# **Mesoscopic Physics of Photons**

#### Eric Akkermans



School on Light and Cold Atoms, March. 6-17, 2023, Sao Paulo, Brazil, ICTP-SAIFR/IFT-UNESP.

Based on *Mesoscopic physics of electrons and photons*, by Eric Akkermans and Gilles Montambaux, Cambridge University Press, 2007



School on Light and Cold Atoms, March. 6-17, 2023, Sao Paulo, Brazil, ICTP-SAIFR/IFT-UNESP.

### **Introduction to mesoscopic physics**

• The Aharonov-Bohm effect in disordered conductors.

- The Aharonov-Bohm effect in disordered conductors.
- Phase coherence and effect of disorder.

- The Aharonov-Bohm effect in disordered conductors.
- Phase coherence and effect of disorder.
- Average coherence: Sharvin<sup>2</sup>effect and coherent backscattering.

- The Aharonov-Bohm effect in disordered conductors.
- Phase coherence and effect of disorder.
- Average coherence: Sharvin<sup>2</sup>effect and coherent backscattering.
- Phase coherence and self-averaging: universal fluctuations.

- The Aharonov-Bohm effect in disordered conductors.
- Phase coherence and effect of disorder.
- Average coherence: Sharvin<sup>2</sup>effect and coherent backscattering.
- Phase coherence and self-averaging: universal fluctuations.
- Classical probability and quantum crossings.

#### The framework :

Multiple scattering of waves

### Multiple scattering of waves



Multiple scattering of waves





### Multiple scattering of waves





2 characteristic lengths:

Wavelength:  $\lambda_F = k_F^{-1}$ Elastic mean free path: l



2 characteristic lengths:

Wavelength:  $\lambda_F = k_F^{-1}$ Elastic mean free path: l

Weak disorder  $\lambda_F \ll l$ : independent scattering events

A "canonical" mesoscopic effect

## The Aharonov-Bohm effect

Aharonov-Bohm (1959)

#### Aharonov-Bohm effect



#### Aharonov-Bohm effect



The quantum amplitudes  $a_{1,2} = |a_{1,2}|e^{i\delta_{1,2}}$  have phases:

$$\delta_1 = \delta_1^{(0)} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_1 \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$
 and  $\delta_2 = \delta_2^{(0)} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_2 \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ 

 $I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2$ 

 $I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 2|a_1a_2|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$ 

 $I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 2|a_1a_2|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$  $= I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$ 

 $I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 2|a_1a_2|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$  $= I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$ 

The phase difference  $\Delta \delta(\phi) = \delta_1 - \delta_2$  is modulated by the magnetic flux  $\phi$ 

$$I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 2|a_1a_2|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$$
$$= I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$$

The phase difference  $\Delta \delta(\phi) = \delta_1 - \delta_2$  is modulated by the magnetic flux  $\phi$ :

$$\Delta\delta(\phi) = \delta_1^{(0)} - \delta_2^{(0)} + \frac{e}{\hbar} \oint \mathbf{A}.d\mathbf{l} = \Delta\delta^{(0)} + 2\pi \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}$$

where  $\phi_0 = h/e$  is the quantum of magnetic flux.

$$I(\phi) = |a_1 + a_2|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + 2|a_1a_2|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$$
$$= I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$$

The phase difference  $\Delta \delta(\phi) = \delta_1 - \delta_2$  is modulated by the magnetic flux  $\phi$ :

$$\Delta\delta(\phi) = \delta_1^{(0)} - \delta_2^{(0)} + \frac{e}{\hbar} \oint \mathbf{A}.d\mathbf{l} = \Delta\delta^{(0)} + 2\pi \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}$$

where  $\phi_0 = h/e$  is the quantum of magnetic flux.

There is a continuous change of the state of interference:

Aharonov-Bohm effect (1959).





elastic mean free path



elastic mean free path



elastic mean free path





$$G(\phi) = G_0 + \delta G \cos(\Delta \delta^{(0)} + 2\pi \frac{\phi}{\phi_0})$$

Phase coherent effects subsist in disordered metals. Reconsider the Drude theory.

