

# Entanglement entropy in QM and QFT

## 1/5 - Entanglement in QM

---

Pablo Bueno



**It from Qubit**  
Simons Collaboration on  
Quantum Fields, Gravity and Information



Instituto  
Balseiro

---

— II School of Holography and Entanglement Entropy —

December, 2020

- Monday 23rd, 10:30h-11:30h - **Entanglement in QM**: basics of QM for discrete systems, subsystems, Schmidt decomposition, entanglement entropy, the first law of EE, additional measures and inequalities.
- Monday 23rd, 13h-14h - **Entanglement in QFT I**: aspects of quantum fields and algebras, the Reeh-Schlieder theorem, EE in QFT.
- Tuesday 24th, 13h-14h - **Entanglement in QFT II**: EE for free fields, monotonicity theorems, quantum Bekenstein bound.
- Wednesday 25th, 13h-14h - **The “extensive mutual information” (EMI) model**: general structure of EE and universal terms, explicit calculations for the EMI model.
- Saturday 28th, 13h-14h - **Holographic entanglement entropy**: holographic principle and AdS/CFT, Ryu-Takayanagi prescription, corrections to the RT formula, some explicit calculations, gravity from entanglement.

- 1 BASICS OF QM FOR DISCRETE SYSTEMS
- 2 SUBSYSTEMS, ENTANGLEMENT AND SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION
- 3 ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY
- 4 THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY
- 5 ADDITIONAL ENTANGLEMENT MEASURES AND INEQUALITIES

## SOME REFERENCES

- The issue of entanglement in QM, including the Schmidt decomposition appears discussed in many lecture notes that can be found online. To mention a few <http://www.hartmanhep.net/topics2015/18-entanglement-intro.pdf>, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.10352.pdf>, [http://users.cms.caltech.edu/~vidick/teaching/120\\_qcrypto/LN\\_Week2.pdf](http://users.cms.caltech.edu/~vidick/teaching/120_qcrypto/LN_Week2.pdf).
- The first law of EE was introduced in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.3182.pdf> and <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.3291.pdf>.
- The notions of relative entropy and mutual information are quantum versions of extremely standard notions in classical statistics/information theory. They appear discussed in lots of places. A standard reference is Nielsen and Chuang's "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information" book. This is not an extremely advanced book but it contains a lot of stuff on other topics like quantum computation, algorithms, quantum noise, error-correction, etc.
- The Rényi entropies discussed here are quantum versions of the notions introduced by the person who gives them name in the 60's ([https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf\\_1/euclid.bsm/1200512181](https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.bsm/1200512181)) in the context of classical information theory.

# Basics of QM for discrete systems

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

Quantum mechanical system  $\Leftrightarrow$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  can be written in some basis  $|i\rangle$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle, \quad \sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1.$$

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

Quantum mechanical system  $\Leftrightarrow$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  can be written in some basis  $|i\rangle$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle, \quad \sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1.$$

More generally, states  $\Leftrightarrow$  density matrices. Given  $|\psi\rangle$ , we can associate

$$\rho \equiv |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| = \sum_{ij} c_i c_j^* |i\rangle \langle j|.$$

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

Quantum mechanical system  $\Leftrightarrow$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  can be written in some basis  $|i\rangle$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle, \quad \sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1.$$

More generally, states  $\Leftrightarrow$  density matrices. Given  $|\psi\rangle$ , we can associate

$$\rho \equiv |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| = \sum_{ij} c_i c_j^* |i\rangle \langle j|.$$

**Mixed states** are those which cannot be described by vectors (only by density matrices), *e.g.*,

$$\rho = \sum_a p_a |\psi_a\rangle \langle\psi_a|, \quad \sum_a p_a = 1 \quad p_a \geq 0 \quad \forall a$$



# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

Quantum mechanical system  $\Leftrightarrow$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  can be written in some basis  $|i\rangle$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle, \quad \sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1.$$

