Many-body Open Quantum Systems

## Boundary Time Crystals

Fernando Iemini

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminese (UFF), Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil



Instituto de Física

Universidade Federal Fluminense

- What is a Time Crystal?

#### - Landau's symmetry breaking is a cornerstone of modern physics:

. equilibrium *spontaneuos symmetry breaking (SSB)* occurs when the ground state or low-temperature states of a system fail to be invariant under symmetries of the Hamiltonian;

#### - Landau's symmetry breaking is a cornerstone of modern physics:

. equilibrium *spontaneuos symmetry breaking (SSB)* occurs when the ground state or low-temperature states of a system fail to be invariant under symmetries of the Hamiltonian;

Ferromagnetism (spin rotation SB)



#### - Landau's symmetry breaking is a cornerstone of modern physics:

. equilibrium *spontaneuos symmetry breaking (SSB)* occurs when the ground state or low-temperature states of a system fail to be invariant under symmetries of the Hamiltonian;

Ferromagnetism (spin rotation SB)



Crystal lattices (space translation SB)



#### - Landau's symmetry breaking is a cornerstone of modern physics:

. equilibrium *spontaneuos symmetry breaking (SSB)* occurs when the ground state or low-temperature states of a system fail to be invariant under symmetries of the Hamiltonian;

Ferromagnetism (spin rotation SB)



Crystal lattices (space translation SB)



Charge-density-waves (discrete SB)

. spin-density-waves, superconductors, liquid crystals...

#### - Landau's symmetry breaking is a cornerstone of modern physics:

. equilibrium *spontaneuos symmetry breaking (SSB)* occurs when the ground state or low-temperature states of a system fail to be invariant under symmetries of the Hamiltonian;



. spin-density-waves, superconductors, liquid crystals...

- Can <u>time-translational invariance</u> be spontaneously broken? (F. Wilczek, PRL. 109, 160401 (2012))

*ring particle model:* **ground states** with moving "lumps"





ring particle model: ground states

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \langle \rho(x,t) \rho(x',t') \rangle \longrightarrow f(t,t')$$
$$|x - x'| \to \infty$$

 $\rho(x,t) = \text{local order parameter}$ 

#### - intense discussion:

...

T. Li et al, PRL 109, 163001(2012);P. Bruno, PRL 110, 118901 (2013);G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 98, 491 (2013);

- **arguments:** rotating particles should radiate, incorrect ground state ansatz...



$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \langle \rho(x,t) \rho(x',t') \rangle \longrightarrow f(t,t')$$
$$|x - x'| \to \infty$$

 $\rho(x,t) = \text{local order parameter}$ 

#### - intense discussion:

...

T. Li et al, PRL 109, 163001(2012);P. Bruno, PRL 110, 118901 (2013);G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 98, 491 (2013);

- **arguments:** rotating particles should radiate, incorrect ground state ansatz...



$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \langle \rho(x,t) \rho(x',t') \rangle \longrightarrow f(t,t')$$
$$|x - x'| \to \infty$$

 $\rho(x,t) = \text{local order parameter}$ 

- **No-go theorem:** systems in thermal equilibrium cannot manifest any time-crystalline behavior (\*short-range Hamiltonians)

(H. Watanabe and M. Oshikawa, PRL 114, 251603 (2015))

- right context should be out of equilibrium: *e.g.*, *preparing the system in an excited state*, *driven dynamics*, ...

- right context should be out of equilibrium: *e.g.*, *preparing the system in an excited state*, *driven dynamics*, ...

- First (and important) steps on periodically driven systems (Floquet dynamics):

$$\hat{H}(t+T) = \hat{H}(t)$$
  $T = \text{ period}$ 

- right context should be out of equilibrium: *e.g.*, *preparing the system in an excited state*, *driven dynamics*, ...

