Structure Formation Lecture 2

Fabian Schmidt MPA

MODERN

Scott Dodelson Fabian Schmidt

COSMOLOGY

Second Edition

All figures taken from Modern Cosmology, Second Edition, unless otherwise noted

ICTP-SAIFR School on Cosmology, January 2021

Outline of lectures

- I. The problem: collisionless Boltzmann equation and fluid approximation
 - I. Linear evolution
- 2. Nonlinear evolution of matter
 - I. Perturbation theory <- HERE
 - 2. Simulations
 - 3. Phenomenology of nonlinear matter distribution
- 3. Formation and distribution of galaxies
 - I. Galaxy formation in a nutshell
 - 2. Spherical collapse model
 - 3. Physical clustering of halos and galaxies; bias
 - 4. Observed clustering of galaxies
- 4. Beyond ΛCDM

Notation

$$ds^{2} = -(1 + 2\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t))dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(1 + 2\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t))d\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$$

- Comoving coordinates:
- Conformal time:
 - Comoving distance:
- Particle velocity/momentum: $v = \frac{p}{m}$
- Fluid velocity; divergence:
- Gravitational potential:

$$d\chi = -d\eta = \frac{dz}{H(z)}$$

 $d\mathbf{r} = a(t)d\mathbf{x}$

 $d\eta = \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \frac{da}{a^2 H(a)} = \frac{d\ln a}{a H(a)}.$

n:
$$\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{m} = a \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{x}'$$

 Ψ

$$\boldsymbol{u}; \quad \theta = \partial_i u^i$$



- In Lecture I, we derived the collisionless Boltzmann equation for DM and baryons
- Combined with Poisson equation for gravitational potential, these govern all of cosmological structure formation at late times
- We then took moments to obtain the fluid equations (continuity & Euler), and dropped the curl velocity

• **Result:**
$$\delta_{m}' + \theta_{m} = -\delta_{m}\theta_{m} - u_{m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\delta_{m},$$

 $\theta_{m}' + aH\theta_{m} + \nabla^{2}\Psi = -u_{m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\theta_{m} - (\partial_{i}u_{m}^{j})(\partial_{j}u_{m}^{i}),$
 $\nabla^{2}\Psi = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{m}(\eta)(aH)^{2}\delta_{m}.$

Recap

• We then derived the linear approximation, when all of δ, θ, Ψ are small:

$$\begin{split} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) &= D(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ D'' + aHD' &= \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2D(\eta) \\ \Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) &= \frac{\rho_{\rm m}(\eta)}{\rho_{\rm cr}(\eta)} & \text{Time-dependent} \\ = 0.3 \text{ today, = 1 integration} \end{split}$$

Time-dependent density parameter; =0.3 today, =1 in the past

The density at all points in (real or Fourier) space evolves independently!

Going beyond linear theory

- We looked at the variance of matter density field filtered on different scales:
 - Shape is consequence of initial conditions from inflation
- Clearly, to describe universe on scales smaller than hundreds of Mpc, we need to go beyond linear theory!



Going beyond linear theory

- Let's go back to full fluid equations
- They contain nonlinear terms, specifically quadratic terms, moved here to the r.h.s.:

$$\delta_{\rm m}{}' + \theta_{\rm m} = -\delta_{\rm m}\theta_{\rm m} - u_{\rm m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\delta_{\rm m},$$

$$\theta_{\rm m}{}' + aH\theta_{\rm m} + \nabla^{2}\Psi = -u_{\rm m}^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\theta_{\rm m} - (\partial_{i}u_{\rm m}^{j})(\partial_{j}u_{\rm m}^{i}),$$

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{\rm m}(\eta) (aH)^2 \delta_{\rm m}$$
 is just linear!

Going beyond linear theory

 That structure suggests iterative approach: plug in linear solution to nonlinear source terms, and solve for second order:

$$\delta^{(2)'} + \theta^{(2)} = -\delta^{(1)}\theta^{(1)} - (u^{(1)})^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta^{(1)},$$

$$\theta^{(2)'} + aH\theta^{(2)} + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2 \delta^{(2)} = -(u^{(1)})^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \theta^{(1)} - [\partial_i(u^{(1)})^j][\partial_j(u^{(1)})^i],$$

where we have used the Poisson equation for $\nabla^2 \Psi^{(2)}$

Perturbation theory

• Idea: expand all fields according to:

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \dots + \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)$$

$$\theta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \theta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \dots + \theta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)$$

- Each order collects all terms that have the same number of linear fields $\delta^{(1)}$, $\theta^{(1)}$
- This approach is expected to work as long as each successive term in the series is smaller than the previous one
- Of course, in practice we always stop at some *n*