More generally, states  $\Leftrightarrow$  density matrices. Given  $|\psi\rangle$ , we can associate

$$\rho \equiv |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| = \sum_{ij} c_i c_j^* |i\rangle \langle j|.$$

**Mixed states** are those which cannot be described by vectors (only by density matrices), *e.g.*,

$$\rho = \sum_a p_a |\psi_a\rangle \langle\psi_a|, \quad \sum_a p_a = 1 \quad p_a \geq 0 \quad \forall a$$

**Pure states** can be both described by vectors and by density matrices (like  $|\psi\rangle \Leftrightarrow \rho$  above)

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

In QM, we are usually interested in expectation values of observables  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$\langle \psi | \mathcal{O} | \psi \rangle \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{O})$$

where “Tr” is the trace of the corresponding operator (for matrices, this is just the sum of the elements in the diagonal):

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \sum_i \langle i | \mathcal{O} | i \rangle$$

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

For example, consider a single qubit system (two-level discrete system). Any pure state can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1.$$

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

For example, consider a single qubit system (two-level discrete system). Any pure state can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1.$$

The density matrix associated reads

$$\rho = |c_0|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| + c_0 c_1^* |0\rangle\langle 1| + c_1 c_0^* |1\rangle\langle 0| + |c_1|^2 |1\rangle\langle 1| \equiv \begin{bmatrix} |c_0|^2 & c_0 c_1^* \\ c_1 c_0^* & |c_1|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# SOME BASICS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

For example, consider a single qubit system (two-level discrete system). Any pure state can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1.$$

The density matrix associated reads

$$\rho = |c_0|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| + c_0 c_1^* |0\rangle\langle 1| + c_1 c_0^* |1\rangle\langle 0| + |c_1|^2 |1\rangle\langle 1| \equiv \begin{bmatrix} |c_0|^2 & c_0 c_1^* \\ c_1 c_0^* & |c_1|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expectation value of  $\mathcal{O} \equiv |0\rangle\langle 0|$ ?

$$\langle \psi | \mathcal{O} | \psi \rangle = (c_0^* \langle 0| + c_1^* \langle 1|) |0\rangle\langle 0| (c_0 |0\rangle + c_1 |1\rangle) = |c_0|^2$$

$$\text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{O}) = \langle 0 | \rho | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | 0 \rangle = |c_0|^2$$

# Subsystems, entanglement and Schmidt decomposition

# QM OF SUBSYSTEMS

Imagine now the system is made of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$ . Hilbert space factorizes as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . We can write a general state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\{|i\rangle_A\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle_B\}$  are bases of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  respectively.

# QM OF SUBSYSTEMS

Imagine now the system is made of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$ . Hilbert space factorizes as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . We can write a general state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\{|i\rangle_A\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle_B\}$  are bases of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  respectively. Density matrices are defined in the same way,  $|\psi\rangle \Leftrightarrow \rho \equiv |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ .



# QM OF SUBSYSTEMS

Imagine now the system is made of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$ . Hilbert space factorizes as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . We can write a general state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\{|i\rangle_A\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle_B\}$  are bases of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  respectively. Density matrices are defined in the same way,  $|\psi\rangle \Leftrightarrow \rho \equiv |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . Similarly, for traces:

$$\text{Tr}_{AB}(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \sum_{ij} \langle i|_A \langle j|_B \mathcal{O} |i\rangle_A |j\rangle_B .$$

The subindex “ $AB$ ” indicates that we are tracing over both  $A$  and  $B$ ,

# QM OF SUBSYSTEMS

Imagine now the system is made of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$ . Hilbert space factorizes as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . We can write a general state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\{|i\rangle_A\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle_B\}$  are bases of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  respectively. Density matrices are defined in the same way,  $|\psi\rangle \Leftrightarrow \rho \equiv |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . Similarly, for traces:

$$\text{Tr}_{AB}(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \sum_{ij} \langle i|_A \langle j|_B \mathcal{O} |i\rangle_A |j\rangle_B .$$

