Bayesian Machine Learning for Scientific Research

Maximizing information from data

Ezequiel Alvarez Organizer: Rogerio Rosenfeld <u>sequi@unsam.edu.ar</u> ICTP-SAIFR October 2024



I'm not a statistician...I'm learning!

Disclaimer

I'm not a statistician...I'm learning!

J.L.Borges: La fama (1981)



The capacity of a machine to provide an answer in the cases for which it has not been programmed

Popular definition

The capacity of a machine to provide an answer in the cases for which it has not been programmed

Popular definition

To learn the pattern in the data and provide answers according to that pattern

More technical

The capacity of a machine to provide an answer in the cases for which it has not been programmed

Popular definition

To learn the pattern in the data and provide answers according to that pattern

More technical

To learn the PDF of the data and then being able to assess, predict , generate, etc.

More scientific

The capacity of a machine to provide an answer in the cases for which it has not been programmed

Popular definition

Bayesian: assume data being sampled from a PDF, infer its parameters and learn the internal structure of the data

To learn the pattern in the data and provide answers according to that pattern

More technical

To learn the PDF of the data and then being able to assess, predict , generate, etc.

More scientific





- **Data:** Measure N times the length of the table
- Information: An estimation on the length of the table



- **Data:** Measure N times the length of the table
- Information: An estimation on the length of the table

There is not such a thing as intrinsic information in the Data

















Science data is very complex and sophisticated



Different tools can explore differently this frontier



Different tools can explore differently this frontier











The danger of NN in natural sciences

Statistics > Machine Learning

[Submitted on 28 May 2024 (v1), last revised 31 May 2024 (this version, v2)]

V > stat > arXiv:2405.18095

Is machine learning good or bad for the natural sciences?

David W. Hogg (NYU, MPIA, Flatiron), Soledad Villar (JHU, Flatiron)

Machine learning (ML) methods are having a huge impact across all of the sciences. However, ML has a strong ontology - in which only the data exist - and a strong epistemology - in which a model is considered good if it performs well on held-out training data. These philosophies are in strong conflict with both standard practices and key philosophies in the natural sciences. Here we identify some locations for ML in the natural sciences at which the ontology and epistemology are valuable. For example, when an expressive machine learning model is used in a causal inference to represent the effects of confounders, such as foregrounds, backgrounds, or instrument calibration parameters, the model capacity and loose philosophy of ML can make the results more trustworthy. We also show that there are contexts in which the introduction of ML introduces strong, unwanted statistical biases. For one, when ML models are used to emulate physical (or first-principles) simulations, they amplify confirmation biases. For another, when expressive regressions are used to label datasets, those labels cannot be used in downstream joint or ensemble analyses without taking on uncontrolled biases. The question in the title is being asked of all of the natural sciences; that is, we are calling on the scientific communities to take a step back and consider the role and value of ML in their fields; the (partial) answers we give here come from the particular perspective of physics.

Bayesian ML for Scientific Research



• Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples
- Lecture 3: Mixture models

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples
- Lecture 3: Mixture models
- Lecture 4: Consistency between data, model and results

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples
- Lecture 3: Mixture models
- Lecture 4: Consistency between data, model and results
- Lecture 5: Mixture models for non-parametric distributions

Lectures

setup

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples
- Lecture 3: Mixture models
- Lecture 4: Consistency between data, model and results
- Lecture 5: Mixture models for non-parametric distributions ~3hs



Lectures

setup

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*
- Lecture 2: Simple Bayesian examples
- Lecture 3: Mixture models
- Lecture 4: Consistency between data, model and results
- Lecture 5: Mixture models for non-parametric distributions ~3hs


Introduction to Bayesian *techniques*



Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Used in very different contexts





Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Our utility: X = data, θ=parameters

Model data as being sampled from a clever PDF with parameters θ

Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Our utility: X = data, θ=parameters



Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Our utility: X = data, θ=parameters

Model data as being sampled from a clever PDF with parameters θ

Infer θ once you see the data X

Connect θ to physical parameters of interest



Bayesian Inference Bayes Theorem:

 $p(\theta \mid X) = \underline{p(X \mid \theta) * p(\theta)}$ p(x)

Our utility: X = data, θ =parameters

Model data as being sampled from a clever PDF with parameters θ

Infer θ once you see the data X

Connect θ to physical parameters of interest

Data comes from real

physical process not from

a PDF!

Inject prior knowledge exactly where it goes!

