Quantum Time crystals

Rosario Fazio







Quantum Simulators



"What & Why"

. . .

Many-body systems ... "hard to understand":



Frustrated quantum magnets High-Tc superconductors

Quantum simulator: an experimental *controllable* system that reproduces the physics of a given model Hamiltonian



Questions not accessible via classical computation because the exp-large dimension of the Hilbert space (e.g. complex quantum ground states or dynamics in solid state systems)

Questions that are not directly "tractable/identified" in "nature" (e.g. thermalisation, defect formation, ...)

Refine our control on many-body systems

New states of matter (e.g. time-crystals,exotic qp,...)

Exp platforms



Photonic quantum simulators









Optical lattices



Spontaneous symmetry breaking

NN $H(h) = -J \sum S_i^z S_j^z - 2h \sum S_i^x$ $\langle ij \rangle$ Order Parameter $\langle S^z \rangle$ $|g_c|^{\beta}$ q = J/h

[Example]

Can time-translational invariance be spontaneously broken?

Time-crystal

F. Wilczek, Physical Review Letters **109**, 160401 (2012)

- Do laws of nature allow for the existence of a time-crystalline phase?
 - ...if yes, how to define/characterise a time crystal? \checkmark
 - ...where to look for it?

 \checkmark

- How much do we know of its relations to other phenomena?
- Is it "useful"?

Outline

Introduction time crystals

- Problem & definition
- No-go theorem
- Floquet time-crystals
- First exps on FTC

(Wilczek 2013)

(Watanabe & Oshikawa 2015)

(Else et al & Khemani et al 2013)

(Choi et al & Zhang et al 2016)

Floquet time crystals in clock models

- Conditions for the existence
- Direct transitions between different crystals
- Floquet time crystals in clean systems
 - Infinite range systems
 - Continuous (Boundary) time crystals
 - Connections to many-body open quantum systems

Time crystals & Synchronization

In collaboration with



A. Russomanno, F. Iemini, M. Dalmonte, R.F., Phys. Rev. B **95**, 214307 (2017) F. Iemini, A. Russomanno, J. Keeling, M. Schirò, M. Dalmonte, and R. F., Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 035301 (2018) O. Scarlatella, R. F., and M. Schirò, Phys. Rev. B **121**, 064511, (2019) F. M. Surace, A. Russomanno, M. Dalmonte, A. Silva, R. F., and F. Iemini, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 104303 (2019) R. Khasseh, R. Fazio, S. Ruffo, A. Russomanno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 184301 (2019) A. Russomanno, S. Notarnicola,F.M. Surace, R.F., M. Dalmonte, and Markus Heyl Phys. Rev. Research. **2**, 012003(R) (2020) Definition of a time crystal

Time-independent Hamiltonian ${\cal H}$



Bad definition:

Even Rabi oscillations would fit into the category of timecrystals **Definition TTSB:** $\phi(\vec{x},t)$ local order parameter

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \langle \phi(\vec{x}, t) \phi(\vec{x'}, t') \rangle \mathop{\longrightarrow}_{|\vec{x} - \vec{x'}| \to \infty} f(t - t')$$

No-go theorem:(*) systems in the ground state or in thermal equilibrium cannot manifest any time-crystalline behaviour

(TTSB in periodically driven systems)

Theory

D. V. Else, B. Bauer, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 090402 (2016). V. Khemani, A. Lazarides, R. Moessner, and S. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 250401 (2016). *Experiments* J. Zhang *et al*, Nature **543**, 217 (2017) S. Choi *et al*, Nature **543**, 221 (2017).

$$\mathcal{H}(t+T) = \mathcal{H}(t)$$
Hamiltonian
Period T
$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Spontaneous}\\ \text{breaking} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Observables}\\ \text{Period nT} \end{array}$$

$$f(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \psi | O(t) | \psi \rangle$$
$$f(t + \tau_B) = f(t) \qquad \tau_B = nT$$

Floquet time crystals



$$\hat{U} = \exp\left[-i\pi \sum_{i}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{x}\right] \exp\left[-i\hat{H}(\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{z})\right]$$
$$m_{z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \langle \sigma_{i}^{z}(t) \rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{will oscillate with a} \\ \text{double period} \end{array}$$

The periodic drive, under generic conditions will heat the system up to infinite temperatures *Rigidity*: no fine-tuned Hamiltonian parameters.

Persistence: the non-trivial oscillation must persist for infinitely long time when first taking the thermodynamic limit.

Floquet time crystals

Disordered Ising model in an external field

Else et al 2016





Experimental realisation of Floquet time-crystals **Time-crystals** Choi et al 2016 Zhang et al 2016 Oscillations at period 27 ****** ********* 50000000000000 ********* ******* Spin-flip Interactions + Spin-flip Interactions + Spin-flip pulse random disorder pulse random disorder pulse Drive period T а b C d Single ion magnetizations ion magnetizations 0.0 2.0⁻ etizations 1.0 1.0 BRATE. 12. 0.5 0.5 0.5 magn 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 No. -0.5 -0.5Single Single Single -1.0 20 60 80 100 60 80 100 20 60 80 100 60 80 0 40 0 20 40 Ô 40 0 20 40 100 Time (T) Time (7) Time (T) Time (T) Fourier spectrum spectrum trum spectrum 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 g 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 Fourier 5 Fourier 0 Fourier 0.02 0.02 0.4 0.5 O anatatatatata I Ittatatatatata 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.6 Frequency (1/7) Frequency (1/7) Frequency (1/T) Frequency (1/T) $\varepsilon = 0.03, \, 2J_0 \, t_2 = 0.072$ $\varepsilon = 0.11, \, 2J_0 \, t_2 = 0.072$ $\varepsilon = 0.03, W t_2 = 0$ $\varepsilon = 0.03, W t_3 = \pi$ Interactions off Interactions on e 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Magnetization Ion no. 5 - Ion no. 2 Ion no. 4 Ion no. 1 Ion no. 3 Ion no. 3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Wagn -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 1.0 4agnetization 0.0 2.0 -0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Magnetization - Ion no. 8 Ion no. 9 Ion no. 6 Ion no. 10 Ion no. - Ion no. 8 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -1.0 -1.0-1.0 -1.0-1 20 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 0 0 Time (7) Time (T) Time (T) Time (T) Time (T) Time (T)