- First (and important) steps on periodically driven systems (Floquet dynamics):

$$\hat{H}(t+T) = \hat{H}(t)$$
  $T = \text{ period}$ 



## nitrogen-vacancy defects in diamond

S. Choi et al., Nature 543, 221 (2017).





#### nitrogen-vacancy defects in diamond

S. Choi et al., Nature 543, 221 (2017).







period-doubling dynamics



#### nitrogen-vacancy defects in diamond

S. Choi et al., Nature 543, 221 (2017).





## **Discrete Time Crystals:** (variety of platforms)

- MBL (short-range);
- Quantum Scars;
- Stark localisation;
- Long-range spins;
- Clock models (n-tuplings)

- ...

#### period-doubling dynamics

# a <sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup> 2μm

#### nitrogen-vacancy defects in diamond

S. Choi et al., Nature 543, 221 (2017).





period-doubling dynamics

**Discrete Time Crystals:** (variety of platforms)

- MBL (short-range);
- Quantum Scars;
- Stark localisation;
- Long-range spins;
- Clock models (n-tuplings)

- ...

What about *continuous time crystals*?

(avoid somehow no-go theorem...)

### **Boundary Time Crystals**



- We focus on the dynamics (and possible SSB) of the *boundary* of the system;
  - . equivalently, in open systems (which are the *boundary* of an environment)

. idea ~ *surface* critical phenomena: only the surface, representing a (macroscopic) portion of the system is ordered.

PRL 121, 035301 (2018)

## **Boundary Time Crystals**



- We focus on the dynamics (and possible SSB) of the *boundary* of the system;

. equivalently, in open systems (which are the *boundary* of an environment)

. idea ~ *surface* critical phenomena: only the surface, representing a (macroscopic) portion of the system is ordered.

- automatically out of "no-go theorem"

. intrinsically out of equilibrium situation;

. while no-go prevents SSB in the *whole* system, the boundary is just a *fraction* of it, thus do not violate the theorem PRL 121, 035301 (2018)



• Specifically, we search:

$$\langle \hat{O}_S \rangle = f(t)$$

- . while the environment is trivial;
- . boundary feature nontrivial dynamics, breaking time symmetry



• Specifically, we search:

$$\langle \hat{O}_S \rangle = f(t)$$

- . while the environment is trivial;
- . boundary feature nontrivial dynamics, breaking time symmetry
- **Many-body** *phases*, defined in the macroscopic limit:

$$rac{N_S}{N_E} 
ightarrow 0 \quad (N_S, N_E 
ightarrow \infty)$$
  $N_S = ext{system (boundary) degrees} of ext{freedom}$  of freedom  $N_E = ext{environment degrees of} ext{freedom}$ 

i.e., macroscopic system, but still small/infinitesimal compared to the global system.



• Specifically, we search:

$$\langle \hat{O}_S \rangle = f(t)$$

- . while the environment is trivial;
- . boundary feature nontrivial dynamics, breaking time symmetry
- **Many-body** *phases*, defined in the macroscopic limit:

$$rac{N_S}{N_E} 
ightarrow 0 \quad (N_S, N_E 
ightarrow \infty)$$
  $N_S = ext{system (boundary) degrees} of ext{freedom}$  of freedom  $N_E = ext{environment degrees of} ext{freedom}$ 

. .

i.e., **macroscopic system**, but still small/infinitesimal compared to the global system.

Can Boundary Time Crystals appear in Lindbladian dynamics?

- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"



- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"



- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"



- ordinary fluorescence:
  - . atoms spontaneous decay;
  - . independently from each other;
  - . isotropic/constant radiation

- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"



- ordinary fluorescence:
  - . atoms spontaneous decay;
  - . independently from each other;
  - . isotropic/constant radiation



What if atoms are placed together? (~ smaller than the relevant photon's wavelength)

Yes!

- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"

Yes!



. **faster** and **stronger**! (burst of radiation)

Phys. Reports 93, 301-396 (1982).

- Dissipative collective spins...

"cooperative interactions"

Yes!



~ *identical* coupling of all the atoms to the electromagnetic field.

(field mediates a cooperative coupling among the atoms  $\rightarrow$  collective dynamics)

Phys. Reports 93, 301-396 (1982).

