# Status of Theoretical Candidates of Dark Matter

# Patrick Fox **☆ Fermilab**

3rd Annual South American Dark Matter Workshop, ICTP-SAIFR, Sao Paulo (via Zoom)



...but we do know what not to do



# ...but we do know what not to do



# ...but we do know what not to do

(No longer just searching for your advisor's dark matter)

#### Outline

- Some history
- Some recent developments
- Where we are now (abridged)
- (The Inelastic Frontier or The Photon Phrontier)
- Conclusions

### Dark Matter circa 2000



Made through misalignment mechanism or decay of topological objects (strings, domain walls etc)

Made through thermal freeze out in the early universe. WIMP "miracle"

x=m/T (time  $\rightarrow$ )

100

1000

10

10-2

DM dynamics approximately determined by one state (see Griest and Seckel `91 for 3 WIMP exceptions)

#### Dark Matter circa now



#### **Particle theories**



### sub-keV DM

- Very light DM is bosonic
- Heavier than  $10^{-22} \,\mathrm{eV}$
- More appropriately thought of as semiclassical wave, large n
- Or, absorption of DM, linear coupling to matter



[US Cosmic Visions White papers]



# WIMPs (theory)

- DM interacts through weak (or weak scale) couplings
- Lee-Weinberg and Unitarity constrain mass range

- LPOPs of a BSM theory e.g. SUSY, Extra dims, Little Higgs (+ T-parity),...
- Usually consider a thermal relic

$$\Omega h^2 \approx 0.1 \left(\frac{m/T}{20}\right) \left(\frac{g_*}{80}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}}{\sigma v}\right)$$

- DM interacts through *new* mediators
  - "dark photon" (U-boson, Z'), dark Higgs, secluded mediator,...
- Thermal relic, annihilate within or through the dark sector
- Allows for lighter DM
  - •~1 keV ~100 TeV

### WIMP (experiment)



### WIMP (experiment)











# Inelastic Dark Matter (iDM)



$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{N_T m_N \rho_{\chi}}{2 \mu_{N\chi}^2 m_{\chi}} \int_{v_{min}}^{v_{max}} d^3 \vec{v} \frac{f(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_E)}{v} \sigma_N F^2(E_R)$$

[Weiner and Tucker-Smith]

$$v_{min} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_N E_R}} \left(\frac{m_N E_R}{\mu_{N\chi}} + \delta\right)$$

 $m_{\chi} - m_{\chi'} = \delta \sim 100 \,\mathrm{keV}$ 

- •Requires "large" momentum exchange to upscatter
- •Favours high velocity tail of MB distribution
- Increased modulation
- •Prefers heavy targets e.g. iodine, xenon, tungsten,..
- •Recoil spectrum has a peak

All of the above helped to make DAMA consistent with CDMS, predicts events at other heavy element detectors

# Inelastic Dark Matter (iDM)



$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{N_T m_N \rho_{\chi}}{2 \mu_{N\chi}^2 m_{\chi}} \int_{v_{min}}^{v_{max}} d^3 \vec{v} \frac{f(\vec{v}, \vec{v}_E)}{v} \sigma_N F^2(E_R)$$

$$v_{min} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_N E_R}} \left(\frac{m_N E_R}{\mu_{N\chi}} + \delta\right)$$

[Weiner and Tucker-Smith]

 $m_{\chi} - m_{\chi'} = \delta \sim 100 \,\mathrm{keV}$ 

- •Requires "large" momentum exchange to upscatter
- •Favours high velocity tail of MB distribution
- Increased modulation
- •Prefers heavy targets e.g. iodine, xenon, tungsten,..
- •Recoil spectrum has a peak

All of the above helped to make DAMA consistent with CDMS, predicts events at other heavy element detectors

# **A Theory of Dark Matter**

[Arkani-Hamed, Finkbeiner, Slatyer and Weiner; Pospelov and Ritz;....]