The subindex “ $AB$ ” indicates that we are tracing over both  $A$  and  $B$ , but we can also trace over each subsystem:

$$\text{Tr}_A(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \sum_i \langle i|_A \mathcal{O} |i\rangle_A , \quad \text{Tr}_B(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \sum_j \langle j|_B \mathcal{O} |j\rangle_B$$

# ENTANGLED STATES

**Entanglement** = non-separability of quantum states.

# ENTANGLED STATES

**Entanglement** = non-separability of quantum states.

Consider

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B .$$

If  $|\psi\rangle$  cannot be written as  $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B \Rightarrow |\psi\rangle$  is an entangled state.

# ENTANGLED STATES

**Entanglement** = non-separability of quantum states.

Consider

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B .$$

If  $|\psi\rangle$  cannot be written as  $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B \Rightarrow |\psi\rangle$  is an entangled state. Most states are entangled!

# ENTANGLED STATES

**Entanglement** = non-separability of quantum states.

Consider

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B .$$

If  $|\psi\rangle$  cannot be written as  $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B \Rightarrow |\psi\rangle$  is an entangled state. Most states are entangled! If  $|\psi\rangle$  can be written as  $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B$ , it is called **separable**.

# ENTANGLED STATES

Example: two qubits

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |00\rangle ] = |0\rangle_A \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |1\rangle_B + |0\rangle_B ] \quad (\text{not entangled})$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |10\rangle ] \quad (\text{entangled, } |\psi_2\rangle \neq |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B)$$

# ENTANGLED STATES

Example: two qubits

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |00\rangle ] = |0\rangle_A \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |1\rangle_B + |0\rangle_B ] \quad (\text{not entangled})$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |10\rangle ] \quad (\text{entangled, } |\psi_2\rangle \neq |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B)$$

In the second case, the state of each subsystem cannot be fully described without the other.



# ENTANGLED STATES

Example: two qubits

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |00\rangle ] = |0\rangle_A \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |1\rangle_B + |0\rangle_B ] \quad (\text{not entangled})$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ |01\rangle + |10\rangle ] \quad (\text{entangled, } |\psi_2\rangle \neq |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\tilde{\phi}\rangle_B)$$

In the second case, the state of each subsystem cannot be fully described without the other. The corresponding density matrices read

$$\rho_1 \equiv |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| = \frac{1}{2} [ |01\rangle \langle 01| + |00\rangle \langle 01| + |01\rangle \langle 00| + |00\rangle \langle 00| ] ,$$

$$\rho_2 \equiv |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2| = \frac{1}{2} [ |01\rangle \langle 01| + |01\rangle \langle 10| + |10\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10| ] .$$

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (e.g., the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron?

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (*e.g.*, the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron? We need to define it such that measurements of observables on  $A$  coincide with hypothetical measurements over the full state.

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (*e.g.*, the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron? We need to define it such that measurements of observables on  $A$  coincide with hypothetical measurements over the full state. The state that achieves this is the **reduced-density matrix**,  $\rho_A$ , defined as

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\rho_{AB}$  is the full state (*e.g.*,  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$  in our examples).

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (*e.g.*, the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron? We need to define it such that measurements of observables on  $A$  coincide with hypothetical measurements over the full state. The state that achieves this is the **reduced-density matrix**,  $\rho_A$ , defined as

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\rho_{AB}$  is the full state (*e.g.*,  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$  in our examples). In our examples:

$$\rho_{A,1} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_1 = |0\rangle \langle 0| , \quad (\text{pure})$$

$$\rho_{A,2} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|] , \quad (\text{mixed})$$

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (*e.g.*, the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron? We need to define it such that measurements of observables on  $A$  coincide with hypothetical measurements over the full state. The state that achieves this is the **reduced-density matrix**,  $\rho_A$ , defined as

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\rho_{AB}$  is the full state (*e.g.*,  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$  in our examples). In our examples:

$$\rho_{A,1} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_1 = |0\rangle \langle 0| , \quad (\text{pure})$$

$$\rho_{A,2} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|] , \quad (\text{mixed})$$

- Separable full state  $\Rightarrow$  reduced density matrix is pure.
- Entangled full state  $\Rightarrow$  reduced density matrix is mixed.

