Structure Formation Lecture I

Fabian Schmidt MPA

All figures taken from Modern Cosmology, Second Edition, unless otherwise noted

ICTP-SAIFR School on Cosmology, January 2021

MODERN

Scott Dodelson Fabian Schmidt

COSMOLOGY

Second Edition

 The large-scale structure (LSS) is historically one of the key probes of cosmology

Peebles; Efstathiou+ '90 predicted a positive cosmological constant Λ from LSS observations

 Now, we are really in a golden age of LSS with plenty of experiments under way: eBOSS, DES, DESI, PFS, SphereX, Euclid, WFIRST, ...

• Using large-scale structure, we can learn about



Inflation: reconstruct the properties of the initial conditions, and look for gravitational waves

- Inflation: reconstruct the properties of the initial conditions, and look for gravitational waves
- Dark Energy and Gravity: the growth of structure depends sensitively on the expansion history of the Universe, and the nature of gravity

Growth equation: $D'' + aHD' = 4\pi \, G \, \bar{\rho} \, D$

- Inflation: reconstruct the properties of the initial conditions, and look for gravitational waves
- Dark Energy and Gravity: the growth of structure depends sensitively on the expansion history of the Universe, and the nature of gravity

Growth equation: $D'' + aHD' = 4\pi G \bar{\rho} D$

• Dark Matter: how "cold" is cold dark matter ? What is the sum of neutrino masses ?

Challenge: unlike the CMB, every data point is nonlinear!



Challenge: unlike the CMB, every data point is nonlinear!



- In bulk of lectures, we'll be assuming "vanilla" Euclidean (flat) ΛCDM cosmology
 - Gaussian, adiabatic, almost scale-invariant initial perturbations
 - Dark Energy equation of state w=-1, although results hold for general smooth DE as well
 - Mostly neglect effect of massive neutrinos
- We will (hopefully) discuss the effect of going beyond these assumptions in the 5th lecture





- Baryons and CDM are "cold": the constituent particles are non-relativistic
- Most of structure formation happens well within the Hubble horizon: sub horizon approximation
- These two facts simplify equations substantially!
- Can often use our intuition for Newtonian gravity

- Will not study early universe evolution here
- Early evolution starts when perturbation "enters the horizon"
- Evolution depends on whether this happens in radiation domination (slower growth) or matter domination (faster growth)
- Small-scale modes enter horizon earlier

Evolution of modes of different wavelengths at early times $(k=2\pi/\lambda)$ 10^{5} $k = 0.001 \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$



Cold dark matter component only

Notation

$$ds^{2} = -(1 + 2\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t))dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(1 + 2\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t))d\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$$

- Comoving coordinates:
- Conformal time:
 Primes denote derivative w.r.t conformal time
 - Comoving distance:
- Particle velocity/momentum:
- Fluid velocity; divergence:
- Gravitational potential:

$$d\boldsymbol{r} = a(t)d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$d\eta = \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \frac{da}{a^2 H(a)} = \frac{d\ln a}{aH(a)}$$

$$d\chi = -d\eta = \frac{dz}{H(z)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{m} = a \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{x}'$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}; \quad \theta = \partial_i u^i$$

$$\Psi$$

Cold Dark Matter cosmology in a nutshell

- Large-scale fluctuations are small (still linear today)
- Structure forms hierarchically from small to large scales
- Perturbative expansion in fluctuations on large scales
- Simulations of large volumes can assume background cosmology



Cold Dark Matter cosmology in a nutshell

- Large-scale fluctuations are small (still linear today)
- Structure forms hierarchically from small to large scales
- Perturbative expansion in fluctuations on large scales
- Simulations of large volumes can assume background cosmology



Millennium simulation / MPA

How do we compare theory with data?

- Assume we observe the matter density field $\rho(x) = \bar{\rho}[1 + \delta(x)]$ δ : fractional matter density perturbation
- Given cosmological parameters θ, theory predicts
 - I. Statistics of initial conditions (Gaussian)
 - 2. How a given $\delta_{\rm in}({\bm x})$ evolves into the final density field δ
- In cosmology, we are always dealing with statistical fields!