PAMELA sees a positron excess, but no anti-proton excess. Rate too large to be vanilla DM annihilation



# **Dark Matter**













#### Hidden sector DM—interesting dynamics

Composi  $p_1$ ,  $p_1$ ,





Hidden s  $\sum_{p_2}$ 



riers



#### **Hidden sector DM—thermal relics**

[Pospelov, Ritz, Voloshin]

#### Secluded DM $m_{\chi} > m_{A'}$



# Decouples direct detection from thermal history

[Finkbeiner, Slatyer et al]

#### Light DM and CMB

$$p_{CMB} = f_{eff} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{T \sim eV}}{m_{\chi}} < 3.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-3}$$



#### **Portals**

New light states allow for new ways to probe the dark sector

 $F^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu}$  $V^{\mu}J^{\rm SM}_{\mu}$  $H^{\dagger}H |S|^{2}, \quad \mu'H^{\dagger}HS$ (LH) N $aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ 

Vector Portal, Kinetic Mixing; Vector Portal, Gauge Coupling; Scalar Portal; Neutrino Portal. Axion Portal

### Hidden sector U(I) — dark photon

No SM matter directly charged under U(1)<sub>dark</sub> use a portal

[Holdom]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kinetic mixing}} = \epsilon \, F^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$

SM picks up "dark milli-charge"

- Small couplings to SM means small production rates
- Visible/invisible decays depending on thresholds
- Possibly long lived—displaced signatures
- Many possible ways to search for DM/dark photon



 $p \longrightarrow \bigcup_{Z} \pi^{0}, \eta \longrightarrow_{A'} \chi_{1}$   $\chi_{1}$ Meson decay
(NA48)

Bremsstrahlung (LDMX, DarkLight, ...)







#### Useful to look off axis



Other places with detectors near (but not on) beam lines? e.g. protoDUNE/LHC

#### Useful to look off axis 120 GeV



Other places with detectors near (but not on) beam lines? e.g. protoDUNE/LHC

# Dark sectors and direct detection

WIMP mass (GeV/ $c^2$ )

10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>



# Dark sectors and direct detection



10<sup>2</sup>



10<sup>3</sup>

# Dark sectors and direct detection



 $10^{2}$ 

10<sup>3</sup>



















[Tucker-Smith and Weiner]



[Tucker-Smith and Weiner]





### **Illuminating the Inelastic Frontier**

See also "Luminous DM" [Feldstein, Graham, Rajendran] and "DM in 2 Easy Steps" [Pospelov, Weiner, Yavin]

Higgsino DM scatters inelastically off nucleus through Z Travels for 10-1000 km Decays to mono energetic photon

- Abundant heavy target
- Large volume, low threshold detector

Detector	Xenon 1T	Borexino	SNO	DUNE	IceCube
Mass (ton)	1	300	10 <sup>3</sup>	$3 \times 10^{4}$	107
Threshold (MeV)	10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.15	1	1 – 10	10 <sup>4</sup>

### **Illuminating the Inelastic Frontier**

See also "Luminous DM" [Feldstein, Graham, Rajendran] and "DM in 2 Easy Steps" [Pospelov, Weiner, Yavin]

Higgsino DM scatters inelastically off nucleus through Z Travels for 10-1000 km Decays to mono energetic photon

- Abundant heavy target
- Large volume, low threshold detector

—Pb —Borexino

Detector	Xenon 1T	Borexino	SNO	DUNE	IceCube
Mass (ton)	1	300	10 <sup>3</sup>	$3 \times 10^{4}$	107
Threshold (MeV)	10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.15	1	1 – 10	10 <sup>4</sup>

#### Luminous Rate

Rate  $\sim n_T n_\chi \sigma v V$ .

Complicated 6d integral, sensitive to lifetime, speed, position etc...

X-sec isotropic in c.o.m. frame

$$P_0(|\vec{r}_s - \vec{r}_D|, v_f) = 2 e^{-|\vec{r}_s - \vec{r}_D|/v_f \tau} \sinh \frac{L_D}{2v_f \tau}$$

# The Cygnus "gun"

#### Upscatter needs high speeds, which comes from Cygnus



### Modulation

Lead overburden depends on position of Cygnus *not* the Sun. 1 sidereal day = 23 hours 56 minutes 4 seconds



# **Borexino**



 $^{210}$ Po: 684.46 ± 1.20

#### **Illuminating the Inelastic Frontier**



# Things not covered, a partial list

- DM@LHC
- Asymmetric DM
- The Galactic Center Excess
- GAIA data
- Primordial Black Holes
- Composite Dark Matter
- DM Nuggets
- Boosted DM
- Self-interactions, velocity dependence, small scale structure

•

#### Conclusions

The theory landscape is rich and getting richer

New technologies adding to experimental searches

Exciting, vibrant, and creative time to be working on Dark Matter!