# ENTANGLED STATES

Imagine we only have access to subsystem  $A$  (*e.g.*, the other electron is in Andromeda). How do we describe the state of our electron? We need to define it such that measurements of observables on  $A$  coincide with hypothetical measurements over the full state. The state that achieves this is the **reduced-density matrix**,  $\rho_A$ , defined as

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B ,$$

where  $\rho_{AB}$  is the full state (*e.g.*,  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$  in our examples). In our examples:

$$\rho_{A,1} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_1 = |0\rangle \langle 0| , \quad (\text{pure})$$

$$\rho_{A,2} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|] , \quad (\text{mixed})$$

- Separable full state  $\Rightarrow$  reduced density matrix is pure.
- Entangled full state  $\Rightarrow$  reduced density matrix is mixed.

Taking partial traces we loose information.

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

Let's have an even closer look at this...



# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

Let's have an even closer look at this...

**Schmidt decomposition:** it is always possible to write any pure state

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A |j\rangle_B ,$$

in a different basis of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$  such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha} |v_{\alpha}\rangle_A |w_{\alpha}\rangle_B , \quad (\text{single sum!})$$

with

$$\sigma_{\alpha} > 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha}^2 = 1 .$$

State will be separable if  $r = 1$ , entangled otherwise.

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

From the Schmidt decomposition it follows that

$$\rho_A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha}^2 |v_{\alpha}\rangle \langle v_{\alpha}|, \quad \rho_B = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha}^2 |w_{\alpha}\rangle \langle w_{\alpha}|.$$

Reduced density matrices have the same spectrum! The eigenvalues are just the squares of the Schmidt coefficients.

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

From the Schmidt decomposition it follows that

$$\rho_A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha}^2 |v_{\alpha}\rangle \langle v_{\alpha}|, \quad \rho_B = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha}^2 |w_{\alpha}\rangle \langle w_{\alpha}|.$$

Reduced density matrices have the same spectrum! The eigenvalues are just the squares of the Schmidt coefficients.

We will use the  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  to define notions of how entangled  $A$  and  $B$  are...

- Maximal entanglement if

$$\sigma_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \quad \forall \alpha$$

- No entanglement at all if

$$\sigma_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\alpha} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \neq 1$$

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha} |v_{\alpha}\rangle_A \otimes |w_{\alpha}\rangle_B$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle] = \mathbf{1} \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right],$$

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha} |v_{\alpha}\rangle_A \otimes |w_{\alpha}\rangle_B$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle] = 1 \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right],$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |10\rangle] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle,$$

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha} |v_{\alpha}\rangle_A \otimes |w_{\alpha}\rangle_B$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle] = \mathbf{1} \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right],$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |10\rangle] = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle,$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle] = \mathbf{1} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|0\rangle + |1\rangle] \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|0\rangle + |1\rangle] \right],$$

# SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha} |v_{\alpha}\rangle_A \otimes |w_{\alpha}\rangle_B$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle] = \mathbf{1} \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right],$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|01\rangle + |10\rangle] = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle,$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} [|01\rangle + |00\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle] = \mathbf{1} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|0\rangle + |1\rangle] \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|0\rangle + |1\rangle] \right],$$

$$|\psi_4\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |11\rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} [3 + \sqrt{5}]} |v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} [3 - \sqrt{5}]} |v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle$$

where

$$|v_1\rangle \equiv \frac{[\alpha |0\rangle + |1\rangle]}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}, \quad |v_2\rangle \equiv \frac{[\beta |0\rangle + |1\rangle]}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}}, \quad |w_1\rangle \equiv \frac{[|0\rangle + \alpha |1\rangle]}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}, \quad |w_2\rangle \equiv \frac{[|0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle]}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}},$$

and  $\alpha \equiv -1 + \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})$ ,  $\beta \equiv -1 + \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})$ .

