production of DM) is suppressed still can be dominant
- Impact of thermal masses important at large q



 $q = \frac{p}{T_0} \left(\frac{s(T_0)}{s(T)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

• What about signatures?

Direct detection



For light mediator

- Note for Xenon $2m_N E_R \sim (22 \text{MeV})^2$ at detector threshold
- For very light mediator $\sigma \sim 1/E_R^2$, recoil spectrum peaks at low recoil energies see also Hambye et al, 1807.05022

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{\rho_0 \bar{\sigma}_{\rm SI} N_A}{\sqrt{\pi} v_0 m_\chi \mu_{\chi N}^2} F^2(q) \eta(q^2) \times \frac{m_\phi^4}{(q^2 + m_\phi^2)^2}$$
Velocity distribution

• Re-interpretation of DD limits from Xenon, Darkside, ... (micrOMEGAs_5.0.20 - GB,Mjallal, Pukhov)

Direct detection



GB, Delaunay, Pukhov, Zaldivar, in preparation

- A large part of FI region is/will be probed by DD even for DM at GeV scale
- See also other models, e.g. Hambye et al, 1807.05022

Other Signatures of FIMPs

- Typical couplings $y_q y_\chi \sim 10^{-10} 10^{-12}$
- Which such weak coupling can we expect any signal in standard DM searches : direct/indirect detection at colliders?
- Direct detection : possible with light mediator
- Indirect detection a few possibilities with decaying DM
 - Freeze-In production of PeV scalar that decays into neutrinos (Icecube) Roland et al 1506.08195
 - Light Frozen-in DM can lead to Xray/ γ -ray signatures
 - E.g. Baek, Po,Park 1405.3730, Essig et al, 1309.4091
- Collider signatures

FIMPs at colliders

- Despite small couplings could lead to some interesting LHC phenomenology
- Most relevant for colliders : DM is produced from the decay of a heavier particle (Y) in thermal equilibrium with thermal bath (eg Y is a WIMP but DM is FIMP)
- Y copiously produced, but small coupling \rightarrow long-lived
- Long-lived particles (either collider stable or displaced vertices)



The "LLP zoo"

H. Russell, LHC LLP workshop

Minimal freeze-in model

- Only one FIMP : DM, discrete Z_2 symmetry \rightarrow stable DM
- DM is a SM gauge singlet no thermalization in the early universe
- Minimality: smallest number of exotic fields (Y) but require some collider signature
 - Higgs portal y $H^2 \chi^2$, DM production depends on y no observable signature
- $Y: Z_2$ odd otherwise mostly coupled to SM suppressed decay to DM pairs
- Consider F vector-like fermion SU(2) singlet, DM : scalar singlet

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \partial_{\mu}s \; \partial^{\mu}s - \frac{\mu_s^2}{2}s^2 + \frac{\lambda_s}{4}s^4 + \lambda_{sh}s^2 \left(H^{\dagger}H\right) \\ + \bar{F}\left(i\not{\!D}\right)F - m_F\bar{F}F - \sum_f y_s^f \left(s\bar{F}\left(\frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}\right)f + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

- Free parameters : m_s , m_F , y_s^{f} (assume λ_s , $\lambda_{sh} \ll 1$)
- Model also considered for FO, Giacchino et al 1511.04452, Colucci et al, 1804.05068, 1805.10173

Relic density

- DM mainly produced from decay of F (F-> f s)
- F can be either lepton or quark
- DM yield (assuming Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics)

$$Y_s \approx \frac{45\,\xi\,M_{\rm Pl}}{8\pi^4 \cdot 1.66} \frac{g_F}{m_F^2} \Gamma \int_{m_F/T_R}^{m_F/T_0} dx \ x^3 \frac{K_1(x)}{g_*^s(m_F/x)\sqrt{g_*(m_F/x)}},$$

- Γ : partial width to DM , depends on $y_s{}^{\rm f}$
- DM abundance

$$\Omega_s h^2 \approx \frac{m_s Y_s}{3.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}}$$

• F lifetime

$$c\tau[\mathrm{m}] \approx 4.5 \ \xi \ g_F \ \left(\frac{0.12}{\Omega_s h^2}\right) \left(\frac{m_s}{100 \ \mathrm{keV}}\right) \left(\frac{200 \ \mathrm{GeV}}{m_F}\right)^2$$

• FI naturally leads to Long-lived particles

Relic density

- Lower bound on m_S ($m_S > 12 \text{keV}$)
 - Wash-out of small and intermediate scale structures if DM has nonnegligible velocity dispersion – bound from Lyman- α forest observation
- Lowering reheating temperature -> shorter lifetime

$$Y_s \approx \frac{45\,\xi\,M_{\rm Pl}}{8\pi^4 \cdot 1.66} \frac{g_F}{m_F^2} \Gamma \int_{m_F/T_R}^{m_F/T_0} dx \ x^3 \frac{K_1(x)}{g_*^s(m_F/x)\sqrt{g_*(m_F/x)}},$$