*Major difficulty* in this cooperative couplings is the requirement of strong coupling between the atoms and the EM;

*Major difficulty* in this cooperative couplings is the requirement of strong coupling between the atoms and the EM;

\*use cavities ... (cavity QED):

. atoms are trapped in an optical cavity;

*high-quality mirrors*(~ photon's reflection - enhanced interactions)

. *tuning resonance* cavity photonic modes/atomic transitions (EM mediates atom couplings)



*Major difficulty* in this cooperative couplings is the requirement of strong coupling between the atoms and the EM;

\*use cavities ... (cavity QED):

. atoms are trapped in an optical cavity;

*high-quality mirrors*(~ photon's reflection - enhanced interactions)

. *tuning resonance* cavity photonic modes/atomic transitions (EM mediates atom couplings)

. *high level of controlability*: . Nobel Prize 2012 (Serge Haroche and David J. Wineland)

for "ground-breaking experimental methods that enable measuring and manipulation of individual quantum systems"

. applications in quantum information/computation

. quantum simulation long-range interacting systems / ...





$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} g(\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{+}) + \Omega\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{x}$$
$$\hat{L}_{i} = \sqrt{\Gamma}\hat{a}$$

- $g = \operatorname{atom/cavity}$  field interaction
- $\eta =$  atom's energy splitting
- $\Gamma =$  photon losses in the cavity



$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} g(\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{+}) + \Omega\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{x}$$
$$\hat{L}_{i} = \sqrt{\Gamma}\hat{a}$$

adiabatic elimination of cavity  $(d\hat{a}/dt \approx 0)$ 

- $g = \operatorname{atom/cavity}$  field interaction
- $\eta =$  atom's energy splitting
- $\Gamma =$  photon losses in the cavity



$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} g(\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{+}) + \Omega\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{x}$$

$$\hat{L}_{i} = \sqrt{\Gamma}\hat{a}$$
adiabatic elimination
of cavity
$$(d\hat{a}/dt \approx 0)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_{\text{spins}}}{dt} = \mathcal{L}[\hat{\rho}_{\text{spins}}]$$
cooperative dissipation

among spins

- $g = \operatorname{atom/cavity}$  field interaction
- $\eta =$  atom's energy splitting
- $\Gamma =$  photon losses in the cavity



- Dissipative collective spin Lindbladian (open Dicke model, diven Dicke model, ...):

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}[\hat{\rho}] = -i\omega_0 \left[ \hat{S}^x, \hat{\rho} \right] + \frac{2\kappa}{N} \left( \hat{S}_- \hat{\rho} \hat{S}_+ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \hat{S}_+ \hat{S}_-, \hat{\rho} \right\} \right)$$
coherent
effective decay rate

dynamics

 $\hat{S}^x = \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\sigma}_j^x$  $\hat{S}^- = \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\sigma}_j^-$ 

- Dissipative collective spin Lindbladian (open Dicke model, diven Dicke model, ...):

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}[\hat{\rho}] = -i\omega_0 \left[ \hat{S}^x, \hat{\rho} \right] + \frac{2\kappa}{N} \left( \hat{S}_- \hat{\rho} \hat{S}_+ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \hat{S}_+ \hat{S}_-, \hat{\rho} \right\} \right)$$

dynamics

effective decay rate

 $\hat{S}^x = \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\sigma}_j^x$  $\hat{S}^- = \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\sigma}_j^-$ 

Prog. Theo. Phys. 64, 307 (1978); Opt. Commun. 27, 160 (1978); Phys. Lett. A 72, 200 (1979); PRA 65, 042107 (2002).





- What about dynamics?

N = 36 spins



Spectral properties:

any difference?







- *gapped* Lindbladian: exponential decay towards ss
- no imaginary eigenvalues no coherent eigenspace oscillations







- *gapless* Lindbladian: slow decay modes diverge for a macroscopic system  $N \to \infty$ 





- *gapless* Lindbladian: slow decay modes diverge for a macroscopic system  $N \to \infty$ 



- imaginary eigenvalues: coherent oscillations in slow decaying modes

initial state:  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |+\cdots+\rangle$ 

### Time Evolution





initial state:  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |+\cdots+\rangle$ 

## Time Evolution





- nontrivial dynamics decays for finite system sizes

initial state:  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |+\cdots+\rangle$ 

## Time Evolution





- nontrivial dynamics decays for finite system sizes

- time scale diverges in the macroscopic limit  $N \to \infty$ 

. *breaking TTSB* and stabilizing a *boundary time crystal phase*.