# Entanglement entropy



# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

**Von Neumann entropy**  $\Leftrightarrow$  standard notion of entropy associated to any quantum state  $\rho$ :

$$S(\rho) \equiv -\text{Tr } \rho \log \rho$$

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

**Von Neumann entropy**  $\Leftrightarrow$  standard notion of entropy associated to any quantum state  $\rho$ :

$$S(\rho) \equiv -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho$$

Let  $\lambda_a$  be the eigenvalues of  $\rho$ , then:  $S(\rho) = -\sum_a \lambda_a \log \lambda_a$ .

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

**Von Neumann entropy**  $\Leftrightarrow$  standard notion of entropy associated to any quantum state  $\rho$ :

$$S(\rho) \equiv -\text{Tr } \rho \log \rho$$

Let  $\lambda_a$  be the eigenvalues of  $\rho$ , then:  $S(\rho) = -\sum_a \lambda_a \log \lambda_a$ .

- $S(\rho) \geq 0$  for any state.
- It vanishes for pure states:  $S(\rho) = 0$  if  $\rho$  is pure.

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Now, given a system composed of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$  in some pure state  $\rho_{AB}$ , the **entanglement entropy** of  $A$  with respect to  $B$  is defined as the Von Neumann entropy of  $\rho_A$ :

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Now, given a system composed of two subsystems  $A$  and  $B$  in some pure state  $\rho_{AB}$ , the **entanglement entropy** of  $A$  with respect to  $B$  is defined as the Von Neumann entropy of  $\rho_A$ :

$$S_{\text{EE}}(A) \equiv S(\rho_A) = -\text{Tr}_A \rho_A \log \rho_A$$

Remember,  $\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB}$  is the reduced density matrix.

- $S_{\text{EE}}(A)$  measures “how entangled” is  $A$  with  $B$ .
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  is pure, this can be written in terms of the Schmidt coefficients as

$$S_{\text{EE}} = -\sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \log \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

- Remember that  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  have the same eigenvalues  $\{\sigma_{\alpha}^2\}$ , which implies

$$S_{\text{EE}}(A) = S_{\text{EE}}(B)$$

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

$$S_{\text{EE}} = - \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \log \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = 1 \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -1 \log 1 = 0,$$

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

$$S_{\text{EE}} = - \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \log \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = 1 \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -1 \log 1 = 0,$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} = \log 2 \simeq 0.6931,$$

# ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

$$S_{\text{EE}} = - \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \log \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

Examples:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = 1 \cdot |0\rangle \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|1\rangle + |0\rangle] \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -1 \log 1 = 0,$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} = \log 2 \simeq 0.6931,$$

$$|\psi_4\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} [3 + \sqrt{5}]} |v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} [3 - \sqrt{5}]} |v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(A) &= -\frac{1}{6} [3 + \sqrt{5}] \log \frac{1}{6} [3 + \sqrt{5}] - \frac{1}{6} [3 - \sqrt{5}] \log \frac{1}{6} [3 - \sqrt{5}] \\ &= \log \left[ \frac{6}{3 + \sqrt{5}} \right] \simeq 0.1362. \end{aligned}$$



# The first law of entanglement entropy

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite.

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite. As a consequence, we can write

$$\rho_A \equiv e^{-H_A}$$

where  $H_A$  is the so-called “modular Hamiltonian”.

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite. As a consequence, we can write

$$\rho_A \equiv e^{-H_A}$$

where  $H_A$  is the so-called “modular Hamiltonian”. For instance, for a thermal state  $H_A = H/T$  where  $H$  is the actual Hamiltonian of the system and  $T$  the temperature.

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite. As a consequence, we can write

$$\rho_A \equiv e^{-H_A}$$

where  $H_A$  is the so-called “modular Hamiltonian”. For instance, for a thermal state  $H_A = H/T$  where  $H$  is the actual Hamiltonian of the system and  $T$  the temperature.

