

Workshop on Electromagnetic Effects in Strongly Interacting Matter ICTP-SAIFR October 25 – 28, 2022

Fermion mass and width in QED in a magnetic field

Enrique Muñoz

In collaboration with A. Ayala, J. Castaño-Yepes and M. Loewe **Physical Review D 104, 016006 (2021)**



Particle propagation in intense magnetic fields

+

- Magnetic fields influence the propagation of both charged and neutral particles (due to charged virtual fluctuations)
- Photon birrefringence (due to vacuum fluctuations): Breaking of Lorentz invariance leads to three polarization tensor structures
- The charged fermion propagator depends on the presence of a background magnetic field, as first noticed by Schwinger (Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951))
- Magnetic catalysis: Even massless fermions may develop a finite magnetic mass, V. P. Gusynin et al., Nucl. Phys. B426, 249 (1996); Phys. Rev. D 53, 4747 (1995).
- Most results reported in the literature, concerning a fermion magnetic mass, are based on calculations restricted to the LLL, and find a "double logarithm" leading contribution (Tsai, Phys. Rev. D 10, 1342 (1974); Jancovici, Phys. Rev. 187, 2275 (1969); Machet, Int. J. Mod. Phys. 31, 1650071 ($20h_B$) $-m \sim \left[\log(|eB|/m^2)\right]^2$

A semiclassical picture

"Classical Picture"

"QFT Picture"



"The School of Athens" by Raphael (circa 1510)



"Starry night" by V. van Gogh (1889)

Path-Integral formulation of QM $\langle x_f | e^{-it\hat{H}} | x_i \rangle = \int_{x(0)=x_i}^{x(t)=x_f} \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{iS[x(t)]} \sim \left[K(x_f, x_i, t) \right] e^{iS_{cl}[x(t)]}$ The "classical" trajectory is the most

The "classical" trajectory is the most probable one

Relativistic-covariant formulation of the EOM



$$\frac{d}{dt} (\gamma m \mathbf{v}) = q \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$$
$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{q}{mc^2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \mathbf{0} \to \gamma = \gamma_0$

Decompose the velocity into mutually orthogonal components

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}}{dt} = 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{v}_{\parallel,0}$$



 $\frac{d\mathbf{v}_{\perp}}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{\Omega}_c$

UCM in the plane perpendicular to the field $(x(t) - x_0)^2 + (y(t) - y_0)^2 = \frac{v_{\perp,0}^2}{\Omega_c^2} \equiv R_c^2$



Energy spectrum

$$E = c\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}^2 + \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 + m^2 c^2} = c\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}^2 + (2n+1)\frac{\hbar^2}{l_B^2} + m^2 c^2}$$

Landau-level spectrum (except for spin)

$$\Rightarrow E \sim c \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}^2 + (2n+1)\frac{\hbar|qB|}{c} + m^2 c^2}$$

The quantum mechanical picture



Split of the metric into two orthogonal subspaces

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}_{\parallel} + g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} - \begin{bmatrix} g^{\mu\nu}_{\parallel} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, -1) \\ g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} = \text{diag}(0, -1, -1, 0) \end{bmatrix}$$

For any 4-vector k, this implies the subsequent splitting of components

The fermion propagator in a constant magnetic field background



Gauge choice
$$A_{\mu} = \frac{B}{2}(0, -x_2, x_1, 0)$$

$$S_F(x, x') = \Phi(x, x') \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-p \cdot (x - x')} S_F(p)$$

Translational invariant part (in Schwinger representation)

$$S_F(k) = -i \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\cos(eB\tau)} e^{i\tau(k_{\parallel}^2 - k_{\perp}^2 \frac{\tan(eB\tau)}{eB\tau} - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \left\{ \left[\cos(eB\tau) + i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \sin(eB\tau) \right] (m + \not\!\!\!k_{\parallel}) + \frac{\not\!\!\!k_{\perp}}{\cos(eB\tau)} \right\}$$

Landau-level expansion Miransky and Shovkovy, Phys. Rep. 576, 1 (2015) Gusynin, Miransky and Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. B462, 249 (1996)

$$iS_F(k) = ie^{-k_\perp^2/|eB|} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{D_n(eB,k)}{p_\parallel^2 - m^2 - 2n|eB|}$$

The Schwinger phase may break translational invariance

$$\Phi(x,x') = \exp\left\{ie\int_{x}^{x'} d\xi^{\mu} \left[A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}(\xi - x')^{\nu}\right]\right\}$$

The self-energy diagram (at one loop)

$$p-k$$
 p
 k
 p
 B
 $-i\Sigma(p) = (-ie)^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma^{\mu} iS_F(k) \gamma^{\nu} G_{\nu\mu}(p-k)$

What about the Schwinger magnetic phase?