The Art of Modelling

Graphical Models

Graphical Models

- Build sophisticated PDFs
- Visualize inner structure
- Maximize prior knowledge exploitation

Science: Mixture models





Dataset X:

- Signal
- Few backgrounds

Science: Mixture models





Dataset X:

- Signal
- Few backgrounds
- Each event is either

signal or one of the backgrounds

Science: Mixture models



Graphical representation of a PDF to easily visualize the internal structure of the random variables

At each event, sample a multinomial random variable that decides whether is signal or some of the backgrounds

(K classes)





Depending on the class of the event, we sample D random independent variables of what *we measure*



Depending on the class of the event, we sample D random independent variables of what *we measure*

Convention:

Empty circles: Sampled and unobserved RV

Filled circles: Sampled and observed RV





Procedure that is repeated N times



Each one of the K classes has an *expected distribution* over the measured quantities





Hyperparameters to define how to sample parameters



Mixture Model



Mixture Model

 Model data as being sampled from a PDF



Mixture Model

- Model data as being sampled from a PDF
- Plug our prior knowledge



Mixture Model

- Model data as being sampled from a PDF
- Plug our prior knowledge



Mixture Model

- Model data as being sampled from a PDF
- Plug our prior knowledge
- Infer the parameters conditioned in the observed data

 $p(\theta \mid X) = p(X \mid \theta) p(\theta) / p(x)$



Mixture Model

- Model data as being sampled from a PDF
- Plug our prior knowledge
- Infer the parameters conditioned in the observed data

 $p(\theta \,|\, X) = p(X \,|\, \theta) \; p(\theta) \; / \; p(x)$

• Infer the latent variables





• No hard cuts



- No hard cuts
- Soft assignments



- No hard cuts
- Soft assignments
- No signal/control regions



- No hard cuts
- Soft assignments
- No signal/control regions
- K classes &
 D observables
Bayesian Inference



- No hard cuts
- Soft assignments
- No signal/control regions
- K classes &
 D observables
- Unfolding of data internal structure

Bayesian Inference



- No hard cuts
- Soft assignments
- No signal/control regions
- K classes &
 D observables
- Unfolding of data internal structure
- Controlled injection of prior knowledge

Simple example to settle down the ideas



Measure the height of many people





Measure the height of many people

Is not hard to see with enough events (500k)





Measure the height of many people

Gets more involved with less events! (100)

z_n ~Bernoulli



Graphical Model

Gets more involved with less events! (100)



Gets more involved with less events! (100)



Graphical Model

Gets more involved with less events! (100)

Height [cm]



Gets more involved with less events! (100)



Gets more involved with less events! (100)



Gets more involved with less events! (100)



Gets more involved with less events! (100)







 $X : \{h_n\}$ θ: $μ_{1,2}$, $σ_{1,2}$, π, { z_n }



$$\begin{array}{ll} X: \{ h_n \} & \mbox{marginalize} \\ \theta: \ \mu_{1,2} \,, \, \sigma_{1,2} \,, \, \pi \,, \{ z_n \, \} \end{array}$$



X: { h_n }
$$\theta: \mu_{1,2}, \sigma_{1,2}, \pi, \{z_n\}$$

$$P(x_{n} | \theta) = \pi N(h_{n}; \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}) + (1-\pi) N(h_{n}; \mu_{2}, \sigma_{2})$$



$$P(x_n | \theta) = \pi N(h_n; \mu_1, \sigma_1) + (1-\pi) N(h_n; \mu_2, \sigma_2)$$

 $P(X | \theta) = prod(P(x_n | \theta))$



X: { h_n }

$$\theta: \mu_{1,2}, \sigma_{1,2}, \pi, \{z_n\}$$

Likelihood
 $P(x_n | \theta) = \pi N(h_n; \mu_1, \sigma_1) + (1-\pi) N(h_n; \mu_2, \sigma_2)$

 $P(X | \theta) = prod(P(x_n | \theta))$



Final remarks @ Lecture 1

Final remarks @ Lecture 1

- Bayes equation very insightful !
- Model data as being sampled from a PDF
- Graphical Models to create PDFs
- Bayesian: learn PDF from data as it comes out
- Many tools and art-et-metier to learn !

Final remarks @ Lecture 1

iArxiv.org model (LDA Model)

Simple game



- Propose model
- Infer parameters' posterior from observed data

Some open questions @ Lecture 1

- How do we actually *infer* ?
- How do we check consistency ?
- How much can priors affect my results?
- etc...

Some open questions @ Lecture 1

- How do we actually *infer* ?
- How do we check consistency ?
- How much can priors affect my results?
- etc...



Some open questions @ Lecture 1

- How do we actually *infer* ?
- How do we check consistency ?
- How much can priors affect my results?
- etc...