Now consider a small variation of the EE,  $S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr} \rho_A \log \rho_A$ :

$$\delta S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A \log \rho_A) - \text{Tr}(\rho_A \rho_A^{-1} \delta \rho_A) = \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A H_A) - \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A)$$

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite. As a consequence, we can write

$$\rho_A \equiv e^{-H_A}$$

where  $H_A$  is the so-called “modular Hamiltonian”. For instance, for a thermal state  $H_A = H/T$  where  $H$  is the actual Hamiltonian of the system and  $T$  the temperature.

Now consider a small variation of the EE,  $S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr} \rho_A \log \rho_A$ :

$$\delta S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A \log \rho_A) - \text{Tr}(\rho_A \rho_A^{-1} \delta \rho_A) = \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A H_A) - \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A)$$

Since  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_A + \delta \rho_A$  are both normalized  $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A) = 0$  and we can write

$$\delta S_{\text{EE}}(A) = \delta \langle H_A \rangle$$

This is the **first-law of entanglement entropy**.

# THE FIRST LAW OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Density matrices are both Hermitian and (semi)positive-definite. As a consequence, we can write

$$\rho_A \equiv e^{-H_A}$$

where  $H_A$  is the so-called “modular Hamiltonian”. For instance, for a thermal state  $H_A = H/T$  where  $H$  is the actual Hamiltonian of the system and  $T$  the temperature.

Now consider a small variation of the EE,  $S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr} \rho_A \log \rho_A$ :

$$\delta S_{\text{EE}}(A) = -\text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A \log \rho_A) - \text{Tr}(\rho_A \rho_A^{-1} \delta \rho_A) = \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A H_A) - \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A)$$

Since  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_A + \delta \rho_A$  are both normalized  $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\delta \rho_A) = 0$  and we can write

$$\delta S_{\text{EE}}(A) = \delta \langle H_A \rangle$$

This is the **first-law of entanglement entropy**. In particular, for a thermal state:

$$\delta \langle H \rangle = T \delta S$$

quantum version of the first-law of thermodynamics!

# Additional entanglement measures and inequalities



# RELATIVE ENTROPY

A related notion is the so-called **relative entropy**.

# RELATIVE ENTROPY

A related notion is the so-called **relative entropy**. Given two states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  we define it as

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \equiv \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma .$$

This is a measure of “how distinguishable”  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are.

- It is a semi-positive definite quantity:

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0 .$$

# RELATIVE ENTROPY

A related notion is the so-called **relative entropy**. Given two states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  we define it as

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \equiv \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma .$$

This is a measure of “how distinguishable”  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are.

- It is a semi-positive definite quantity:

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0 .$$

Example:

$$\rho = |0\rangle \langle 0| , \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle \langle 1|] \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq 1$$

# RELATIVE ENTROPY

A related notion is the so-called **relative entropy**. Given two states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  we define it as

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \equiv \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma .$$

This is a measure of “how distinguishable”  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are.

- It is a semi-positive definite quantity:

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0 .$$

Example:

$$\rho = |0\rangle \langle 0| , \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle \langle 1|] \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho = 0 , \quad -\text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \log \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = -\log \frac{1}{\alpha} = \log \alpha$$

# RELATIVE ENTROPY

A related notion is the so-called **relative entropy**. Given two states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  we define it as

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \equiv \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho - \text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma .$$

This is a measure of “how distinguishable”  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are.