*Webb et al.* 1985

### Phase coherence and effect of disorder

The Webb experiment has been realized on a ring of size  $1 \, \mu m$ 

### Phase coherence and effect of disorder

The Webb experiment has been realized on a ring of size  $1 \, \mu m$ For a macroscopic normal metal, coherent effects are washed out.

#### Phase coherence and effect of disorder

The Webb experiment has been realized on a ring of size  $1 \, \mu m$ For a macroscopic normal metal, coherent effects are washed out.

 $\Rightarrow$  It must exist a characteristic length  $L_{\phi}$  called phase coherence length beyond which all coherent effects disappear.

Coupling to a bath of excitations: thermal excitations of the lattice (phonons)

Coupling to a bath of excitations: thermal excitations of the lattice (phonons) Chaotic dynamical systems (large recurrence times, Feynman chain)

Coupling to a bath of excitations: thermal excitations of the lattice (phonons) Chaotic dynamical systems (large recurrence times, Feynman chain)

Electron-electron interactions,....
Vanishing of quantum coherence results from the existence of incoherent and irreversible processes associated to the coupling of electrons to their surrounding (additional degrees of freedom) :

Coupling to a bath of excitations: thermal excitations of the lattice (phonons) Chaotic dynamical systems (large recurrence times, Feynman chain)

Electron-electron interactions,....

The understanding of decoherence is difficult. It is a great challenge in quantum mesoscopic physics. The phase coherence length  $L_{\phi}$  accounts in a generic way for decoherence processes.

Vanishing of quantum coherence results from the existence of incoherent and irreversible processes associated to the coupling of electrons to their surrounding (additional degrees of freedom) :

Coupling to a bath of excitations: thermal excitations of the lattice (phonons) Chaotic dynamical systems (large recurrence times, Feynman chain)

Electron-electron interactions,....

The understanding of decoherence is difficult. It is a great challenge in quantum mesoscopic physics. The phase coherence length  $L_{\phi}$  accounts in a generic way for decoherence processes.

The observation of coherent effects requires

 $L << L_{\phi}$ 

What is the role of elastic disorder? Does it erase coherent effects?

What is the role of elastic disorder? Does it erase coherent effects?

Phase coherence leads to interference effects for a *given realization of disorder*.

What is the role of elastic disorder? Does it erase coherent effects?

Phase coherence leads to interference effects for a *given realization of disorder*.

The *Webb* experiment corresponds to a <u>fixed configuration of disorder</u>.

Averaging over disorder —>vanishing of the Aharonov-Bohm effect

What is the role of elastic disorder? Does it erase coherent effects?

Phase coherence leads to interference effects for a *given realization of disorder*.

The *Webb* experiment corresponds to a <u>fixed configuration of disorder</u>.

Averaging over disorder —>vanishing of the Aharonov-Bohm effect

Disorder seems to erase coherent effects....

Formulate the same question : disorder vs. coherent effects in optics

#### An analogous problem: Speckle patterns in optics

Consider the elastic multiple scattering of light transmitted through a fixed disorder configuration.



#### An analogous problem: Speckle patterns in optics

Consider the elastic multiple scattering of light transmitted through a fixed disorder configuration.



Outgoing light builds a speckle pattern *i.e.*, an interference picture:



# Averaging over disorder erases the speckle pattern:

#### Integration over the motion of the scatterers leads to self-averaging



Time averaging

There is an equivalent for the Aharonov-Bohm effect



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



The signal modulated at  $\phi_0$  disappears



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



The signal modulated at  $\phi_0$  disappears but, instead, it appears a new contribution modulated at  $\phi_0/2$ 



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



The signal modulated at  $\phi_0$  disappears but, instead, it appears a new contribution modulated at  $\phi_0/2$ 



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



The signal modulated at  $\phi_0$  disappears but, instead, it appears a new contribution modulated at  $\phi_0/2$ 

After all, disorder does not seem to erase coherent effects, but to modify them....