The Schwinger phase can be removed by a gauge transformation

 $\int_{-\pi'}^{x} d\xi^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}(\xi) (\xi - x')^{\nu} = \frac{ie}{2} \int_{0}^{1} (x - x')^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu} (x - x')^{\nu} dt = 0$

$$\Phi(x, x') = \exp\left\{i e \int_{x'}^{x} d\xi^{\mu} \left[A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \left(\xi - x'\right)^{\nu}\right]\right\}$$

Path parametrization
$$\xi^{\mu} = x'^{\mu} + t(x^{\mu} - x'^{\mu}), \text{ for } 0 < t < 1$$

First, we remark that the second term vanishes

$$F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}$$

Original choice of gauge

$$A_{\mu} = \frac{B}{2} \left(0, -x_2, x_1, 0 \right)$$

The first integral is given by \int_{T}

$$\int_{x'}^{x} d\xi^{\mu} A_{\mu}(\xi) = (x - x')^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x')$$

We can remove this term by introducing a gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu}(\xi) \to A'_{\mu}(\xi) = A_{\mu}(\xi) + \frac{\partial \alpha(\xi)}{\partial \xi^{\mu}}$$

With the choice

$$\alpha(\xi) = \frac{B}{2} \left(x_2' \xi^1 - x_1' \xi_2' \right)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{x'}^{x} d\xi^{\mu} A'_{\mu}(\xi) = (x - x')^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x') + \alpha(x) - \alpha(x') = 0$$

and the Schwinger phase is removed

$$\Phi(x, x') = 0$$

Schwinger parametrization of the self-energy

Integrating out the internal k-momenta, we obtain the self-energy in terms of Schwinger parameters x, au

$$\Sigma(p,B) = \frac{2e^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{dxd\tau}{(x+\tau)(x+\frac{\tan(eB\tau)}{eB})} \left[2m - \frac{x}{x+\tau} \not P_{\parallel} - \frac{x \not P_{\perp}}{(x+\frac{\tan(eB\tau)}{eB})[\cos(eB\tau)]^2} - \frac{x \tan(eB\tau)}{x+\tau} i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \not P_{\parallel} \right] e^{i(xp^2 - \frac{x^2}{x+\tau}p_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{x^2p_{\perp}^2}{x+\frac{\tan(eB\tau)}{eB}} - \tau m^2 + i\epsilon)}$$

Introducing dimensionless variables $\tau = \frac{s(1-y)}{m^2}$, $x = \frac{sy}{m^2}$, $\mathcal{B} = \frac{|eB|}{m^2}$, $\rho_{\perp,\parallel}^2 = \frac{p_{\perp,\parallel}^2}{m^2}$

Self energy

phase

$$\Sigma(p,B) = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^1 dy [(A) + (B) - (C)] e^{is(\varphi(y,\rho,B) + i\epsilon)}$$

$$(A) = \frac{(2 - y\not_{\parallel})\cos(\mathcal{B}s(1 - y))}{y\cos(\mathcal{B}s(1 - y)) + \frac{\sin(\mathcal{B}s(1 - y))}{\mathcal{B}s}} \qquad (B) = \frac{-y\not_{\perp}}{\left[y\cos(\mathcal{B}s(1 - y)) + \frac{\sin(\mathcal{B}s(1 - y))}{\mathcal{B}s}\right]^2}$$

$$(C) = \frac{y \sin(\mathcal{B}s(1-y))}{y \cos(\mathcal{B}s(1-y)) + \frac{\sin(\mathcal{B}s(1-y))}{\mathcal{B}s}} \times i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \operatorname{sign}(eB) \not\!\!\!/$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Magnetic field-dependent} \\ \text{phase} \end{array} \quad \varphi(y,\rho,B) = y\rho^2 - y^2\rho_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{y^2\cos(\mathcal{B}s(1-y))\rho_{\perp}^2}{y\cos(\mathcal{B}s(1-y)) + \frac{\sin(\mathcal{B}s(1-y))}{\mathcal{B}s}} - (1-y) \\ \end{array}$$

Renormalization conditions and counterterms

The renormalization conditions are imposed such that we recover the "free" fermion mass in the limit B -> 0