- It is a semi-positive definite quantity:

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0 .$$

Example:

$$\rho = |0\rangle\langle 0| , \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha} [|0\rangle\langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle\langle 1|] \quad \text{where } \alpha \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr } \rho \log \rho = 0 , \quad -\text{Tr } \rho \log \sigma = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \log \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = -\log \frac{1}{\alpha} = \log \alpha$$

Hence:

$$S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) = \log \alpha \Rightarrow \begin{cases} S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1 \\ S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho||\sigma) \rightarrow \infty & \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

# MUTUAL INFORMATION

Another important measure is the so-called **mutual information**. This can be defined in terms of the EE or, alternatively, in terms of the relative entropy, as:

$$I(A, B) \equiv S_{\text{EE}}(A) + S_{\text{EE}}(B) - S_{\text{EE}}(AB),$$

$$I(A, B) \equiv S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho_{AB} || \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

# MUTUAL INFORMATION

Another important measure is the so-called **mutual information**. This can be defined in terms of the EE or, alternatively, in terms of the relative entropy, as:

$$I(A, B) \equiv S_{\text{EE}}(A) + S_{\text{EE}}(B) - S_{\text{EE}}(AB),$$

$$I(A, B) \equiv S_{\text{rel.}}(\rho_{AB} || \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

“How much information is shared between  $A$  and  $B$ ”

- If  $\rho_{AB}$  pure  $\Rightarrow I(A, B) = 2S_{\text{EE}}(A) = 2S_{\text{EE}}(B)$
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  mixed,  $I(A, B)$  also captures classical correlations

# MUTUAL INFORMATION

Example:

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |00\rangle \langle 00| + (\alpha - 1) |11\rangle \langle 11| ], \quad \alpha \geq 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \rho_A = \rho_B = \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |0\rangle \langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle \langle 1| ]$$



# MUTUAL INFORMATION

Example:

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |00\rangle \langle 00| + (\alpha - 1) |11\rangle \langle 11| ], \quad \alpha \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_A = \rho_B = \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |0\rangle \langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle \langle 1| ]$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(AB) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \frac{1}{\alpha} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \log \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log(\alpha - 1)$$

$$S_{\text{EE}}(A) = S_{\text{EE}}(B) = \log \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log(\alpha - 1)$$

# MUTUAL INFORMATION

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |00\rangle\langle 00| + (\alpha - 1) |11\rangle\langle 11| ], \quad \alpha \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \rho_A = \rho_B &= \frac{1}{\alpha} [ |0\rangle\langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle\langle 1| ] \\ \Rightarrow S_{\text{EE}}(AB) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \frac{1}{\alpha} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \\ &= \log \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log(\alpha - 1) \\ S_{\text{EE}}(A) = S_{\text{EE}}(B) &= \log \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log(\alpha - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$I(A, B) = \log \alpha - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log(\alpha - 1) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} I(A, B) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1 \\ I_{\text{max}}(A, B) = \log 2 & \text{for } \alpha = 2 \\ I(A, B) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

# INEQUALITIES

- **Strong subadditivity (SSA)** property:

$$I(A, BC) \geq I(A, B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$S_{\text{EE}}(AB) + S_{\text{EE}}(BC) \geq S_{\text{EE}}(ABC) + S_{\text{EE}}(B)$$

“ $A$  has more information about  $BC$  than about  $B$  alone”.

# INEQUALITIES

- **Strong subadditivity (SSA)** property:

$$I(A, BC) \geq I(A, B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$S_{\text{EE}}(AB) + S_{\text{EE}}(BC) \geq S_{\text{EE}}(ABC) + S_{\text{EE}}(B)$$

“ $A$  has more information about  $BC$  than about  $B$  alone”.

- In particular, this implies the subadditivity property:

$$S_{\text{EE}}(A) + S_{\text{EE}}(B) \geq S_{\text{EE}}(AB)$$

# INEQUALITIES

- **Strong subadditivity (SSA)** property:

$$I(A, BC) \geq I(A, B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$S_{\text{EE}}(AB) + S_{\text{EE}}(BC) \geq S_{\text{EE}}(ABC) + S_{\text{EE}}(B)$$

“ $A$  has more information about  $BC$  than about  $B$  alone”.