So let's proceed with solving at second order:

$$\delta^{(2)'} + \theta^{(2)} = -\delta^{(1)}\theta^{(1)} - (u^{(1)})^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta^{(1)},$$

$$\theta^{(2)'} + aH\theta^{(2)} + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m}(\eta)(aH)^2 \delta^{(2)} = -(u^{(1)})^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \theta^{(1)} - [\partial_i(u^{(1)})^j][\partial_j(u^{(1)})^i],$$

- R.h.s. involves derivatives and velocity u: more easily solved in *Fourier space*
- The linear velocity is given by

$$(u^{(1)})^i(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = \frac{ik^i}{k^2} a H f \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \qquad f \equiv d\ln D/d\ln a$$

 Fourier transform, and pull out time dependence of source term (important that we can do that!)

$$\delta^{(2)'}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) + \theta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = aHfD^2(\eta)S_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k})$$
$$\theta^{(2)'}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m(\eta)(aH)^2\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = (aHf)^2D^2(\eta)S_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

 Fourier transform, and pull out time dependence of source term (important that we can do that!)

 $\delta^{(2)'}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) + \theta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = aHfD^2(\eta)S_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k})$ $\theta^{(2)'}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m(\eta)(aH)^2\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = (aHf)^2D^2(\eta)S_\theta(\boldsymbol{k})$ $S_{\delta}(\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^{3}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{k}_{2})$ $\times \left| 1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2}{k_1^2} \right| \delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}_2),$ where we used $\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = D(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{k})$ $S_{\theta}(\mathbf{k}) = -\int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\rm D}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)$ $\times \left| \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1^2} + \frac{(k_1 \cdot k_2)^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2} \right| \delta_0(k_1) \delta_0(k_2)$

 So we can separate the time- and kdependent parts even at second order!

Solving coupled set of sourced first-order
 ODE using standard techniques* yields:

$$\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = D_{+}^{2}(\eta) \int \frac{d^{3}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_{1}-\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) \times F_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2}),$$

$$F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{2}{7} \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2)^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2 \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)$$

time-independent perturbation theory kernel

• Velocity divergence θ obeys similar equation

*Assume matter domination when integrating equations; accurate to better than 1%.

Solving coupled set of sourced first-order
 ODE using standard techniques* yields:

 $\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k},\eta) = D_{+}^{2}(\eta) \int \frac{d^{3}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1}-\mathbf{k}_{2}) \times F_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}) \delta_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \delta_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{2}),$

$$F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{2}{7} \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2)^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2 \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)$$

time-independent perturbation theory kernel

• Velocity divergence θ obeys similar equation

*Assume matter domination when integrating equations; accurate to better than 1%.

Diagrammatic representation

• F_2 corresponds to interaction vertex (with 3momentum conservation) coupling two incoming δ_0

Diagrammatic representation

• Similarly, we can go to higher orders:

$$\delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) = D_{+}^{n}(\eta) \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{d^{3}k_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \right] (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{D}^{(3)} \left(\boldsymbol{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{k}_{i} \right) \\ \times F_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{n}),$$
PT kernels F_n obey recursion relation.
$$F_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \\ \times (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{D}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \cdots - \boldsymbol{k}_{n})$$

 $\delta^{(1)}(m{k}_1)$

 $\delta^{(1)}(oldsymbol{k}_n)$

י ימנכי שטייכי Spectrum

- Since we don't know the initial conditions at the field level, let's compute statistics
- Power spectrum:

$$\langle \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \rangle = D_{+}^{2}(\eta) \langle \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}') \rangle$$

$$+ \langle \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \rangle + 2 \langle \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \rangle + \cdots .$$
Eq. (12.42)

- Why these terms and not others?
 - Count terms that have equal numbers of δ_0
 - Terms with odd number of δ_0 vanish

n



• For terms with even number, we use <u>Wicks'</u> <u>theorem</u>:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_3) \delta_0(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle &= (2\pi)^6 \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) P(\mathbf{k}_1) P(\mathbf{k}_3) \\ &+ (2\pi)^6 \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_4) P(\mathbf{k}_1) P(\mathbf{k}_2) \\ &+ (2\pi)^6 \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_4) \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) P(\mathbf{k}_1) P(\mathbf{k}_2). \end{aligned}$$

leads directly to

$$\left\langle \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle = D_{+}^{2}(\eta) \left\langle \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}') \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle + \cdots .$$



Nic
 represented
 using diagrams:



$$\left\langle \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle = D_{+}^{2}(\eta) \left\langle \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}') \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}',\eta) \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$= Eq. (12.42)$$



Use Feynman rules, or just plug in kernels to obtain:



Matter power spectrum

• Then let computer do the work...

