DLACK HOLE THERMODYNAMICS
LECTURFE
$$\bigcirc$$

Killing Horizon
 \Rightarrow Hyper surface:
 $\Sigma_{1}: S(x) = constant$
normal vector
 $n^{\mu} = f(x) g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} S$
we select $f(x) s.t.$ it is nor malized appropriately
 $n^{2} = -1$ \Rightarrow spacelike Σ
 $n^{2} = 1$ \Rightarrow timelike Σ
 $n^{2} = 0$ \Rightarrow null Σ_{3}
Focus on null case, $n^{2} = 0$, which have many interesting
properties:
 $1)$ n it is a normal Σ_{3} L tangent
 z^{2} $g^{\alpha}s = -n^{\alpha}N^{\beta} - n^{\beta}N^{\alpha} + C^{\alpha}s e^{\alpha}s e^{\beta}s$
where N^{β} is an aux. vector
 $N_{\mu} n^{\mu} = -1$ $N^{2} = 0$ $N\alpha e^{\alpha}_{\mu} = 0$ on Σ_{3}
 $dS_{\alpha\beta} = 2 n Ex N\beta 3 15 d^{2}x$

Definition: a mult hypersurface
$$\Sigma_{i}$$
 is a killing horizon.
of a killing vector X if X is mormall to Σ_{i} on Z .
Why do we care?
1) Event Horizon for stationary BH is killing Horizon.
E.g. Schw $K = \partial_{E} \implies K^{2} = 0$
 J^{+}_{i}
(ream)
Note: a killing horizon is not rule. an Event Horizon.
Homework
Consider $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}$
Boost in the X -direction are generated by
 $X = X \partial_{E} + t \partial_{X}$
Consider the will hypersurface $\Sigma_{i} \pm : X \pm t = 0$
Show that $Z_{i} \ge i_{3}$ a killing horizon.
To every killing horizon we can absolute a quantity
called Objected GRA vity. The definition is
 $X^{+} = V_{\mu}X^{\mu} \equiv K_{i}X^{\mu}$ on Σ
Ks is constant on Z_{i}
Pecall Other BH
machanics

To show it (Homewak)

N

Ц

1) Show that
$$X \in \mu \nabla_{V} X_{\sigma} = 0$$
 on Σ (Frobenius turn)
2) Show that $K^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\mu} X_{\nu}) (\nabla^{\mu} X^{\nu}) |$
3) Show that $X^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} (K^{2}) = 0$ on Σ
4) For Bifurcate horizon, show
 $C_{\mu}^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} (K^{2}) = 0$ on Σ
 $K^{\mu} = 0$ on Σ
 $K^$

$$\chi = \partial + \rightarrow k = \frac{1}{4M}$$

2) Evaluate K for kerr (difficilt)

$$X = \partial_{\varepsilon} + SL + \partial_{\phi}$$

with $SL = \frac{\alpha}{\eta^2 + \alpha^2}$
Show that $k = \frac{\Gamma - M}{r_{f}^2 + \alpha^2}$

SMA ER LAW We will assume (for simplicity) ant Z io r->w -> R = 0 -> EmuzO Consider mass as a konar integral $M = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \begin{cases} & \nabla^{x} \mathcal{L}^{\beta} \\ & S^{z} \end{cases}$ K = 9+ $= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_{\beta} (\nabla^{\alpha} k^{\beta}) dS_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{T^{+}} \nabla^{\alpha} k^{\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}$ $= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathbb{R}^{\mu\rho} \mathbb{K}_{\rho} dS_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \nabla^{\kappa} \mathbb{K}^{\rho} dS_{\alpha\rho}$ $\sum_{v \in Qn} \frac{1}{8\pi} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{8}{3t} \nabla^{v} \mathcal{L}^{0} dS \kappa_{p}$ Consider auguler momentum as a komar integral. $\overline{J} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \oint_{S^2} \nabla^{\alpha} m^{\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}$ $m = \partial \phi$ = 1 & Vam^B ol Sap Jot Jat

New lets consider a combination S.t. $X = K + 52 \mu m$ appears in the integrand.

$$M - 2S_{+} J = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{T^{+}} \nabla^{\kappa} \chi^{\beta} dS_{\kappa\beta}$$

dSxp = Z X[x Np] 10 dZX

 $M - 25U + J = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{M} \nabla^{\alpha} \chi^{\beta} \chi_{\alpha} N_{\beta} \sqrt{G} d^{2} \chi$ $\chi^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \chi^{\beta} = K \chi^{\beta}$ $= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{M} K \chi^{\beta} N_{\beta} \sqrt{D} d^{2} \chi$ $= -\chi \cdot N = -1$ $= \frac{1}{4\pi} \quad \begin{cases} 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{cases} \\ \end{cases} \quad [] d^2 \times d^2$ $= \underbrace{k}_{411} \underbrace{j}_{11} \underbrace{j}_{11} \underbrace{k}_{11} \underbrace$ Smarr relation "+ \$\overline{1}\$ + \$\o First law of BH mechanics: $SM = \frac{k}{8\pi} SA_{H+} SL_{+} SJ$ + <u>\$</u># 8Q "If a stationary blackhole of mars M, charge Q and ang. mom J with future went honzon of surface granity K, angular veloc J2+, and electric potential I+ is pertured such that it setted down to another BH with mass Mt SM, charge Qt 5Q, and angmom. J+SJ then $\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \delta A_{H} + \Im_{H} \delta J + \Phi_{H} \delta Q''$ $\mathbb{D}_{\mu} = \chi^{\mu} \Delta_{\mu} |_{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}}^{\omega}$

Proof:
$$M, J$$
 determine BH (uniquenes thm)
 $M = M(A_{H}, J)$
 $M = M(A_{H}, J)$
 $M(L^{2}A_{H}, L^{2}J) = L M(A_{H}, J)$
 $A_{H} \frac{2M}{2A_{H}} + J \frac{2M}{2J} = \frac{1}{2} M (\text{see thm below})$
 $= \frac{k}{8\pi}A_{H} + 5C_{H}J$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{2M}{2A_{H}} = \frac{k}{8\pi} + \frac{2M}{2J} = 5C_{H}$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{2M}{2A_{H}} = \frac{k}{8\pi} + SA_{H} + 5C_{H}SJ$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{2M}{2A_{H}} = \frac{k}{8\pi} + SA_{H} + SC_{H}SJ$
 $A_{H} = 4\pi (r_{H}^{2} + a^{2})$
 $J = Ma$

Euler's Aomogeneous funct theoreom

Let f(x, y) be a homogeneous function of order n

$$f(tx_1ty) = t^n f(x_1y)$$

Differentiate wit t

$$n t^{n-1} f(x, y) = x \frac{\partial f}{\partial (tx)} + y \frac{\partial f}{\partial (ty)}$$

set t=1

$$\times \frac{9}{5t} + \lambda \frac{9\lambda}{5t} = \nu t$$