Characterizing Statistical Field

- Consider $\delta(x)$, and its Fourier-space version $\delta(k)$
- Simplest statistical field: the field values at each point are independent Gaussian random variables (with vanishing mean)
- In cosmology, we often encounter these simplest fields where we have independent <u>Fourier</u> modes
- Statistics of field is completely described in terms of the variance of the Fourier modes, as a function of k: the <u>power</u> <u>spectrum</u>

 $\langle \delta(\boldsymbol{k})\delta^*(\boldsymbol{k}')\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}') P(k)$

Characterizing Statistical Field

- So let's characterize large-scale matter density field
- Consider variance of matter density field filtered on different scales:

$$\sigma_W^2 \equiv \left\langle (\delta_W)^2(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \left\langle \delta_W(\mathbf{k}) \delta_W^*(\mathbf{k}') \right\rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$
$$= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} P_{\rm L}(k) |W(k)|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d\ln k \, k^3 P_{\rm L}(k) |W(k)|^2.$$

Variance is small for large smoothing scales



Characterizing Statistical Field

- So let's characterize large-scale matter density field
- Consider variance of matter density field filtered on different scales:

$$\sigma_W^2 \equiv \left\langle (\delta_W)^2(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \left\langle \delta_W(\mathbf{k}) \delta_W^*(\mathbf{k}') \right\rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$
$$= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} P_{\rm L}(k) |W(k)|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d\ln k \, k^3 P_{\rm L}(k) |W(k)|^2.$$

Variance is small for large smoothing scales



How do we compare theory with data?

- Goal: compute power spectrum of matter and galaxies
- And also other statistics of LSS





Gil-Marin et al, 2016

 $\langle \delta(\boldsymbol{k})\delta^*(\boldsymbol{k}')\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}') P(k)$

- Fundamental quantity: distribution function $f_m({m x},{m p},t)$
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together



- Fundamental quantity: distribution function $f_m({m x},{m p},t)$
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together

$$\frac{df_{\rm m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial x^i} \frac{dx^i}{dt} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial p^i} \frac{dp^i}{dt} = 0,$$

- Fundamental quantity: distribution function
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together

$$\frac{df_{\rm m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial x^i} \frac{dx^i}{dt} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial p^i} \frac{dp^i}{dt} = 0,$$

Geodesic equations: just Newtonian plus factors of a

$$\frac{dx^{i}}{dt} = \frac{p^{i}}{am}$$
$$\frac{dp^{i}}{dt} = -Hp^{i} - \frac{m}{a}\partial_{i}\Psi$$

- Fundamental quantity: distribution function
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together

$$\frac{df_{\rm m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial x^i} \frac{dx^i}{dt} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial p^i} \frac{dp^i}{dt} = 0,$$

Geodesic equations: just Newtonian plus factors of a

$$\frac{dx^{i}}{dt} = \frac{p^{i}}{am}$$
$$\frac{dp^{i}}{dt} = -Hp^{i} - \frac{m}{a}\partial_{i}\Psi$$

Supplemented with the Poisson equation for the gravitational potential:

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) (aH)^2 \delta_{\rm m}.$$

00-component of Einstein eq. in the subhorizon limit

- Fundamental quantity: distribution function
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together

$$\frac{df_{\rm m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial x^j} \frac{p^j}{ma} - \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial p^j} \left[Hp^j + \frac{m}{a} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} \right] = 0.$$
$$\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) (aH)^2 \delta_{\rm m}.$$

These equations will govern almost everything in these lectures!

- Fundamental quantity: distribution function
- Boltzmann equation describes its evolution
- Dark matter: no interactions! Baryons: neglect interactions...
- Then, can lump dark matter and baryons together

$$\frac{df_{\rm m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial x^j} \frac{p^j}{ma} - \frac{\partial f_{\rm m}}{\partial p^j} \left[Hp^j + \frac{m}{a} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} \right] = 0.$$

Initial conditions: <u>cold</u>

$$f_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x}, \, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{m} (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\rm D}^{(3)} \left(\boldsymbol{p} - m \boldsymbol{u}_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \right) \qquad \text{Eq (12.9)}$$

<=> no velocity dispersion

Taking moments of the Boltzmann equation

- Boltzmann equation: 6+1 dim; plus we need to integrate f_m to obtain δ for Ψ
- Extremely difficult to solve. Let's try different approach: taking moments
- That means we integrate the equation (multiplied by p, p²) over d³p