 $P(k,\eta) = P_{\rm L}(k,\eta) + P^{\rm NLO}(k,\eta) + \cdots,$ $P^{\rm NLO}(k,\eta) = P^{(22)}(k,\eta) + 2P^{(13)}(k,\eta),$ z = 0 $P(k) [(h^{-1} Mpc)^3]$ z = $P_{\rm L}(k)$ $--P_{\mathrm{L}}(k) + P_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{NLO}}(k)$ $P_{\rm m}^{\rm NLO}(k)/P_{\rm L}(k)$ 10^{-2} 10^{-1} $k \, [h \, \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}]$

Bispectrum

• The bispectrum, or three-point function of δ_0 vanishes, but not that of the evolved field δ_m , thanks to nonlinear evolution:

$$\langle \delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\eta) \delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{k}_2,\eta) \delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{k}_3,\eta) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\rm D}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1 + \boldsymbol{k}_2 + \boldsymbol{k}_3)$$
 Eq. (12.51)

$$\times \left[2F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2) P_{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\eta) P_{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{k}_2,\eta) + 2 \text{ perm.} \right]$$

At leading order; there are also "next-to-leading" (NLO) contributions - try writing down the diagram for the leading three-point function as well as the NLO one!

Beyond the fluid approximation

- So far, did well-defined perturbation theory, but of the <u>wrong</u> <u>equation:</u> collisionless matter is not a fluid
- Rather, the correct equation is the collisionless Boltzmann equation
- What is the error we are making?
- Recall that we neglected the velocity dispersion, or stress tensor σ_m , which adds force term to the Euler equation, $\rho_m^{-1}\partial_j\sigma_m^{ij}$

$$\frac{1}{m} \left\langle p^{i} p^{j} \right\rangle_{f_{\mathrm{m}}} = \rho_{\mathrm{m}} u_{\mathrm{m}}^{i} u_{\mathrm{m}}^{j} + \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{ij}.$$

• What is the effect of the stress tensor? Can we incorporate it?

Beyond the fluid approximation

 Idea: treat stress tensor as effective quantity, and parametrize it, at the background and perturbation level:

 $\sigma_{\rm m}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}(\eta)\delta^{ij}\left[1 + c_{\sigma}(\eta)\delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \ldots\right]$

• We can't predict the coefficients from within the fluid picture - leave them free for now $\bar{\sigma}_{m}(\eta), c_{\sigma}(\eta)$

Beyond the fluid approximation $\sigma_{\rm m}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}(\eta)\delta^{ij}\left[1 + c_{\sigma}(\eta)\delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \ldots\right]$

• Insert into Euler equation:

$$u_{\rm m}^{i}{}' + aHu_{\rm m}^{i} + \partial^{i}\Psi + \frac{c_{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}}\partial^{i}\delta_{\rm m} = (\text{unchanged 2nd-order terms})$$

Notice that constant, background stress has no dynamical effect.

Beyond the fluid approximation $\sigma_{\rm m}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}(\eta)\delta^{ij} \left[1 + c_{\sigma}(\eta)\delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \ldots\right]$

• Insert into Euler equation, take divergence again:

$$\theta'_{\rm m} + aH\theta_{\rm m} + \nabla^2 \Psi + \frac{c_{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}} \nabla^2 \delta_{\rm m} = (\text{unchanged 2nd-order terms})$$

Notice that constant, background stress has no dynamical effect.

Beyond the fluid approximation $\sigma_{\rm m}^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) = \bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}(\eta)\delta^{ij} \left[1 + c_{\sigma}(\eta)\delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta) + \ldots\right]$

• Insert into Euler equation, take divergence again:

$$\theta'_{\rm m} + aH\theta_{\rm m} + \nabla^2 \Psi + \frac{c_{\sigma} \bar{\sigma}_{\rm m}}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}} \nabla^2 \delta_{\rm m} = (\text{unchanged 2nd-order terms})$$

Notice that constant background stress has no dynamical effective

- Additional contribution is suppressed on large scales: two additional derivatives, ~k² in Fourier space
- Hence, can take into account stress tensor at leading order by adding one term to equations, at the price of an unknown, free coefficient $\bar{\sigma}_m c_\sigma$



- At leading order, this is just another linear term in the equations (but with more derivatives)
- By redefining coefficient, correction to final density field can be written as (with free coefficient C_s^2)

 $\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \rightarrow \left[1 - C_s^2(\eta)k^2\right] D_+(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}) \quad ; \quad P_{\rm NLO}(k) \rightarrow P_{\rm NLO}(k) - 2C_s^2(\eta)k^2 P_{\rm L}(k)$ Similar size as $\mathsf{P}_{\sf NLO}(k)$

- At leading order, this is just another linear term in the equations (but with more derivatives)
- By redefining coefficient, correction to final density field can be written as (with free coefficient C_s^2)

 $\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) \rightarrow \left[1 - C_s^2(\eta)k^2\right] D_+(\eta)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}) \quad ; \quad P_{\rm NLO}(k) \rightarrow P_{\rm NLO}(k) - 2C_s^2(\eta)k^2 P_{\rm L}(k)$