#### What about speckle patterns?





#### What about speckle patterns ?





Averaging over disorder does not produce incoherent intensity only, but also an angular dependent part, the <u>coherent backscattering</u>, which is a coherence effect. We may conclude:

#### What about speckle patterns ?





Averaging over disorder does not produce incoherent intensity only, but also an angular dependent part, the <u>coherent backscattering</u>, which is a coherence effect. We may conclude:

Elastic disorder is not related to decoherence : disorder does not destroy phase coherence and does not introduce irreversibility.



Complex amplitude  $A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'})$ associated to the multiple scattering of a wave (electron or photon) incident with a wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and outgoing with  $\mathbf{k'}$ 



Complex amplitude  $A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'})$ associated to the multiple scattering of a wave (electron or photon) incident with a wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and outgoing with  $\mathbf{k'}$ 

$$A({\bf k},{\bf k}') = \sum_{{\bf r_1},{\bf r_2}} f({\bf r_1},{\bf r_2}) e^{i({\bf k}.{\bf r_1}-{\bf k}'.{\bf r_2})}$$



Complex amplitude  $A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'})$ associated to the multiple scattering of a wave (electron or photon) incident with a wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and outgoing with  $\mathbf{k'}$ 

$$A({\bf k},{\bf k}') = \sum_{{\bf r_1},{\bf r_2}} f({\bf r_1},{\bf r_2}) e^{i({\bf k}.{\bf r_1}-{\bf k}'.{\bf r_2})}$$

the complex amplitude  $f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}) = \sum_{j} |a_j| e^{i\delta_j}$  describes the propagation of the wave between  $\mathbf{r_1}$  and  $\mathbf{r_2}$ .

# $|A(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}} \sum_{\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}} f(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}) f^*(\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_1}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_2})} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_3}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_4})}$

$$|A(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}} \sum_{\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}} f(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}) f^*(\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_1}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_2})} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_3}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_4})}$$

with

$$f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})f^*(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} a_j(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})a^*_{j'}(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} |a_j| |a_{j'}| e^{i(\delta_j - \delta_{j'})}$$

$$|A(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}} \sum_{\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}} f(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}) f^*(\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_1}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_2})} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_3}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_4})}$$

#### with

$$f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})f^*(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} a_j(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})a_{j'}^*(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} |a_j| |a_{j'}| e^{i(\delta_j - \delta_{j'})}$$



On average over disorder, most contributions to  $ff^*$  disappear since the dephasing  $\delta_j - \delta_{j'} \gg 1$ 

$$|A(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}} \sum_{\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}} f(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2}) f^*(\mathbf{r_3},\mathbf{r_4}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_1}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_2})} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r_3}-\mathbf{k}'.\mathbf{r_4})}$$

#### with

$$f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})f^*(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} a_j(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})a_{j'}^*(\mathbf{r_3}, \mathbf{r_4}) = \sum_{j,j'} |a_j| |a_{j'}| e^{i(\delta_j - \delta_{j'})}$$



On average over disorder, most contributions to  $ff^*$  disappear since the dephasing  $\delta_j - \delta_{j'} \gg 1$ 

The only remaining contributions to the intensity correspond to terms with zero dephasing, *i.e.*, to identical trajectories.



 $r_1 \rightarrow r_a \rightarrow r_b \cdots \rightarrow r_y \rightarrow r_z \rightarrow r_2$ 



$$\mathbf{r_1} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_a} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_b} \cdots 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_y} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_z} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_2}$$

<u>Reciprocity theorem:</u> If I see you, then you see me.





$$\mathbf{r_1} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_a} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_b} \cdots 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_y} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_z} 
ightarrow \mathbf{r_2}$$

<u>Reciprocity theorem:</u> If I see you, then you see me.