One can understand what happens in the thermodynamic limit by using a semiclassical approximation:

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{O}\rangle}{dt} = i\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]\rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2S} \langle [\hat{L}^{\dagger}, \hat{O}]\hat{L} + \hat{L}^{\dagger}[\hat{O}, \hat{L}]\rangle$$

One can understand what happens in the thermodynamic limit by using a semiclassical approximation:

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{O}\rangle}{dt} = i\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]\rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\langle [\hat{L}^{\dagger}, \hat{O}]\hat{L} + \hat{L}^{\dagger}[\hat{O}, \hat{L}]\rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{\left(\omega_{z}-\omega_{x}\right)}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right)$$

One can understand what happens in the thermodynamic limit by using a semiclassical approximation:

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{O}\rangle}{dt} = i\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]\rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\langle [\hat{L}^{\dagger}, \hat{O}]\hat{L} + \hat{L}^{\dagger}[\hat{O}, \hat{L}]\rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{\left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x}\right)}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$d\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle/dt = \sum_{\alpha'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \rangle$$

One can understand what happens in the thermodynamic limit by using a semiclassical approximation:

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{O} \rangle}{dt} = i \langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}] \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2S} \langle [\hat{L}^{\dagger}, \hat{O}] \hat{L} + \hat{L}^{\dagger} [\hat{O}, \hat{L}] \rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{\left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x}\right)}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$d\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle/dt = \sum_{\alpha'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \rangle$$

$$d\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle / dt = \sum_{\alpha'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \hat{S}^{\gamma} \rangle$$

One can understand what happens in the thermodynamic limit by using a semiclassical approximation:

Heisenberg picture

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{O}\rangle}{dt} = i\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]\rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\langle [\hat{L}^{\dagger}, \hat{O}]\hat{L} + \hat{L}^{\dagger}[\hat{O}, \hat{L}]\rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{\left(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x}\right)}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$d\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle/dt = \sum_{\alpha'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \rangle$$

$$d\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle / dt = \sum_{\alpha'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta'} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma=x,y,z} c_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma} \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha'} \hat{S}^{\beta'} \hat{S}^{\gamma} \rangle$$

 $d\langle \hat{S}^{lpha}\hat{S}^{eta}\hat{S}^{\gamma}
angle/dt = \dots$  hierarchy keeps growing ...

Close the hierarchy on second cumulant (mean-field):  $\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle \langle \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle$ 

Close the hierarchy on second cumulant (mean-field):  $\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle \langle \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle = -\frac{\omega_{z}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x})}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle = \omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \frac{\kappa}{S}\left(\left\langle (\hat{S}^{x})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle (\hat{S}^{y})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\omega_{x}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right).$$

Close the hierarchy on second cumulant (mean-field):  $\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle \langle \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle = -\frac{\omega_{z}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x})}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle = \omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \frac{\kappa}{S}\left(\left\langle (\hat{S}^{x})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle (\hat{S}^{y})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\omega_{x}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right).$$

. macroscopic/normalized observables:

$$\hat{m}^{\alpha} = \hat{S}^{\alpha} / S$$

Close the hierarchy on second cumulant (mean-field):  $\langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{S}^{\alpha} \rangle \langle \hat{S}^{\beta} \rangle$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle = -\frac{\omega_{z}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle = -\omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \frac{(\omega_{z} - \omega_{x})}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\kappa}{2S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle = \omega_{0}\left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle - \frac{\kappa}{S}\left(\left\langle (\hat{S}^{x})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle (\hat{S}^{y})^{2}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{z}\right\rangle\right) + \frac{\omega_{x}}{S}\left(\left\langle \hat{S}^{x}\hat{S}^{y}\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{S}^{y}\hat{S}^{x}\right\rangle\right).$$

. macroscopic/normalized observables:

$$\hat{m}^{\alpha} = \hat{S}^{\alpha} / S$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}m^{x} = \kappa m^{x}m^{z}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}m^{y} = -\omega_{0}m^{z} + \kappa m^{y}m^{z}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}m^{z} = \omega_{0}m^{y} - \kappa \left((m^{x})^{2} + (m^{y})^{2}\right)$$

simpler, analytical solutions, stability/Jacobian...