Taking moments of the Boltzmann equation

- Define:
- Zeroth moment yields density:
- First moment yields <u>bulk</u> velocity:

$$\langle A \rangle_{f_{\rm m}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} A(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p},t) f_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p},t)$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle_{f_{\rm m}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = n(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{m}$$

$$u_{\rm m}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \equiv \frac{\left\langle p^{i} \right\rangle_{f_{\rm m}}}{\left\langle m \right\rangle_{f_{\rm m}}}$$

Homework: take the moments of the Boltzmann equation to derive the fluid equations. Use:

$$\frac{1}{m} \left\langle p^{i} p^{j} \right\rangle_{f_{\mathrm{m}}} = \rho_{\mathrm{m}} u_{\mathrm{m}}^{i} u_{\mathrm{m}}^{j} + \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{ij}. \qquad \mathrm{Eq} \left(12.17 \right)$$

Result: the fluid equations (Euler-Poisson system)

 $\delta_{\rm m}' + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left[(1 + \delta_{\rm m}) u_{\rm m}^j \right] = 0,$ $u_{\rm m}^i + u_{\rm m}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} u_{\rm m}^i + a H u_{\rm m}^i + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^i} = 0,$ Eq (12.23) $\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) (a H)^2 \delta_{\rm m}.$

Primes denote derivative w.r.t conformal time

- *Much* nicer: 3+1dim; no integrals involved
- How did this magic happen? Neglected higher moments, in particular a contribution to Euler equation from velocity dispersion (anisotropic stress) $\partial_j (\rho_m \sigma_m^{ij})$
- Fine on large scales, as we will see.

Result: the fluid equations (Euler-Poisson system)

- Now, take divergence of Euler equation, and separate linear and nonlinear terms
 - Curl component decays if not sourced (Homework)

$$\delta_{\rm m}{}' + \theta_{\rm m} = -\delta_{\rm m}\theta_{\rm m} - u_{\rm m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\delta_{\rm m},$$

$$\theta_{\rm m}{}' + aH\theta_{\rm m} + \nabla^{2}\Psi = -u_{\rm m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\theta_{\rm m} - (\partial_{i}u_{\rm m}^{j})(\partial_{j}u_{\rm m}^{i}),$$

$$\nabla^{2}\Psi = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^{2}\delta_{\rm m}.$$

• If all of δ, θ, Ψ are small, we can neglect the nonlinear terms on the right-hand side:

$$\delta_{\rm m}{}' + \theta_{\rm m} = -\delta_{\rm m}\theta_{\rm m} - u_{\rm m}^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \delta_{\rm m},$$

$$\theta_{\rm m}{}' + aH\theta_{\rm m} + \nabla^{2}\Psi = -u_{\rm m}^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \theta_{\rm m} - (\partial_{i}u_{\rm m}^{j})(\partial_{j}u_{\rm m}^{i})$$

$$\nabla^{2}\Psi = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^{2}\delta_{\rm m}.$$

 Then, we can combine all three equations into a single, second-order ODE for the density δ:

$$\delta''(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + aH\delta'(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2\delta(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)$$
$$\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\eta)}{\rho_{\rm cr}(\eta)}$$

Primes denote derivative w.r.t conformal time

The density at all points in (real or Fourier) space evolves independently!

 Then, we can combine all three equations into a single, second-order ODE for the density δ:

$$\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = D(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$D'' + aHD' = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2 D(\eta)$$
$$\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\eta)}{\rho_{\rm cr}(\eta)}$$

The density at all points in (real or Fourier) space evolves independently!

 Then, we can combine all three equations into a single, second-order ODE for the density δ:

$$\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = D(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$D'' + aHD' = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2 D(\eta)$$
$$\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\eta)}{\rho_{\rm cr}(\eta)}$$

The density at all points in (real or Fourier) space evolves independently!

 Then, we can combine all three equations into a single, second-order ODE for the density δ:

$$\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = D(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$D'' + aHD' = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2 D(\eta)$$
$$\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\eta)}{\rho_{\rm cr}(\eta)}$$

Linear velocity divergence: $\theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = -\delta^{(1)'}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = -aHf(\eta)\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta), \quad f \equiv d\ln D/d\ln a$

Linear growth



Linear growth

Together with initial conditions (transfer function), we can compute matter power spectrum



$$\langle \delta(\boldsymbol{k})\delta^*(\boldsymbol{k}')\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}') P(k)$$