 $r_{2} \rightarrow r_{z} \rightarrow r_{y} \cdots \rightarrow r_{b} \rightarrow r_{a} \rightarrow r_{1}$ 



$$r_1 \rightarrow r_a \rightarrow r_b \cdots \rightarrow r_y \rightarrow r_z \rightarrow r_2$$

<u>Reciprocity theorem:</u> If I see you, then you see me.

 $r_2 \rightarrow r_z \rightarrow r_y \cdots \rightarrow r_b \rightarrow r_a \rightarrow r_1$ 

The total average intensity is:

$$\overline{|A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')|^2} = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}} |f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})|^2 \Big[ 1 + e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2})} \Big]$$





$$\overline{|A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')|^2} = \overline{\sum_{\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}} |f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})|^2 \left[1 + e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2})}\right]}$$

Generally, the interference term vanishes due to the sum over  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , except for two notable cases:

$$\overline{|A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')|^2} = \overline{\sum_{\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}} |f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})|^2 \left[1 + e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2})}\right]}$$

Generally, the interference term vanishes due to the sum over  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , except for two notable cases:

 $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' \simeq 0$  : Coherent backscattering

$$\overline{|A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')|^2} = \overline{\sum_{\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}} |f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})|^2 \left[1 + e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2})}\right]}$$

Generally, the interference term vanishes due to the sum over  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , except for two notable cases:

 $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' \simeq 0$  : Coherent backscattering



#### Coherent backscattering
#### What about speckle patterns ?





Averaging over disorder does not produce incoherent intensity only, but also an angular dependent part, the <u>coherent backscattering</u>, which is a coherence effect.

$$\overline{|A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')|^2} = \sum_{\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}} |f(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})|^2 \Big[ 1 + e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2})} \Big]$$

Generally, the interference term vanishes due to the sum over  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , except for two notable cases:

 $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' \simeq 0$  : Coherent backscattering

 $\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2} \simeq 0$ : closed loops, weak localization and  $\phi_0/2$  periodicity of the Sharvin effect.

# The Sharvin<sup>2</sup> experiment



Experiment analogous to that of *Webb* but performed on a hollow cylinder of height larger than  $L_{\phi}$  pierced by a Aharonov-Bohm flux. Ensemble of rings identical to those of *Webb* but incoherent between themselves.



The signal modulated at  $\phi_0$  disappears but, instead, it appears a new contribution modulated at  $\phi_0/2$  Quantum complexity

Random quantum systems (quantum complexity)

Disorder does not break phase coherence and it does not introduce irreversibility

Random quantum systems (quantum complexity)

Disorder does not break phase coherence and it does not introduce irreversibility It introduces randomness and complexity: all symmetries are lost, there are no good quantum numbers.

#### Exemple: speckle patterns in optics

Diffraction through a circular aperture: order in interference



#### Exemple: speckle patterns in optics

Diffraction through a circular aperture: order in interference





Transmission of light through a disordered suspension: complex system

• Most (all ?) quantum systems are complex

- Most (all ?) quantum systems are complex
- Complexity (randomness) and decoherence are separate and independent notions.

- -

\_ \_ \_ \_

- Most (all ?) quantum systems are complex
- Complexity (randomness) and decoherence are separate and independent notions.
- Complexity: loss of symmetries (good quantum numbers)

- Most (all ?) quantum systems are complex
- Complexity (randomness) and decoherence are separate and independent notions.
- Complexity: loss of symmetries (good quantum numbers)
- Decoherence: irreversible loss of quantum coherence  $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

- Most (all ?) quantum systems are complex
- Complexity (randomness) and decoherence are separate and independent notions.
- Complexity: loss of symmetries (good quantum numbers)
- Decoherence: irreversible loss of quantum coherence  $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

A mesoscopic quantum system is a coherent complex quantum system with  $L \leq L_{\varphi}$ 

# An Exemple

Classical limit :  $L \gg L_{\varphi}$ 

#### Classical limit : $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

The system is a collection of  $N = (L/L_{\varphi})^d \gg 1$ 

statistically independent subsystems.

#### Classical limit : $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

The system is a collection of  $N = (L/L_{\varphi})^d \gg 1$ statistically independent subsystems.