# **QCD** Axion

Axionic DM best thought of as a coherent oscillation with high occupancy







or a premitude of particles in *our vacuum* with similar properties but dimerent he idea of a plenitude of *vacua*, as both the axiverse and the multiverse are nences of the same fundamental ingredients.

string axions are exponentially sensitive to the sizes of the corresponding cycles, em to be homogeneously distributed on the logarithmic scale. However, given arameter is constrained to be less than  $10^{-10}$ , non-perturbative string corrections potential should be at least ten orders of magnitude suppressed as compared cated potential. It is then natural to expect many of the axions to be much QCD axion; these are the axions whose mass is dominated only by these small string effects.

and very plausible assumption behind this line of reasoning is that there is no or the existence and properties of the QCD axion. Consequently, these properties in the dynamics of the compactification manifold, rather than being a result of the QCD axion should be a typical representative among other axion-like fields. It tens (or even hundreds) of light axions, it would be really surprising if the d out to be the single one.



# Inelastic kinematics







Dirac fermion coupled to vector, with small Majorana masses

$$V_{\mu} \left( \chi_{1}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \chi_{1} - \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \chi_{2} \right) + m_{\tilde{H}_{1}^{0}} (\chi_{1} \chi_{\tilde{H}_{2}^{0}} + h_{\tilde{H}_{1}^{0}}) \quad \tilde{H}_{1}^{0} \quad \tilde{H}_{1}^{\pm} \quad \tilde{H}_{1}^{0} \\ + \delta_{1} \left( \chi_{\tilde{\ell}} \chi_{1} + hzc. \right) + \delta_{2} \left( \chi_{\tilde{\ell}} \chi_{2} + h. q_{\ell} \right) \\ \text{direct detection:}$$

Mass eigenstates only have off-diagonal coupling  $\tilde{S}^{I,inelastic} \sim ($ e.g. (almost) pure Higgsinos of SUSY

$$\delta_{\tilde{H}} \simeq m_Z^2 \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta_W}{M_1} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta_W}{M_2} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{M_{1,2}^2} \right) = \begin{cases} 192 \,\text{keV}\left( \frac{10^7 \,\text{GeV}}{M_1} \right) & M_2 \gg M_1 \gg \mu \\ 640 \,\text{keV}\left( \frac{10^7 \,\text{GeV}}{M_2} \right) & M_1 \gg M_2 \gg \mu \end{cases}$$

#### iDM—Higgsino model

#### Couples to Z, makes definitive predictions

**Relic abundance:** 
$$\Omega h^2 = 0.10 \left(\frac{\mu}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right)^2$$
 (all  $\chi_1$ )

Direct detection:

$$\sigma_{\rm n}^{\tilde{H}} \sim \frac{\pi m_n^2 \alpha_W^2}{8 m_W^4} \times (\text{velocity factor}) \sim 10^{-39} \, \text{cm}^2 \times (\text{velocity factor})$$

# The Cygnus "gun"

#### Overburden proxy



$$\begin{split} \Gamma &= \sum_{\pm} \int d^3 r_s \, d^3 v_\chi \left\{ n_T(r_s) \, \frac{\rho_\chi}{m_{\chi_1^0}} \left[ \frac{R_D}{|\vec{r_s} - \vec{r_D}| \, \theta_{max}^{lab}} \right]^2 \, P_0(|\vec{r_s} - \vec{r_D}|, v_{f,\pm}^{lab}) \right. \\ & \left. \times f(v_\chi) \, |F(q_\pm)|^2 \, \left[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta^{lab}} \right]_{\pm} \, v_{tot} \right\} \end{split}$$