(I)
$$\Sigma^{\text{ren}}(p,0)|_{\not p=m} = 0$$
 (II) $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \not p} \Sigma^{\text{ren}}(p,0) \right|_{\not p=m} = 0$

$$\lim_{B \to 0} \varphi(y, \rho, B) \equiv \varphi(y, \rho, 0) = (1 - y)y(\rho^2 - 1) - (1 - y)^2$$

$$\Sigma^{\text{ren}}(p,0) = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^1 dy e^{is(-(1-y)^2 + i\epsilon)} \left[(2 - y\not p) e^{isy(1-y)(\not p^2 - 1)} + \text{c.t.} \right]$$

We thus determine the corresponding counterterms required to impose such conditions

 $c.t._1 = -(2 - y)$

c.t.₂ = -(
$$\not o$$
 - 1) $\left\{ -\frac{y}{m} + 2is\frac{y(1-y)}{m}(2-y) \right\}$

Renormalized self-energy at finite B

$$\Sigma^{\text{ren}}(p,B) = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^1 dy e^{is(-(1-y)^2 + i\epsilon)} \\ \times \left[((A) + (B) - (C))e^{is(\varphi(y,\rho,B) + (1-y)^2)} \\ - (2-y) - (\not p - 1) \left\{ -\frac{y}{m} + 2is\frac{y(1-y)}{m}(2-y) \right\} \right]$$

Definition of the fermion magnetic mass

The renormalization of the mass is, as usual, defined in terms of the shift of the pole of the propagator

$$\delta m_B = m_B - m = \Sigma^{\text{ren}}(p, B)|_{\mathscr{P}_{\parallel} = m}$$

This shift is strictly magnetic, and by construction it satisfies

$$\lim_{B\to 0} \delta m_B = 0$$

The presence of the spin-magnetic field interaction, expressed in terms of the projectors, determines a different mass shift for each spin component

$$\delta m_B = \hat{O}^{(+)} \delta m_B^{(+)} + \hat{O}^{(-)} \delta m_B^{(-)}$$

The contribution arising from Region 1

$$\delta m_B^{(\pm)}|_{\mathcal{R}_1} = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left(-\frac{157}{2016} + \frac{2041}{56700} i\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mp \left[\frac{91}{540} - \frac{257}{10080} i\mathcal{B}^{-1} \right] \right) + O(\mathcal{B}^{-2})$$

The contribution arising from Region 2

$$\delta m_B^{(\pm)}|_{\mathcal{R}_2} \sim \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left\{ -1 - 2\mathcal{B}^{-1}\ln(\mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(2\gamma - 2\ln[|1 - e^{2i}|] + i\left(\pi \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \right\} + O(\mathcal{B}^{-2})$$

The (dominant) contribution arising from Region 3, needs to be further analyzed...

$$\delta m_B^{(\pm)}|_{\mathcal{R}_3} = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}^1 dy \int_{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{is(-(1-y)^2 + i\epsilon)} \left[\frac{(2-y)(1-y)}{y} \mp \tan(\mathcal{B}s(1-y)) \right]$$

An appropriate (periodic) series representation for the trigonometric tangent function

$$\tan(\mathcal{B}s(1-y)) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \sin(2\mathcal{B}s(1-y))$$
$$= i\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (e^{2in\mathcal{B}s(1-y)} - e^{-2in\mathcal{B}s(1-y)})$$



Integrate term by term...

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} e^{is(-(1-y)^2 + i\epsilon)} = \Gamma\left(0, i\frac{(1-y)^2}{\mathcal{B}}\right)$$

Incomplete Gamma function $\Gamma(0, iz) = -\gamma - \ln(iz) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-iz)^k}{k(k!)}$

Higher LLs (n>0)

The LLL (n=0)

$$\int_{1/\mathcal{B}}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} e^{is(-(1-y)(1-y\pm 2n\mathcal{B})+i\epsilon)} = \Gamma\left(0, i\frac{(1-y)(1-y\pm 2n\mathcal{B})}{\mathcal{B}}\right)$$

The integral expression for the magnetic mass correction becomes

$$\begin{split} \delta m_B^{(\pm)}|_{\mathcal{R}_3} &= \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}^1 dy \bigg[\frac{(2-y)(1-y)}{y} \Gamma\bigg(0, i\frac{(1-y)^2}{\mathcal{B}}\bigg) \\ &= i \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^n \bigg\{ \Gamma\bigg(0, i\frac{(1-y)(1-y-2n\mathcal{B})}{\mathcal{B}}\bigg) - \Gamma\bigg(0, i\frac{(1-y)(1-y+2n\mathcal{B})}{\mathcal{B}}\bigg) \bigg\} \bigg] \end{split}$$