Floquet time crystals





L = 8L = 10

L = 12

 10^{12}





More recent experiments

Rovny *et al* 2018 Pal *et al* 2016

- Is it possible to have a Floquet timecrystal in the absence of disorder?
- How to observe direct transitions between different crystalline phases



Floquet time crystals in the LMG models

 $\mathcal{H}(h_0) = -\frac{2J}{N} \sum_{i=i}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z - 2h_0 \sum_{i=i}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_i^x$

When $h_0 < J$ there is Z_2 symmetry breaking that involves a finite fraction of all the spectrum.

In the thermodynamic limit below the broken of symmetry edge E^* the corresponding energy deigenstates appear in degenerate doublets. Each member of the pair is localised in the basis of the eigenstates M_{z} .

For finite sizes, the eigenstates are the even and -0.3 odd superpositions of each doublet (with a spitting exponentially small in N).





1e-06

1e-07

Ν

will oscillate with a double period

Floquet time crystals in clock models



Floquet time crystals in clock models

 $H = \sum_i J_i(e^{iarphi}\sigma_i^\dagger\sigma_{i+1} + h.c.) + \sum_i h_i^z(e^{iarphi_z}\sigma_i + h.c.) + \sum_i h_i^x(e^{iarphi_x} au_i + h.c.)$



For Z_n possibility to observe transitions between different crystalline phases

(Boundary) time crystals in dissipative systems

Is the coupling to a bath always detrimental?

"Many-body" limit cycles as time-crystals in open systems ...

... they can be interpreted as "boundary" phases

The no-go theorem does not apply since the steady state is non-equilibrium ...

... an idealised model vs possible exp realisations

These limit cycles can be understood as a macroscopic synchronised dynamics characterised by a time-dependent order parameter

 $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\rm B} + \hat{H}_{\rm b} + V$ \hat{H}_b $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t}|\psi(0)\rangle$

 $\hat{\rho}_{\rm b} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\rm B}\left(|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|\right)$ $\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_{\rm b} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}\left[\hat{\rho}_{\rm b}\right]$

A toy model

The steady state diagram of the model has two distinct phases

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0/\kappa < 1 & \omega_0/\kappa > 1 \\ \langle \hat{S}^z \rangle \neq 0 & \langle \hat{S}^z \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

J. Hannukainen and J. Larson Phys. Rev. A 98, 042113 (2018)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_{\rm b} = i\omega_0[\hat{\rho}_{\rm b}, \hat{S}^x] + \frac{\kappa}{S}\left(\hat{S}_-\hat{\rho}_{\rm b}\hat{S}_+ - \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{S}_+\hat{S}_-, \hat{\rho}_{\rm b}\}\right)$$

$$\hat{S}^{\alpha} = \sum_{j} \hat{\sigma}_{j}^{\alpha}$$

 $\hat{H}_{\rm b} = \omega_0 \sum \hat{\sigma}_j^x$

A toy model

 $\omega_0/\kappa > 1$



The spectrum is gapped and the low-lying eigenvalues of the Liouvillian have purely real values





The spectrum becomes gapless and the low-lying excited eigenvalues have a non zero imaginary part



The peaks in the Fourier transform are associated to the band separations in the imaginary part of the Lindblad eigenvalues (in the inset the thermodynamic limit where 10^{-1} the oscillations persist indefinitely. 0.3

 N_b^{-1}

 $N_b \to \infty$ **-≻** η $- \bullet \cdot |Re(\lambda_1)|$ 10 ⁻² $^{q}N/[\langle zS
angle]LH$ 10 $^{-2}$ 10 $^{-3}$ $\mathbf{O} | Re(\lambda_2)$ 0.2 The decay rate of the 10⁻⁴ 2.5 5 0 oscillations scales as 0.1 $N_{b} = 40$ $N_b = 80$ $N_b = 160$ 10^{-4} 0 2 0.1 0.05 4 0 () $1/N_b$ ω

Quantum synchronisation in many-body open systems

Identical features have been already seen in model systems of interacting Rydberg atoms, opto-mechanical arrays, coupled cavity arrays and interacting spin-systems.

These phases were all found however in a mean-field approximation, it is not clear to which extent they will survive when fluctuations are included.



Ô macroscopic order parameter Lee, Haffner, and Cross (2011) M. Ludwig and F. Marquardt,(2013) Jin, *et al* (2013) Schiro', *et al* (2016) Chan, Lee, and Gopalakrishnan (2015)

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{ss} = \text{Tr}\rho_{ss}\hat{O} = f(t)$$



Conclusions

- Floquet time crystals in clock models
- Infinite range models support time-crystalline phase
- Transitions between different symmetry broken phases
- Many-body synchronisation