Poincaré section: "phase space"

$$Q = m^{z}$$
$$P = (m^{x})^{2} + (m^{y})^{2}$$



 $\kappa < \omega_0$ 

Poincaré section: "phase space"

$$Q = m^{z}$$
$$P = (m^{x})^{2} + (m^{y})^{2}$$

$$\omega_0 = 1, \, \kappa = 0.5, \, \omega_z = 0.6$$





 $\kappa < \omega_0$ 

#### robust to perturbations

$$\hat{H} = \omega_0 \hat{S}^x + \frac{\omega_z}{S} (\hat{S}^z)^2 + \frac{\omega_x}{S} (\hat{S}^x)^2$$

- we discussed about time crystals, intrisically non-equilibrium phases;

- while Discrete TC arise in closed systems, continuous seems to be "*more likely*" in *open systems:* 

. *boundary time crystals* (macroscopic portion of whole system)

. collective spin models featuring these phases.

- we discussed about time crystals, intrisically non-equilibrium phases;

- while Discrete TC arise in closed systems, continuous seems to be "*more likely*" in *open systems:* 

. *boundary time crystals* (macroscopic portion of whole system)

. collective spin models featuring these phases.

- other candidates for BTC's?

. mostly collective spins at the moment... (few exceptions)

. lattice models, limit-cycles...



XYZ-Heisenberg, quantum-Ising -> **limit cycles** at the mean-field level.

T. E. Lee et al, PRA 84, 031402 (2011); C.-K. Chan et al, PRA 91, 051601 (2015).

- we discussed about time crystals, intrisically non-equilibrium phases;

- while Discrete TC arise in closed systems, continuous seems to be "*more likely*" in *open systems:* 

- . *boundary time crystals* (macroscopic portion of whole system)
- . collective spin models featuring these phases.
- other candidates for BTC's?
  - . mostly collective spins at the moment... (few exceptions)
  - . lattice models, limit-cycles...
  - . dissipative topological systems?



$$\hat{\ell}_j = (\hat{a}_j^{\dagger} + \hat{a}_j)(\hat{a}_{j+1}^{\dagger} - \hat{a}_{j+1})$$

S. Diehl et al, Nat. Phys. 7, 971 (2011); F. Iemini et al, PRB 93, 115113 (2016).



- we discussed about time crystals, intrisically non-equilibrium phases;

- while Discrete TC arise in closed systems, continuous seems to be "*more likely*" in *open systems:* 

- . *boundary time crystals* (macroscopic portion of whole system)
- . collective spin models featuring these phases.
- other candidates for BTC's?
  - . mostly collective spins at the moment... (few exceptions)
  - . lattice models, limit-cycles...
  - . dissipative topological systems?
  - . others?

- we discussed about time crystals, intrisically non-equilibrium phases;

- while Discrete TC arise in closed systems, continuous seems to be "*more likely*" in *open systems:* 

. *boundary time crystals* (macroscopic portion of whole system)

. collective spin models featuring these phases.

- other candidates for BTC's?

. mostly collective spins at the moment... (few exceptions)

- . lattice models, limit-cycles...
- . dissipative topological systems?
- . others?
- and... why should I care? Applications?
  - . Sensors . Quantum engines

. Clocks

. Simulating complex quantum networks

## Thanks for your attention!

If you are interested... contact: fernandoiemini@id.uff.br



**Universidade Federal Fluminense** 

**5** serrapilheira





UFF,Niterói,Brazil