A macroscopic observable defined in each subsystem takes independent random values in each of the N pieces.

#### Classical limit : $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

The system is a collection of  $N = (L/L_{\varphi})^d \gg 1$ statistically independent subsystems.

A macroscopic observable defined in each subsystem takes independent random values in each of the N pieces.

Law of large numbers: any macroscopic observable is equal with probability one to its average value.

#### Classical limit : $L \gg L_{\varphi}$

The system is a collection of  $N = (L/L_{\varphi})^d \gg 1$ statistically independent subsystems.

A macroscopic observable defined in each subsystem takes independent random values in each of the N pieces.

Law of large numbers: any macroscopic observable is equal with probability one to its average value.

The system performs an average over realizations of the disorder.

For  $L \ll L_{\varphi}$ , we expect deviations from self-averaging which reflect the underlying quantum coherence.

For  $L \ll L_{\varphi}$ , we expect deviations from self-averaging which reflect the underlying quantum coherence.

Need:

• a good understanding of the phase coherence length  $L_{\varphi}$ 

For  $L \ll L_{\varphi}$ , we expect deviations from self-averaging which reflect the underlying quantum coherence.

Need:

- a good understanding of the phase coherence length  $L_{\varphi}$
- a description of fluctuations and coherence in a quantum complex system.

A metal can be modeled as a quantum gas of electrons scattered by an elastic disorder.

A metal can be modeled as a quantum gas of electrons scattered by an elastic disorder.

At T=0 and in the absence of decoherence, it is a complex quantum system.

A metal can be modeled as a quantum gas of electrons scattered by an elastic disorder.

At T=0 and in the absence of decoherence, it is a complex quantum system.

Due to disorder there is a finite conductance which is a quantum observable.

A metal can be modeled as a quantum gas of electrons scattered by an elastic disorder.

At T=0 and in the absence of decoherence, it is a complex quantum system.

Due to disorder there is a finite conductance which is a quantum observable.

Classically, the conductance of a cubic sample of volume  $L^d$  is given by Ohm's law:  $G = \sigma L^{d-2}$  where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity.

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

where  $\delta G = \sqrt{\overline{G^2} - \overline{G}^2}$  and  $\overline{G} = \sigma L^{d-2}$ 

···· is the average over disorder.

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

where  $\delta G = \sqrt{\overline{G^2} - \overline{G}^2}$  and  $\overline{G} = \sigma L^{d-2}$ 

···· is the average over disorder.

 $\delta G^2 \propto L^{d-4}$ 

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

where  $\delta G = \sqrt{\overline{G^2} - \overline{G}^2}$  and  $\overline{G} = \sigma L^{d-2}$ 

···· is the average over disorder.

 $\delta G^2 \propto L^{d-4}$ 

In contrast, a mesocopic quantum system is such that :  $\delta G \simeq \frac{e^2}{h}$ 

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

where  $\delta G = \sqrt{\overline{G^2} - \overline{G}^2}$  and  $\overline{G} = \sigma L^{d-2}$ 

···· is the average over disorder.

 $\delta G^2 \propto L^{d-4}$ 

In contrast, a mesocopic quantum system is such that :  $\delta G \simeq \frac{e^2}{h}$ 

Fluctuations are quantum, large and independent of the source of disorder : they are called universal.

Classical self-averaging limit :  $\frac{\delta G}{\overline{G}} = \frac{1}{N} = \left(\frac{L_{\varphi}}{L}\right)^{d/2}$ 

where  $\delta G = \sqrt{\overline{G^2} - \overline{G}^2}$  and  $\overline{G} = \sigma L^{d-2}$ 

···· is the average over disorder.

 $\delta G^2 \propto L^{d-4}$ 

In contrast, a mesocopic quantum system is such that :  $\delta G \simeq \frac{e^2}{h}$ 

Fluctuations are quantum, large and independent of the source of disorder : they are called universal.

In the mesoscopic limit, the electrical conductance is not self-averaging.