• Lifetime from cm to many meters



Production at LHC

LLP signatures at colliders

- Heavy stable charged particles (HSCP)
- Disappearing tracks
- Displaced leptons
- Displaced vertices

Heavy stable charged particles

- F colour neutral : anomalous ionizing track in inner tracker
- F colour triplet : hadronisation in neutral or charged hadrons (R-hadrons)
- HSCP velocity $\beta < 1$ (can distinguish HSCP from SM) :
 - charged particle produces ionizing track with higher ionization energy loss than SM
 - Time of flight measured with hits in muon chamber is larger than for relativistic muons
- If F has low $c\tau$, a fraction can decay within the tracker, rescale the production cross section



- Recast of CMS 8 TeV (18.8fb⁻¹) and 13 TeV (12.9fb⁻¹) searches:
 - Tracker only (decay outside tracker)
 - TOF: Tracker + time-of-flight (decay outside muon chamber)

Disappearing tracks

- First designed for wino-LSP (chargino lifetime .15-.25 ns) $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + \pi^{\pm}$ leave hits $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + \pi^{\pm}$ -> disappearing track
- Trigger: one disappearing track
 + one ISR jet (p_T>100GeV)
- ATLAS can reconstruct tracks down to 12 cm (25 cm for CMS)
- Not as sensitive as HSCP but covers shorter lifetimes





Displaced leptons

- Search for displaced eµ only applies if F decays to both electrons and muons
- Lepton transverse impact parameter closest distance between beam axis and lepton track in transverse plane





LHC constraints (lepton)



- As DM becomes heavier only HSCP searches relevant
- Lower T_R : expect signatures for smaller $c\tau$

Extrapolating to higher luminosity



Naive extrapolation to 3000fb⁻¹ (extrapolate current expected number of background events)

LHC constraints (quark)



- Region $m_F < 1.5$ TeV fully covered
- Lower T_R : expect signatures for smaller $c\tau$

Summary

- Several viable scenarios for feebly interacting particles which leads to relic density ~0.11
- For a precise prediction include 1) Fermi-Dirac/Bose-Einstein distribution 2) when relevant thermal masses 3) production from out-of-equilibrium particles
- Some FIMPs can be tested in (in)direct detection
- Searches for long-lived and 'collider-stable' particles useful probes of FIMP models
- Many cosmological constraints on light particles (not in this talk)

FI beyond simplified models

- FI can also occur in some of the common BSM models, e.g. in supersymmetry with RH sneutrino, gravitino, axino etc..
 - Cheung et al, 1103.4394; Hall et al, 1010.0245; Co et al 1611.05028...
- An example MSSM+RH sneutrino
 - Asaka et al, hep-ph/0612211, Banerjee et al, 1603.08834
- Neutrino have masses RH neutrino + Susy partner well-motivated if LSP then can be DM
- Example MSSM+3 RH neutrinos with pure Dirac neutrino mass

Į

• Superpotential

$$W = y_{
u} \hat{H}_u \cdot \hat{L} \hat{
u}_R^c - y_e \hat{H}_d \cdot \hat{L} \hat{\ell}_R^c + \mu_H \hat{H}_d \cdot \hat{H}_u$$

• Small Yukawa couplings O(10⁻¹³) (from neutrino oscillation and Planck+lensing +BAO)

• Sneutrino not thermalized in early universe - produced from decay of MSSM-LSP before or after freeze-out

$$\Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{\rm FO} = \frac{m_{\tilde{\nu}_R}}{m_{\rm MSSM-LSP}} \,\Omega_{\rm MSSM-LSP} \qquad \qquad \Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{FI} h^2 \simeq \frac{1.09 \times 10^{27}}{g^{*\,3/2}} m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sum_i \frac{g_i \Gamma_i}{m_i^2}$$

- Consider stau as the NLSP live from sec to min : decay outside detector
- LHC signature : stable charged particle NOT MET
- Constraints from BBN : lifetime of stau can be long enough for decay around or after BBN→ impact on abundance of light elements
- Decay of particle with lifetime > 0.1s can cause non-thermal nuclear reaction during or after BBN – spoiling predictions – in particular if new particle has hadronic decay modes -Kawasaki, Kohri, Moroi, PRD71, 083502 (2005)



- LHC Searches
 - Cascades : coloured sparticles decay into jets + SUSY \rightarrow N jets + stau
 - Pair production of two stable staus (model independent but lower cross section)
 - Passive search for stable particles
- Stable stau behaves like « slow » muons $\beta = p/E < 1$
 - Use ionisation properties and time of flight measurement to distinguish from muon
 - kinematic distribution

MoEDAL detector

- Passive detector
- Array of nuclear track detector stacks
- Surrounds intersection region point 8
- Sensitive to highly ionising particles
- Does not require trigger, one detected event is enough
- Major condition : ionizing particle has velocity $\beta < 0.5$
- Enough to detect signal







B. Acharya et al, 1405.7662

\mathcal{L}	=	3000	fb^{-1}

Benchmark	$m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$ (GeV)	N_s
BP1	398	26
BP2	554	7
BP3	655	3
BP6	831	1

Banerjee et al, 1806.04488