• In fact, theoretical consistency forces us to introduce C_s^2 (~ eff. sound horizon) as <u>counterterm</u>:

$$P^{(13)}(k,\eta) = 3P_{\rm L}(k,\eta) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} F_3(p, -p, k) P_{\rm L}(p,\eta)$$
$$\propto k^2/p^2 \text{ for } p \gg k$$

Yes, P_{22} also leads to a counterterm, but that one is much smaller.

k

Effective Field Theory of Structure Formation

- Idea: allow for all counterterms in effective fluid equations consistent with symmetries: general covariance; mass and momentum conservation
- Order different contribution according to their scaling with k
- Only one relevant scale: k_{NL}, where (roughly) matter density field becomes fully nonlinear:

$$k_{\rm NL}^{-2} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} p^{-2} P_{\rm L}(p)$$

• For this ordering, we typically approximate $P_L(k) \sim k^n$ as power law, with $n \sim -1.5$, allowing us to compute loop integrals analytically. E.g.,

$$P_{\rm NLO}(k) \sim \left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm nl}}\right)^{3+n} P_{\rm L}(k)$$
$$P_{C_s^2}(k) \sim \left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm nl}}\right)^2 P_{\rm L}(k)$$

Y. E.g.,
$$k_{\rm NL}$$

 10^{3}
 10^{3}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{2}
 10^{3}
 10^{2}
 10^{-4}
 10^{-3}
 10^{-2}
 10^{-1}
 10^{-1}
 10^{-1}
 10^{-1}
 10^{-1}

Non-gravitational interactions of baryons

- So far, completely ignored non-gravitational interactions, while I argued that we are including baryons...
- Let's consider the effect of pressure then, assuming some relation $p=p(\rho)$ (barotropic fluid). Pressure term in *baryon* Euler equation, at leading order:

• Precisely the same shape as effective stress contribution! As long as we are interested only in total matter, we can combine the two into a single C_s^2 . $\frac{c_\sigma \bar{\sigma}_m}{\bar{\sigma}_m} \partial^i \delta_m$

 $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{fl}}(au')$

- So far, worked with Eulerian fields at fixed spatial position x
- Alternative: follow mass elements along their trajectory, labeling them with initial position q
- Time-dependent position given by $m{x}_{
 m fl}(m{q},\eta) = m{q} + m{s}(m{q},\eta)$
- Then use geodesic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^{i}}{dt} &= \frac{p^{i}}{am} \\ \frac{dp^{i}}{dt} &= -Hp^{i} - \frac{m}{a}\partial_{i}\Psi \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{fl}}(au')$

- So far, worked with Eulerian fields at fixed spatial position x
- Alternative: follow mass elements along their trajectory, labeling them with initial position q
- Time-dependent position given by $m{x}_{
 m fl}(m{q},\eta) = m{q} + m{s}(m{q},\eta)$
- Then use geodesic equations:

$$\boldsymbol{s}''(\boldsymbol{q},\eta) + aH\boldsymbol{s}'(\boldsymbol{q},\eta) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{fl}}(\boldsymbol{q},\eta),\eta) = 0$$

 At initial time, density perturbations were negligible, so a given element d³q corresponds to equal mass everywhere. Hence, density is given directly by Jacobian:

$$\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}(\eta)d^3\boldsymbol{q} = \rho_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)d^3\boldsymbol{x}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left.\frac{\rho_{\rm m}}{\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}} = 1 + \delta_{\rm m} = \left|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{q}}{\partial\boldsymbol{x}}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{x}}{\partial\boldsymbol{q}}\right|^{-1} = \left|\delta_{ij} + \partial_{q,i}s_j(\boldsymbol{q},\eta)\right|$$

• Can insert δ_m into Poisson equation to obtain Ψ . Perturbation theory then proceeds by writing

$$s = s^{(1)} + s^{(2)} + \dots$$

and solving equation for displacement order by order.

 Note: two matter elements can end up at the same final position x!

 $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{fl}}(\boldsymbol{q},\eta) = \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{q},\eta)$

- Known as <u>shell crossing</u>
- Possible because (dark) matter is collisionless.
- This cannot happen in the fluid picture though.
- In this sense, Lagrangian approach is closer to the correct physics.



Phasespace view of structure formation

 Initial stages of collapse of overdense region





$$f_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) = \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{m} (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{(3)} \left(\boldsymbol{p} - m\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\right)$$

<=> no velocity dispersion

Phasespace view of structure formation

 Later stages of collapse of overdense region





 $f_{\rm m}(x,v_x)$

Structure formation beyond perturbation theory

- In order to take this phasespace evolution into account properly, need to go beyond fluid picture and perturbation theory.
- Back to collisionless Boltzmann equation!
- Topic of lecture 3.