- In particular, this implies the subadditivity property:

$$S_{\text{EE}}(A) + S_{\text{EE}}(B) \geq S_{\text{EE}}(AB)$$

- And also the Araki-Lieb inequality:

$$S_{\text{EE}}(AB) \geq |S_{\text{EE}}(A) - S_{\text{EE}}(B)|$$

# INEQUALITIES

Example:

$$\rho_{ABC} = \frac{1}{4} [|000\rangle \langle 000| + |010\rangle \langle 010| + |011\rangle \langle 011| + |111\rangle \langle 111|]$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{EE}(ABC) = \log 4,$$

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{4} [|00\rangle \langle 00| + 2|01\rangle \langle 01| + |11\rangle \langle 11|], \quad \rho_{BC} = \frac{1}{4} [|00\rangle \langle 00| + |10\rangle \langle 10| + 2|11\rangle \langle 11|],$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{EE}(AB) = S_{EE}(BC) = -\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2} \log 2,$$

$$\rho_B = \frac{1}{4} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + 3|1\rangle \langle 1|], \quad \rho_A = \frac{1}{4} [3|0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|]$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{EE}(B) = S_{EE}(A) = -\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \log \frac{3}{4} = \log 4 - \frac{3}{4} \log 3,$$

- SSA?

$$S_{EE}(BC) + S_{EE}(AB) = 3 \log 2 \simeq 2.0794$$

$$S_{EE}(ABC) + S_{EE}(B) = 2 \log 4 - \frac{3}{4} \log 3 \simeq 1.9486$$

- Subadditivity?

$$S_{EE}(A) + S_{EE}(B) = 2 \log 4 - \frac{3}{2} \log 3 \simeq 1.1247, \quad S_{EE}(AB) = \frac{3}{2} \log 2 \simeq 1.0397$$

# RÉNYI ENTROPIES

Another interesting family of entanglement measures are the so-called **Rényi entropies**. These are defined by

$$S_n(A) \equiv \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{Tr} \rho_A^n$$

The entanglement entropy is a particular case:  $S_{\text{EE}} \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} S_n$ .

# RÉNYI ENTROPIES

Another interesting family of entanglement measures are the so-called **Rényi entropies**. These are defined by

$$S_n(A) \equiv \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{Tr} \rho_A^n$$

The entanglement entropy is a particular case:  $S_{\text{EE}} \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} S_n$ .

Example:

$$\rho_A = \frac{1}{\alpha} [|0\rangle \langle 0| + (\alpha - 1) |1\rangle \langle 1|] \Rightarrow \rho_A^n = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n & 0 \\ 0 & \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr} \rho_A^n = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \Rightarrow S_n = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[ \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \right]$$

What about the EE?

$$S_{n \rightarrow 1} = \frac{1}{1-n} \left( - \left[ \frac{\log(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha} - \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right] (n - 1) + \mathcal{O}(n - 1)^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\log(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha} - \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)$$



# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.
- Entanglement entropy satisfies a “first law”.

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.
- Entanglement entropy satisfies a “first law”.
- There exist additional interesting measures:

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.
- Entanglement entropy satisfies a “first law”.
- There exist additional interesting measures:
  - Relative entropy: measures distinguishability between states.

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.
- Entanglement entropy satisfies a “first law”.
- There exist additional interesting measures:
  - Relative entropy: measures distinguishability between states.
  - Mutual information: measures amount of information shared between subsystems. It satisfies SSA:  $I(A, BC) \geq I(A, B)$ .

# SUMMARY

- Entanglement = non-separability of quantum states. The individual description of a subsystem corresponding to an entangled system is necessarily incomplete.
- If  $\rho_{AB}$  separable  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  pure; If  $\rho_{AB}$  entangled  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  mixed.
- Entanglement entropy quantifies degree of entanglement between subsystems.
- Entanglement entropy satisfies a “first law”.
- There exist additional interesting measures:
  - Relative entropy: measures distinguishability between states.
  - Mutual information: measures amount of information shared between subsystems. It satisfies SSA:  $I(A, BC) \geq I(A, B)$ .
  - Rényi entropies: uniparametric family of generalizations of entanglement entropy.