From the series representation of the incomplete Gamma function, the LLL (n = 0) contribution yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} (1-y)(2-y)\Gamma[0, i\mathcal{B}^{-1}(1-y)^2] = 2[\ln(\mathcal{B})]^2 - \left(2\gamma + \frac{5}{2} + i\pi\right)\ln(\mathcal{B}) + O(\mathcal{B}^0)$$

Therefore, the magnetic mass correction at the leading order is

$$\delta m_B^{(\pm)}|_{\mathcal{R}_3} = \frac{2me^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left\{ 2[\ln(\mathcal{B})]^2 - \left[2\gamma + \frac{5}{2} + i\pi\right] \ln(\mathcal{B}) \right\} + O(\mathcal{B}^0)$$

Real and imaginary parts for both spin projections



Analysis of our results

The inverse "dressed" propagator can be written, after Dyson's equation

$$\begin{split} -iS_F(p)]^{-1} &= \not p - m - \Sigma(p, B) \\ &= (\hat{O}^{(+)} + \hat{O}^{(-)})(\not p - m) - \hat{O}^{(+)}\Sigma^{(+)}(p, B) - \hat{O}^{(-)}\Sigma^{(-)}(p, B) \\ &= \hat{O}^{(+)}[-i\Delta_F^{(+)}(p)]^{-1} + \hat{O}^{(-)}[-i\Delta_F^{(-)}(p)]^{-1} \end{split}$$

The dressed fermion propagator for each spin projection

$$\Delta_F^{(\pm)}(p) = \frac{i}{\not p - m - \Sigma^{(\pm)}(p, B) + i\epsilon}$$

The physical mass is renormalized by the real part of the selfenergy

$$m_B^{(\pm)} = m + \operatorname{Re}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m, B)$$

 $\operatorname{Re}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B) \sim [\ln(\mathcal{B})]^2$

What is the role of the imaginary part?

$$\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B) \sim -\ln(\mathcal{B})$$

$$\Delta_{F}^{(\pm)}(p) = \frac{i}{\not p - m_{B}^{(\pm)} - i \mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m, B) + i\epsilon} \sim i \frac{\not p + m_{B}^{(\pm)} + i \mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m, B)}{p^{2} - (m_{B}^{(\pm)})^{2} - 2i m_{B}^{(\pm)} \mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m, B)}$$

Breit-Wigner resonance

$$\Gamma^{(\pm)} = -2\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma^{(\pm)}}{m_B^{(\pm)}} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B)}{m_B^{(\pm)}} \sim \frac{\ln(\mathcal{B})}{[\ln(\mathcal{B})]^2} \sim [\ln(\mathcal{B})]^{-1}$$

Relative spectral width of the resonance decays to zero as $\mathcal{B} \to \infty$

Spectral density is a Lorentzian

$$\tilde{\rho}(p^2) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{p^2 - (m_B^{(\pm)})^2 + im_B^{(\pm)}\Gamma^{(\pm)} + i\epsilon}\right) \sim \frac{m_B^{(\pm)}\Gamma^{(\pm)}/\pi}{\left(p^2 - (m_B^{(\pm)})^2\right)^2 + \left[m_B^{(\pm)}\Gamma^{(\pm)}\right]^2}$$



Conclusions

- We revisited the problem of the fermion mass renormalization due to a "classical" magnetic field background in QED
- We find that the self-energy depends on the spin polarization (Zeeman-like coupling)
- The self-energy displays both a real and an imaginary part, that for large fields

 $\operatorname{Re}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B) \sim [\ln(\mathcal{B})]^2 \qquad \operatorname{Im}\Sigma^{(\pm)}(m,B) \sim -\ln(\mathcal{B})$

- The renormalized mass depends on the spin polarization, and is determined by the real part of the self-energy
- The imaginary part develops a spectral broadening (Breit-Wigner resonance) due to the contribution of all the Landau levels in a Lorentzian distribution
- As the magnetic field grows very large, the relative spectral width decreases and the Lorentzian converges to a delta function, with a definite mass arising from the lowest Landau level n = 0

THANK YOU!

Fondecyt No 1190361





